

The Scottish Parliament Pàrlamaid na h-Alba

Official Report

MEETING OF THE PARLIAMENT

Thursday 4 June 2015



Thursday 4 June 2015

CONTENTS

	Col.
GENERAL QUESTION TIME	
Breastfeeding (Guidance and Support)	
Scottish Medicines Consortium (Approved Treatments)	
Hepatitis C (Treatment)	
Borders Railway (Public Engagement)	
BAE Systems (Clyde Shipyards)	
Listed Buildings (Preservation)	
Poverty and Inequality	
A82 (Improvements)	
FIRST MINISTER'S QUESTION TIME	
Engagements	
Prime Minister (Meetings)	
Teachers (Working Hours)	
Welfare Reform (Disabled People)	
Qatar (Human Rights Abuses)	
CALEDONIAN CANAL	23
Motion debated—[Dave Thompson].	
Dave Thompson (Skye, Lochaber and Badenoch) (SNP)	
David Stewart (Highlands and Islands) (Lab)	
Jamie McGrigor (Highlands and Islands) (Con)	
Stewart Stevenson (Banffshire and Buchan Coast) (SNP)	
Hanzala Malik (Glasgow) (Lab)	
The Minister for Transport and Islands (Derek Mackay)	
SCOTTISH PARLIAMENTARY CORPORATE BODY QUESTION TIME	
Committee Rooms (On-screen Presentations)	
Training Courses (Effectiveness)	
Events (Business Sponsorship)	
Open Data	
SCOTLAND'S RELATIONSHIP WITH ALCOHOL	40
Motion moved—[Shona Robison].	
Amendment moved—[Jenny Marra].	40
The Cabinet Secretary for Health, Wellbeing and Sport (Shona Robison)	
Jenny Marra (North East Scotland) (Lab)	
Jackson Carlaw (West Scotland) (Con)	
Jim Eadie (Edinburgh Southern) (SNP)	
Hugh Henry (Renfrewshire South) (Lab)	
Bob Doris (Glasgow) (SNP)	
Gil Paterson (Clydebank and Milngavie) (SNP)	58
Christian Allard (North East Scotland) (SNP)	
Sandra White (Glasgow Kelvin) (SNP)	
Anne McTaggart (Glasgow) (Lab)	
Dave Thompson (Skye, Lochaber and Badenoch) (SNP)	70
Mary Fee (West Scotland) (Lab)	
Jackson Carlaw	
Dr Richard Simpson (Mid Scotland and Fife) (Lab)	
The Minister for Public Health (Maureen Watt)	 ຂວ
DECISION TIME	

Scottish Parliament

Thursday 4 June 2015

[The Presiding Officer opened the meeting at 11:40]

General Question Time

The Presiding Officer (Tricia Marwick): Good morning. The first item of business is general questions.

Breastfeeding (Guidance and Support)

1. Claudia Beamish (South Scotland) (Lab): To ask the Scottish Government what funding it is giving to enable national health service boards to offer the best possible guidance and support to new mothers to encourage breastfeeding. (S4O-04414)

The Minister for Public Health (Maureen Watt): We have invested more than £8.6 million from 2011 to date. That is provided directly to NHS boards for implementation of the maternal and infant nutrition framework, of which breastfeeding support is a key component. We have invested an additional £300,000 to assist NHS boards to achieve and maintain UNICEF baby friendly accreditation.

Claudia Beamish: As a new gran, I have seen the superb support given by the Lanarkshire breastfeeding initiative to new parents to start and sustain breastfeeding, from the neonatal unit to on-going advice and encouragement at home. However, in spite of the clear scientific evidence of the benefits, from protection from disease to lifelong health benefits, there is a rapid drop-off of breastfeeding once at home.

Will the minister agree to meet me and staff from the breastfeeding initiative to discuss how to further address the issue, including consideration of the full implementation of the World Health Organization code, to address aggressive advertising by formula manufacturers? If she will consider such a meeting, I would appreciate it if she could also allow my colleague Elaine Smith, who has taken a great interest in the issue, to join us with her constituents.

Maureen Watt: I am perfectly happy to meet both Claudia Beamish and Elaine Smith to discuss the issue. I have already met people from the breastfeeding initiative. As Claudia Beamish knows, we are undertaking a strategic review of maternity and neonatal services, and I hope that breastfeeding and support for breastfeeding will play a key part in that. Rates of initial breastfeeding are increasing, albeit slowly, and too

slowly for my liking. In order to sustain breastfeeding, we need to look at what support is required, so I am happy to meet the members.

Jim Hume (South Scotland) (LD): What funding and support is the Scottish Government giving to NHS boards to support perinatal mental health in new mothers?

The Presiding Officer: I am not sure that that is entirely relevant. I call Elaine Smith.

Elaine Smith (Coatbridge and Chryston) (Lab): I thank the minister for agreeing to meet me and Claudia Beamish, and I would be happy to come to that meeting. The breast is best message seems to be well understood but rates of breastfeeding remain low, as we heard from the minister's answers. What can the Scottish Government do to turn the issue on its head and inform parents of the health problems associated with formula feeding, to ensure that they have all the necessary facts to help them to make a properly informed choice, so that society can move to a situation in which breastfeeding becomes the norm, with formula available as a back-up for the relatively small number of mothers who simply cannot breastfeed?

Maureen Watt: I appreciate the work that Elaine Smith has done on breastfeeding. If there was an easy answer. I am sure that we would have found it by now. The benefits of breastfeeding are explained to pregnant mothers at neonatal classes, but sometimes the societal barriers are greater than mothers' appreciation of the benefits. There are loads of strands to the issue and we need to ensure that those who are looking after mothers—midwives and people delivering neonatal classes—have the best possible information about ways of taking matters forward.

Scottish Medicines Consortium (Approved Treatments)

2. Cara Hilton (Dunfermline) (Lab): To ask the Scottish Government whether all treatments approved for use by the Scottish Medicines Consortium are made available by the national health service. (S4O-04415)

The Cabinet Secretary for Health, Wellbeing and Sport (Shona Robison): The Scottish Medicines Consortium provides advice to NHS Scotland about the value for patients of every newly licensed medicine. When the SMC accepts a new medicine, NHS boards are expected to make it or an equivalent SMC-accepted medicine available. NHS boards also have clinically led processes in place to look at how medicines should be used in treatment pathways, based on the available evidence.

Cara Hilton: In November 2013, the Scottish Medicines Consortium approved enzalutamide

without restriction for men with incurable prostate cancer who had had chemotherapy. Soon after, Prostate Cancer UK was receiving calls from men who were being denied the drug, because their health board had placed its own restriction on its use by those who had used the drug abiraterone. At the time, Prostate Cancer UK said:

"Men with incurable prostate cancer should not be expected to fight battles with the NHS for SMC approved drugs."

Does the cabinet secretary agree with that sentiment? Will she agree to implement the Scottish cancer coalition's call for all SMC drug approvals to be made binding on all NHS boards to resolve this situation and many others like it?

Shona Robison: I thank Cara Hilton for that important question. The Scottish Government is aware of the concerns of patient groups, which believe that the approach taken by the regional cancer networks is not consistent with the SMC's advice.

The SMC has advised health boards that its advice does not promote the use of enzalutamide in this setting. Although the Scottish Government appreciates the points made by the charities, it would not be appropriate for it to direct the regional cancer networks to change their approach, where they consider it to be evidence based. However, I am sure that the regional cancer networks will review their approach as and when new evidence becomes available.

I will keep a close eye on the matter. I would be happy to keep Cara Hilton advised if there are any developments on that front.

Hepatitis C (Treatment)

3. Hanzala Malik (Glasgow) (Lab): Good morning, Presiding Officer.

To ask the Scottish Government what it is doing to support the treatment of people with hepatitis C in Glasgow. (S4O-04416)

The Presiding Officer: Good morning, Mr Malik.

The Minister for Public Health (Maureen Watt): The Scottish Government is recognised internationally as a world leader for our response to hepatitis C. As a result of our hep C action plan, we have more than doubled the number of people starting treatment for hep C in Scotland, from 400 a year in 2007 to 1,100 a year in 2013. Many of those people who have been treated and cured live in the Glasgow area.

Scotland is also at the forefront of implementing new, highly effective therapies for hep C. The first of those new antiviral treatments was approved for use by the Scottish Medicines Consortium in 2014, and it is available on the national health service.

Hanzala Malik: Greater Glasgow and Clyde NHS Board has around one third of Scotland's hepatitis C infected patients. Therefore, the massive cost of the new interferon-free drugs falls disproportionately on the health board.

People in Glasgow must wait until their liver becomes seriously damaged before they are eligible for the new treatment. One of my constituents is undergoing treatment in another part of Scotland, because access to the new treatment in that board area requires a lower level of liver damage. Will the minister please look into the issue and consider giving additional funds to Greater Glasgow and Clyde NHS Board? Will she ensure equal access to new hep C treatment across Scotland?

Maureen Watt: If the member wants to write to me about that specific case, I would be entirely pleased to look into it.

The Government provides around £28 million of additional funding a year to support the treatment framework. We separately provide NHS boards with additional and proportionate funding to support the rising costs of the new drugs.

As I said, I am happy to take up the member's case.

Borders Railway (Public Engagement)

4. Colin Beattie (Midlothian North and Musselburgh) (SNP): To ask the Scottish Government what its position is on the level of engagement undertaken by Network Rail with local constituents during the building of the Borders railway. (S4O-04417)

The Cabinet Secretary for Infrastructure, Investment and Cities (Keith Brown): I am delighted that we will soon see the benefit of the Scottish Government's £294 million investment in the Borders railway.

I am aware that Network Rail has carried out extensive, positive engagement along the route with line-side neighbours. As recently as last week, the Borders railway's project director and the Scotland for Network Rail's route delivery director personally surveyed residents of Westfield Bank and Hardengreen.

However, I am concerned that there have been instances where Network Rail's communication has not consistently reached the high standards that we would expect during the delivery of a publicly funded key infrastructure project. Consequently, I have written to Mark Carne, chief executive of Network Rail, expressing my concerns about its stakeholder management. I await his response.

Colin Beattie: The cabinet secretary is, of course, aware of our prior correspondence on the issue. What steps are being taken to enhance engagement between the constituents and Network Rail and to ensure that Network Rail is minimising any adverse effects on local residents from the railway?

Keith Brown: I am aware of the issue, not least because of the member's efforts to represent his constituents in that regard.

He will know that Transport Scotland will now provide me with a weekly update on the issues at Hardengreen and Westfield Bank. As I mentioned, I have written to Mark Carne expressing disappointment at the level of stakeholder engagement. I also mentioned the project director's personal involvement in surveying residents.

Findings are being compiled and an action plan will be developed to address concerns. Specific concerns related to barriers, on which we have an agreed way forward, and to some tree planting, on which I also expect that we will have an agreed way forward. It is better that adverse effects not happen, because the project will be tremendously successful for the Borders and Scotland. We want to ensure that, wherever it is possible, the effects of the railway's construction are ameliorated for everyone who is affected.

Christine Grahame (Midlothian South, Tweeddale and Lauderdale) (SNP): I thank the cabinet secretary for his site visits to Heriot and Falahill, which have borne the brunt of the construction works and are not having train stations.

I put on record my thanks to Craig Bowman and Carol Deveney of Network Rail and Stuart Mackay of BAM Nuttall, who have engaged with me on behalf of constituents. The cabinet secretary said that Network Rail's engagement with the community needs to improve, and I agree with him. I hope that he will persist in ensuring that that happens, because it has taken some time to get improvements.

Keith Brown: The only thing that I would add to that is that Network Rail and Transport Scotland met stakeholders on 1 June. There will be a further meeting on 17 June.

As Christine Grahame says, it is as well that we try to address the issues, which are minor in terms of the whole project but important to the residents who are affected. If we make sure that we address them in the right way, we can all look forward to a fantastic opening of the Borders railway in September this year.

BAE Systems (Clyde Shipyards)

5. Bill Kidd (Glasgow Anniesland) (SNP): To ask the Scottish Government what involvement it had in the BAE Systems announcement that it plans to continue work in both the Scotstoun and Govan yards on the Clyde. (S4O-04418)

The Deputy First Minister and Cabinet Secretary for Finance, Constitution and Economy (John Swinney): BAE is a major player in the Scottish and United Kingdom defence industry and I am pleased that the company has decided to invest in the future of the Govan and Scotstoun shipyards.

The Scottish Government maintains regular dialogue with BAE on its forward plans. BAE still has ambitions to seek export opportunities as well as progressing with Ministry of Defence orders. Scottish Enterprise will continue to work closely with the company to offer support as it makes that investment.

Bill Kidd: I thank the cabinet secretary for that positive reply. Is he willing, diary permitting, to accompany me on a visit to BAE Systems to discuss future projects for jobs and contracts in its yards on the Clyde?

John Swinney: I would be happy to do so. I last visited the facility at Scotstoun on 11 February for one of the continuing updates that I have had with the company about its investment plans. There has been close working with Scottish Enterprise on the different areas of support that are available to assist the company with its investment. I would be happy to work with Mr Kidd and accompany him to a meeting to discuss that issue with BAE.

The Presiding Officer: Question 6, in the name of Stuart McMillan, has been withdrawn for understandable reasons.

Listed Buildings (Preservation)

7. Kevin Stewart (Aberdeen Central) (SNP): To ask the Scottish Government what strategy it has in place to ensure that listed buildings are preserved. (S4O-04420)

The Cabinet Secretary for Culture, Europe and External Affairs (Fiona Hyslop): All owners of listed buildings have a general responsibility to maintain their property. If owners fail to fulfil that responsibility, planning authorities have powers to intervene. That can include a local authority carrying out necessary works itself and billing the owner. Similar powers are retained by ministers.

Last year, "Our Place in Time: The Historic Environment Strategy for Scotland" was published. It is Scotland's first ever strategy for the historic environment. One of the key priorities set out in the strategy is for the people who are involved in the management of our historic environment to

continue to apply effective and proportionate protection and regulation with controls and incentives. I am confident that historic buildings will benefit as the strategy is delivered.

Kevin Stewart: Broadford works in Aberdeen has the largest cluster of at-risk A-listed buildings in the United Kingdom, which are under threat because of fire raising and vandalism attacks. Does the Government have any tools at its disposal to force the owner to develop the site, for which he has planning permission? Is it possible to charge him the non-domestic rates that he is currently exempt from paying?

Fiona Hyslop: Historic Scotland's role in listed buildings is as advisers to local authorities. We and Historic Scotland are in contact with Aberdeen City Council's planning officials, whose role is to liaise with building standards colleagues and, importantly, the owner of the site to agree on what additional measures can be put in place.

I understand that the Scottish Fire and Rescue Service has confirmed that it had a multi-agency site meeting on 28 May with representatives of Aberdeen City Council. It will be presenting a range of options for consideration by the relevant planning committee on the council.

The member is correct. One of the best ways to deal with any risk to vacant properties is to make use of them. However, we must ensure that we do that collectively with owners and in conjunction with the relevant planning authority, which in this case is Aberdeen City Council. I respect the member's important constituency interest in the issue and I assure him that we will continue to take a keen interest in the developments at Broadford.

Poverty and Inequality

8. Clare Adamson (Central Scotland) (SNP): To ask the Scottish Government what impact the measures in the Queen's speech will have on its ability to tackle poverty and inequality. (S4O-04421)

The Cabinet Secretary for Social Justice, Communities and Pensioners' Rights (Alex Neil): The full employment and welfare benefits bill announced in the Queen's speech includes initial details about additional cuts to the United Kingdom welfare budget. Those include freezing working-age benefits, tax credits and child benefit for two years; lowering the benefit cap to £23,000; and removing automatic entitlement to housing support for 18 to 21-year-olds.

Those three provisions alone will make it much more difficult for the Scottish Government to tackle poverty. However, they account for only a fraction of the £12 billion reduction in welfare spend that the UK Government has said that it will introduce.

The Scottish Government will continue to mitigate the worst aspects of welfare reform but there is a genuine limit to what we can do in the face of such severe on-going cuts.

Clare Adamson: In 2005, during the leadership contest for the Conservative Party, the Prime Minister described the need for citizens advice bureaux to make referrals for food parcels as an indictment of failed government. Does the cabinet secretary therefore agree that, for David Cameron and his Government to put through further austerity measures, knowing that it will mean that many, many more families are plunged into crisis and reliant on food banks, is disgraceful and hypocritical?

Alex Neil: I entirely agree. The Trussell Trust, which is the main agency that runs food banks in Scotland, reports that, in 2014-15, more than eight times the number of people were helped than just two years previously, and that the most common reasons for people using food banks are benefit changes and delays, and low income.

The increased reliance on food banks and the further £12 billion of welfare cuts that the Tories are proposing shows that they cannot be trusted with the welfare system. We need full powers over social security here in this Parliament so that we can establish a more equal, fair, simple and humane system for Scotland.

A82 (Improvements)

9. Mike MacKenzie (Highlands and Islands) (SNP): To ask the Scottish Government what improvements it has made to the A82. (S40-04422)

The Minister for Transport and Islands (Derek Mackay): The Scottish Government is committed to improving the A82 and has invested more than £20 million recently on the Crianlarich bypass, and at Pulpit Rock and Glen Gloy. The Pulpit Rock improvement enabled the road to open to two-way traffic for the first time in 30 years. A £2 million design commission to improve the 17km section of the A82 from Tarbet to Inverarnan is also well under way.

Mike MacKenzie: I am sure that the minister will be pleased to hear that I have been contacted by constituents throughout the west Highlands and beyond who are delighted by the improvements at Pulpit Rock on Loch Lomondside, especially given, as he rightly says, that the road there has been a long-standing impediment to traffic for many years. Taken together with the Crianlarich bypass—

The Presiding Officer: Do you have a question?

Mike MacKenzie: Does the minister agree that, with full borrowing powers, we could do much more to upgrade infrastructure throughout the Highlands and Islands and the rest of Scotland?

Derek Mackay: I am happy to be the bearer of good news and to spread joy across Scotland with infrastructure investment. The short answer to the question is yes, I do and yes, we could.

The Presiding Officer: Before we move to the next item of business, members will wish to join me in welcoming to the gallery His Excellency Mr Euripides Evriviades, the High Commissioner of the Republic of Cyprus. [*Applause*.]

First Minister's Question Time

12:00

Engagements

1. Kezia Dugdale (Lothian) (Lab): To ask the First Minister what engagements she has planned for the rest of the day. (S4F-02833)

The First Minister (Nicola Sturgeon): With your permission, Presiding Officer, I would like to begin with a few brief words about the late Charles Kennedy. Although he was not a member of this Parliament, I know that we were all deeply saddened to learn of his passing earlier this week. Charles was a very special human being—a talented and gifted politician, but also a thoroughly decent man. His contribution to Scottish and to United Kingdom politics was immense. He will be remembered for many things, not least his opposition to the war in Iraq and the historic success of his party under his leadership. I know that I speak on behalf of all of us in this Parliament when I say that our thoughts are very much with Charles's family and particularly his young son, with his friends and, of course, with his colleagues on the Liberal Democrat benches. [Applause.]

Later today, I will have engagements to take forward the Government's programme for Scotland.

Kezia Dugdale: On behalf of Labour members, I say that we share that sadness and the sentiments of the First Minister. Charles Kennedy was undoubtedly a principled man and a well-loved politician. He will be sorely missed in Scottish and British public life.

Charles Kennedy was also, of course, a great European. Earlier this week, the First Minister was in Brussels, rightly making the case for the UK to remain in the European Union. Some 300,000 Scottish jobs are linked to our EU membership, and our education system must equip young Scots with the skills to take advantage of those opportunities.

The ability to speak other languages is key for young Scots when competing for jobs across Europe. That is why, in 2012, we were proud to support the Scottish National Party Government when it introduced the one-plus-two language initiative. Can the First Minister tell us how that is going?

The First Minister: I am happy to ask the Cabinet Secretary for Education and Lifelong Learning to write to give the member a full progress report on the developments in the one-plus-two language programme, because that is an important issue.

I hope that we will be able to find agreement across the chamber on the fact that, in Scotland and across the UK, we have not traditionally been as good as we should be at learning modern languages. Many other countries across the continent have put us to shame in that respect. I also hope that we can agree that it is important that we equip young people with the ability to compete in the modern world. That is not exclusively about the ability to speak modern languages, but it includes that.

One of the discussions that I had in Europe this week concerned the changes in the numbers of people who speak certain modern languages, with Spanish overtaking German and French. We must ensure that our curriculum keeps up with that so that we are equipping young people appropriately.

In Brussels on Tuesday, I made the case for Scotland's continuing membership of the European Union. I also made the point that it would be unacceptable for Scotland to be taken out of the European Union against our will. The Labour First Minister of Wales, Carwyn Jones, agreed with me on that point. I hope that Kezia Dugdale will today take the opportunity to do so as well.

Kezia Dugdale: I very much look forward to working with the First Minister to make the positive case for Europe and our place in it.

I asked specifically about European languages, and the reality is that things are not going very well at all in that regard. A paper that was published by Dr James Scott in the current "Scottish Languages Review" has the evidence. His published research shows that, in the first year of the new national exams, the number of pupils sitting French and German fell by 37 per cent and the numbers passing fell by 40 per cent, which is almost half. That is appalling—a 40 per cent drop in secondary 4 pupils getting a qualification in a key European language.

The situation does not affect only European languages. In 2012, to great fanfare, the SNP Government set a target of doubling the number of school students gaining qualifications in the Chinese language. Can the First Minister tell us how that is going?

The First Minister: I will look carefully at the research that Kezia Dugdale has cited. I will do so for two reasons. The first is that it is important that I do that because we must look carefully at any research that is published and take lessons from it. The second is that the last time that she quoted research to me at First Minister's question time about exam passes, she mixed up—I assume inadvertently—entries for exams and the number of candidates sitting exams. She will know that one of the express objectives of the curriculum for

excellence is to reduce the number of subjects that candidates sit at exam level. I will study carefully the evidence that she cites.

I said in my initial answer that it is important that we continue to make progress in modern languages. It is important that we do that to ensure that our young people pass exams in modern languages, but it is also important that we start earlier.

One of the objectives of curriculum for excellence is to equip our young people for the range of challenges that they meet in the modern world. Rather than wait for secondary school, we should start that in primary school. As a Government, we are determined to continue to do that both for the languages that it has traditionally been important to speak and for the languages that it will be important to speak in the future, such as Chinese. I hope that we will get support from across the chamber as we continue to focus on those matters.

Kezia Dugdale: The First Minister suggested that I had misrepresented the work of Dr James Scott. That is not the case and she should phone him herself if she would like to check that.

I spoke to Dr James Scott again this morning, and the numbers that I am using today are accurate and appear in his published paper, which the Scottish Government funded. The Government should be careful about how it presents what I say.

What the First Minister cannot quite bring herself to say is that no progress has been made at all and things are getting worse. The number of candidates sitting the new Chinese national exam fell by over a third last year, and what is worse is that the number of young Scots who passed that exam has dropped by 42 per cent.

We know that the SNP Government is failing when it comes to European languages and we know that Scotland is going backwards when it comes to Chinese. We would hope that the SNP Government would be doing better when it comes to Gaelic. Can the First Minister tell us whether the number of Gaelic learners gaining level 3, 4 and 5 qualifications went up or down last year?

The First Minister: In respect of the research by Dr Jim Scott, I will look at the figures that Kezia Dugdale cites, but it is important to stress to the chamber that the last time that she cited his research, she said that it showed that the number of candidates gaining level 3 to 5 qualifications was down by almost 102,000. However, at all levels, there are only about 150,000 candidates in every year. Kezia Dugdale confused the number of candidates with the number of entries; candidates are presented for multiple exams. That is the reason why I have a degree of scepticism. [Interruption.]

The Presiding Officer (Tricia Marwick): Order.

The First Minister: Kezia Dugdale raises an important issue, which is why I will treat it seriously. It is important that we ensure that young people are equipped with the skills that they need to compete in the modern economy, which is why through our attainment challenge we are putting such a focus on literacy, numeracy and health and wellbeing. It is why it is important to focus on exams, skills and knowledge in modern languages. It is why the new curriculum for excellence has been introduced and why we have the new national exams system. Those are the foundations on which we are building to ensure that we focus on areas where we need improvement to equip our young people for the future. We will continue to focus on doing that.

I noticed that Kezia Dugdale's rival for the leadership of the Scottish Labour Party—I do not know whether he is in the chamber—said yesterday that he found that the continual SNP bashing by the Labour Party was making him begin to despair. Today, he will be finding it hard not to put his head in his hands.

Kezia Dugdale: I am here asking what is happening in our schools and the First Minister is asking what is happening in the Scottish Labour Party. Does that not say it all?

Here is the answer: in the first year of the new national exams, the number of Gaelic learners fell by 21 per cent and the number of pupils who passed fell by more than a quarter. Dr Scott's analysis describes the decline in Gaelic under the SNP as significant given all the money that has been invested in the area. In a lecture based on his paper, he expressed fears that some modern languages could disappear from our schools altogether.

Teachers and headteachers have warned ministers of chaos with the new exams. I have recently raised problems with the new exams. Thousands of pupils have signed a petition telling ministers that there is a problem with the new exams. As we speak, in Perth, the Educational Institute of Scotland is deciding whether to boycott the new exams altogether. After more than eight years in government, when will the First Minister take her head out of the sand and clean up the mess?

The First Minister: I assume that that was not Kezia Dugdale endorsing a boycott of the new exams. I hope that she will clarify that.

Last week, Kezia Dugdale raised the understandable feeling on the part of many students that the higher maths exam was too difficult in relation to what they had been taught for it. I gave a lengthy and detailed explanation of how the Scottish Qualifications Authority deals with

such situations to make sure that no young person is disadvantaged. For Kezia Dugdale to make a leap from that to describing the new exams system as being in chaos is deeply irresponsible and does a great disservice to young people and teachers across our country who are working so hard for those exams.

On the point about languages, as I said in my first answer to Kezia Dugdale, I will study carefully the figures that she has cited to me. I will particularly look to see—I will give her the benefit of the doubt—that she has not done the same thing as she did the last time and mixed up the number of candidates and the number of entries.

As First Minister and leader of the Government, I say that we will continue to focus on making sure that we have an education system that provides the education, skills and training that our young people need. We will not be diverted from that objective; that is our responsibility.

Prime Minister (Meetings)

2. Ruth Davidson (Glasgow) (Con): I add my sympathies and those of my party to those that others have expressed here today on the death of Charles Kennedy. Our thoughts and prayers are with his son, Donald, and the wider Kennedy family.

To ask the First Minister when she will next meet the Prime Minister. (S4F-02839)

The First Minister (Nicola Sturgeon): I have no plans in the near future.

Ruth Davidson: Staff who work in Scotland's national health service are under pressure like never before. More patients are coming through the doors and the cracks are beginning to show in hospitals across the country. This week, the NHS workforce statistics were published, uncovering the fact that staff sickness levels across Scotland are at a seven-year high. The worst affected is the Scottish Ambulance Service, in which more than 7 per cent of staff are off at any one time, which is four times the average sickness rate outside the public sector. It is clear that health workers are struggling to cope in an increasingly strained environment and the figures show that the problem is getting worse. What will the First Minister do to help?

The First Minister: As I always do when I am talking about the NHS, I thank NHS staff who are working right across the country to deliver quality health services for people in every part of Scotland.

Keeping the levels of sickness absence in the NHS to a minimum is—and always was when I was health secretary—a key priority for health

boards. Trade unions in the NHS work hard with health boards to support staff to do that.

Ruth Davidson's specific question was about what the Government does to help. The most important thing that the Government has done is increase the number of people who work in our health service and deal with the rising demand for health services because of our ageing population. The statistics that were published on Tuesday from which Ruth Davidson quoted also show that there are 10,500 more people working in our NHS than there were when the Government took office.

We will continue to ensure record funding and record levels of staffing in our health service. It is because of that that we now have a health service that is delivering historically low waiting times. That credit goes not to the Government but to the staff who are working so hard to achieve it.

Ruth Davidson: I thank the First Minister for her reply, but the fact is that this week's figures, published by her Government, show only part of the picture. Despite her answer, staff shortages are a real issue. In fact, the Scottish Conservatives wrote to NHS boards across the country to ask how often staffing concerns had been formally raised by doctors and nurses, and the answer was that they had been raised in their thousands.

In Dumfries and Galloway alone, there have in the past year been 4,000 separate instances of staff saying that there were not enough people to do the job. We are talking about doctors and nurses so worried about patient care that they have formally raised the matter with their managers. It is no wonder that staff sickness levels are on the rise.

Here are two things that the Scottish Conservatives would do to help: we would hire 1,000 more front-line nurses and midwives by asking people who can afford it to pay a contribution to their prescriptions, and we also promise to pass on every penny of the extra health money that is coming to Scotland as a result of United Kingdom Government decisions, which amounts to £800 million by 2020. Can the First Minister—right here, right now—commit to both of those things?

The First Minister: We have already protected the health service's revenue budget and, just as I committed to doing last year, we will continue to protect that budget each and every year of the next session of Parliament if this Government is re-elected.

Any member of staff in the health service who has concerns about any aspect of the delivery of healthcare is right to raise those concerns with health managers, and health managers have a

duty to respond to and address those concerns. That is the first important point to make.

Ruth Davidson mentioned NHS Dumfries and Galloway, so let me give her some figures for staffing and staffing increases in NHS Dumfries and Galloway between September 2006 and March 2015. The figure for all staff is up 6.3 per cent; consultants are up 21.9 per cent; emergency medicine consultants are up 407 per cent; qualified nurses and midwives are up 3.6 per cent; and allied health professionals are up 16.2 per cent. That is the increase in staff numbers. Does that mean that I believe that our staff are not working under pressure? Of course not. Demand is also rising because of the changing demographics of our country.

That is why it is so important that I as First Minister give a commitment to continue to protect the health budget; why it is so important that I give the commitment to continue to support staff and ensure that staffing numbers increase; and why we have so positively welcomed today's report from the Academy of Medical Royal Colleges and Faculties in Scotland and the Royal College of Nursing—the last sentence of which, incidentally, calls on politicians to stop political point scoring on the NHS and come together to support it in meeting the challenges of the future. I intend to do that; members on these benches intend to do that; and I hope that others make the same choice.

John Mason (Glasgow Shettleston) (SNP): What support can the Scottish Government provide to Airdrie Savings Bank and its employees, given its recent decision to close half of its branches, one of which is in my constituency and is the branch that I bank with?

The First Minister: I was obviously concerned to learn of the developments at Airdrie Savings Bank and the impact on the employees affected, their families and, of course, customers. I understand that the bank is seeking to modernise its service delivery and to develop a long-term business model to allow its community-based banking approach to continue.

I confirm that we are working with the bank to support its long-term business model and that, through the financial sector jobs task force and partnership action for continuing employment, we will offer all possible support to any staff affected by this announcement. Airdrie Savings Bank has assured us that it will continue to offer services to all customers whose branches will close and that appropriate arrangements will be made to enable customers to access their accounts.

Mike MacKenzie (Highlands and Islands) (SNP): The First Minister will be aware of the importance of the onshore wind sector to the economy of the Highlands and Islands, so it is

therefore very concerning that the United Kingdom Government plans to end onshore wind farm subsidies. Does the First Minister agree that, at the very least, the Scottish Government and Parliament should be consulted on the UK Government's wrong-headed plans?

Alex Johnstone (North East Scotland) (Con): No.

The First Minister: I agree with Mike MacKenzie, and I am rather dismayed to hear a member of the Conservative Party, I think, say no, we should not be consulted on these matters.

It is very concerning that changes to UK energy policy are coming out in a piecemeal way via the media instead of through proper engagement with this Government and, indeed, this Parliament and the energy industry. Built in the right places, onshore wind has an important role to play in helping to keep the lights on across these islands, and it can do so at a competitive cost to consumers; indeed, it can do so at a cheaper cost than the UK Government's plans for new nuclear power. I therefore urge the UK Government to engage constructively on this issue and not to turn its back on a key industry.

Teachers (Working Hours)

3. Liam McArthur (Orkney Islands) (LD): First, I thank Nicola Sturgeon, Kezia Dugdale and Ruth Davidson for their generous remarks about my late colleague, friend and fellow Liberal, Charles Kennedy.

To ask the First Minister what the Scottish Government's position is on reports that teachers are working 11 hours each week on top of their contracted hours. (S4F-02841)

The **First** Minister (Nicola Sturgeon): Teachers in Scotland are hard-working professionals who always go the extra mile for the good of our young people, and we should all thank them for that. We do not want unacceptable burdens to be placed on teachers, which is why we are safeguarding posts for the next year by providing £51 million to maintain teacher numbers. That will ensure that we continue to meet our aim of having the right number of teachers with the right skills in all our schools. We are also working closely with teachers' representatives, including the Educational Institute of Scotland, local authorities and other partners, to ensure that teacher workload is balanced. That will include taking forward the recommendations of the group minister-led working on tackling bureaucracy, which identified some specific areas where changes need to be made.

Liam McArthur: The EIS survey found that fewer than one in 10 teachers are satisfied with their workload and that only a third would

recommend teaching as a career. With class size promises not met, teacher numbers down and pupil teacher ratios up, does the First Minister agree with the EIS that scapegoating teachers for a situation that she herself has accepted is not good enough is not appropriate? What assurance can she offer that things will improve over the next 335 days, given that they have not done so in the past eight years?

The First Minister: I do not believe that anybody should scapegoat teachers. I will never do that and neither will this Government. Our teachers do a fantastic job, and all of us should thank them for the work that they do on behalf of our young people. That we support teachers is evidenced and illustrated by the commitment that we made this year, backed by £51 million, to maintain teacher numbers. We recognise the importance of having the right number of teachers with the right skills in our schools.

Workload is important, and that is why Alasdair Allan has been chairing the working group on tackling bureaucracy. The group's membership includes teacher associations, local authorities, representative groups, the national parent forum of Scotland, Education Scotland, and the Scottish Qualifications Authority. It published its first report in November 2013 and made a number of recommendations. Its follow-up report was published in March this year and those recommendations will be taken forward as well. We will continue to work with teacher to ensure that they are not working with an undue workload, and that we tackle bureaucracy while also maintaining the number of teachers in our schools to provide excellent education for our children.

George Adam (Paisley) (SNP): What specific actions are being progressed following the publication of the report by the working group on tackling bureaucracy that is chaired by the Minister for Learning, Science and Scotland's Languages?

The First Minister: The follow-up report was published in March and was praised by the EIS. It concluded that progress has been made in tackling bureaucracy but there is still more we need to do. A number of key actions from the report have been taken forward. For example, Education Scotland is working with teacher associations and the Association of Directors of Education in Scotland to design and deliver workshops that provide practical guidance and good-practice examples of how to reduce bureaucracy. That will build on the success of events held last year. The SQA and local authorities are continuing to streamline verification procedures for the new qualification. Important work has been done, and we will continue with that to ensure that we reduce the unnecessary bureaucracy that, if we are not careful, can become a burden on our teachers.

Welfare Reform (Disabled People)

4. Kevin Stewart (Aberdeen Central) (SNP): To ask the First Minister what the Scottish Government's response is to Inclusion Scotland's research suggesting that disabled people are experiencing stress, fear and isolation because of welfare reform. (S4F-02837)

The First Minister (Nicola Sturgeon): That research backs up the findings of the Scottish Government's own welfare tracking study, which was published on Monday this week. It found that the UK Government's programme of welfare cuts is negatively affecting some of the most vulnerable people in our society.

In my view, it is completely unacceptable that disabled people are finding the process of applying for benefits so difficult and distressing, and all that is before the further £12 billion of cuts proposed by the UK Government take effect. The Scottish Government will continue strongly to oppose further cuts to the welfare budget, and we will continue to argue for this Parliament to have responsibility for decisions on social security.

Kevin Stewart: As we have seen from the Inclusion Scotland report, disabled people are already concerned about the cuts and the way they are treated by the systems and processes of the Department for Work and Pensions. Does the First Minister share my deep concern about further cuts to the social security budget and how they will impact on disabled people? Does she concur that the UK Government must explain and outline which group of people it plans to push into poverty next with its proposed £12 billion of further cuts to the social security budget?

The First Minister: Yes, I think that there is an obligation on the UK Government to provide more clarity than it has done about who will be hit by the further cut of £12 billion, but actually I would much prefer that the UK Government dropped its plans to cut welfare by a further £12 billion.

I very much share Kevin Stewart's concerns that the UK Government's austerity agenda is already having a very damaging effect on vulnerable people in Scotland. The lack of clarification about that further cut is important, because it increases the anxiety that disabled and vulnerable people already feel. If someone is living with a disability, there are already many challenges that they have to overcome in life. They should not have to live with the worry of a UK Government taking a further axe to the benefit that they depend on.

We will continue to oppose the cuts, and—as I said in my initial answer—we will continue to argue that the right place for these decisions to be

made is not in the Westminster Parliament but here in our Scottish Parliament.

Ken Macintosh (Eastwood) (Lab): Does the First Minister share my concern that negative attitudes to the unemployed and the poor are not reserved to Westminster, but are unfortunately all too common in our own society? Will she pledge her support for the stick your labels campaign that aims to tackle stigma and prejudice against the poor and disabled in our country?

The First Minister: Yes, I thoroughly endorse that view, which Ken Macintosh put forward very constructively. It is important that we challenge negative stigma and negative attitudes.

Vulnerable people in our society did not cause the recession or the deficit, and they do not deserve to pay the price of getting the deficit down. They deserve our support and our respect, and they deserve a helping hand from all of us rather than having their lives made more difficult.

We have to challenge those attitudes wherever they exist. I would never stand here and say that there are not such attitudes in Scotland, but if we all unite to tackle, address and confront those attitudes, we will be doing a great service to vulnerable people across our country.

Alex Johnstone (North East Scotland) (Con): I would be the first to admit that there is fear about benefit changes, but anyone who has been knocking on doors in the past few months will realise that that fear is rather more widespread than the actual experience, particularly in relation to universal credit.

Universal credit is only now being introduced on a pilot basis in certain parts of Scotland, and it has the potential to bring about a massive improvement in the conditions of many people who are dependent on benefits, yet hostility to the introduction of that scheme is widespread through little experience.

The First Minister: If Alex Johnstone really thinks that the fears that people have about further benefit cuts are somehow disproportionate to the reality, he has just proven how out of touch he and his party are in Scotland. I openly invite him to come and knock some doors in my constituency on the south side of Glasgow. He should come to one of my surgeries, where people with mental health problems, people with disabilities and people who are struggling hard and working hard to support families are at the end of their tether, coming to my office for food bank vouchers because they are living with the consequences of the cuts that have been imposed by the Government that he supports.

If Alex Johnstone is not finding that where he is knocking doors, he should come and knock doors

where I knock doors and he will find a very different picture.

Qatar (Human Rights Abuses)

5. Malcolm Chisholm (Edinburgh Northern and Leith) (Lab): To ask the First Minister whether the Scottish Government will speak out about human rights abuses in Qatar prior to the Scotland v Qatar football match on 5 June. (S4F-02838)

The First Minister (Nicola Sturgeon): Scotland has a very strong commitment to securing democracy, the rule of law and fundamental human rights around the world. The Scottish ministers share the concern of many about the treatment of migrant workers in Qatar, and we condemn human rights abuses in the strongest possible terms.

We have sought to engage constructively with Qatar on human rights. For example, we have offered to share Scotland's experiences in hosting major sporting events such as the Commonwealth games to help embed human rights and safe working practices in the preparation and staging of the Qatar world cup.

On that point, I will say one final thing. If the allegations of corruption around the awarding of the world cup to Qatar are found to be well founded, there is a very strong case for rerunning that competition.

Malcolm Chisholm: Does the First Minister share the widespread concerns in Scotland about the Scottish Football Association's decision to arrange the fixture tomorrow? Given that hundreds of workers have already died constructing football stadiums for the 2022 world cup, and that thousands more are facing forced labour and exploitation, will the Scottish Government—all the ministers in the Scottish Government—speak out loudly and clearly against the appalling human rights abuses in Qatar?

The First Minister: Yes, we will do that. I respect the views of those who think that tomorrow's match should not go ahead, but I hope that those who hold that view will accept that the other view—that sport can be a good way to engage and highlight human rights abuses—is also a legitimate one, particularly when that alternative view is held by organisations like Amnesty International.

The decision on the match tomorrow is one for the SFA, but I respect its decision to go ahead with that match, just as I respect the decision of any fans who choose not to attend it. However, instead of us in Scotland arguing over one football match, we should unite behind these two demands: first, that FIFA gets its house in order, that allegations of corruption are investigated

robustly and that anyone found guilty is brought to justice; and, secondly, that human rights are respected and upheld in every single part of the world, without exception. Those are the issues that really matter, and let us speak with one voice on both of them.

Alison Johnstone (Lothian) (Green): Can the First Minister indicate whether there is any coordination or liaison between the Scottish Government and sports governing bodies that would perhaps ensure that in future established criteria or policies are developed that would prevent inappropriate fixtures from being agreed in the first instance?

The First Minister: I am happy to explore how these things can be dealt with better so that some of what we are now grappling with can be avoided in future. Many governing bodies—I believe that this includes FIFA, although I do not have the particular provision in front of me just now—have very strict rules on Governments not interfering in the decisions that are taken about sporting events.

That said, there is no doubt that what is alleged—I stress "alleged"—to have been happening in and around FIFA is appalling and bringing into disrepute the reputation of a game that so many people across this country and across the world love and adore. It is absolutely essential that the allegations are dealt with, that anybody found guilty is brought to justice and that FIFA gets its house in order so that we can all go back to enjoying the wonderful spectacle of sport that we enjoy during the world cups.

Christina McKelvie (Hamilton, Larkhall and Stonehouse) (SNP): Can I draw the First Minister's attention to the actions of the Scottish Trades Union Congress, which with other groups has campaigned tirelessly to improve workers' rights in Qatar and intends to highlight the issue to fans at tomorrow evening's game? Does the First Minister support the STUC's actions?

The First Minister: Yes, I very strongly support the STUC's actions. Its action tomorrow is a way in which those who hold the view that sport can be a good way to engage can illustrate that. If a match is taking place, as well as being a sporting occasion it provides the opportunity to highlight concerns about human rights abuses or other issues of importance. The STUC is to be commended for the action that it plans to take tomorrow night, and I am sure that it will have the support of not just me but the many fans who will attend the game.

The Presiding Officer: Question 6, in the name of Stuart McMillan, has been withdrawn for understandable reasons.

Caledonian Canal

The Deputy Presiding Officer (Elaine Smith): The next item of business is a members' business debate on motion S4M-13221, in the name of Dave Thompson, on Caledonian canal—world first. The debate will be concluded without any

question being put.

Motion debated,

That the Parliament appreciates that the Caledonian Canal, in the parliamentary constituency of Skye, Lochaber and Badenoch, was the most technically advanced transsea ship canal when it fully opened in 1822 and was unsurpassed in scale until the Panama Canal opened 92 years later in 1914; acknowledges that the canal, which is now seven years short of its bicentenary year, took 17 years to complete, providing employment to some 1,800 Highlanders, and is currently used by ships to avoid perilous routes around the north of Scotland; understands that its construction advanced engineering knowledge and that it remained the preeminent canal of its kind until the Panama Canal, which is 12 miles shorter than its Highland rival, opened, and celebrates that the canal, from the Moray Firth to the Atlantic, remains a major Highland tourist attraction to this day.

12:34

Dave Thompson (Skye, Lochaber and Badenoch) (SNP): It is a great pleasure to lead today's debate on the Caledonian canal, which is a fantastic asset to my constituency of Skye, Lochaber and Badenoch and, indeed, the Inverness and Nairn constituency of my colleague, Fergus Ewing.

This maiestic canal is considered by many to be one of the greatest waterways in the world. Running from Fort William in the west to Inverness in the east, the 60-mile coast-to-coast channel passes through peaceful settlements, spectacular lochs and beautiful countryside. The scenery is awe inspiring along the canal's whole length, which is dominated by Scotland's highest mountains. including the United Kingdom's highest, Ben Nevis, which dominates the skyline above Fort William. The canal makes full use of Loch Ness, the UK's deepest loch, as well as utilising the natural waterways of Loch Lochy and Loch Oich.

Of course, it had been predicted. The famous Highland seer, Kenneth Mackenzie, known as the Brahan seer, prophesied that

"full-rigged ships will be seen sailing eastward and westward by the back of Tomnahurich."

Tomnahurich hill in Inverness is a mile or so from the sea.

The canal took 17 years to complete. At its peak, its construction provided employment to some 1,800 folk, including Scottish, English and Irish labourers. It remained the pre-eminent, most

technically advanced, trans-sea ship canal of its kind until the Panama canal opened in 1914. Of course, the Panama canal is 12 miles shorter than its Highland rival.

When Neptune's staircase was built at Banavie locks, it was the longest length of masonry on any canal in the world. The poet Robert Southey, a friend of Thomas Telford, said that it was

"the greatest work of art in Britain".

The Minister for Business, Energy and Tourism (Fergus Ewing): Does Mr Thompson recall, as I do, that the late Charles Kennedy singled out Neptune's staircase as his own favourite special place and visitor attraction in Scotland, and that he was a great champion of the Caledonian canal?

Dave Thompson: I do indeed recollect that. My wife Veronica and I met Charles, his wife Sarah and his son Donald on a number of occasions in the constituency over the years, and we were very saddened indeed to hear of the death of a very fine man.

The highest part of the Caledonian canal is at Loch Oich, which is 106 feet above sea level. There are a total of 29 locks, four aqueducts and 10 bridges along the canal.

On 3 October 1817, the *Inverness Journal* reported:

"On Saturday last a sloop and a barge, laden with coals, went through the Caledonian Canal to Fort-Augustus, having lain for some hours below the Muirtown drawbridge. The inhabitants of Inverness were apprised of the circumstance, and the novelty soon attracted a vast concourse of all ranks and ages; the banks were literally lined with spectators".

After 17 years, the canal fully opened in 1822, with the *Inverness Courier* of 24 October enthusiastically reporting:

"At ten o'clock on Wednesday morning ... the Lochness steam-yacht ... departed from the Locks of Muirtown, on the first voyage through the Canal amidst ... loud and enthusiastic cheerings ... and firing of cannon."

In 1834, another Scot, James Walker from Falkirk, who had worked for years designing the Surrey commercial docks, succeeded Telford as president of the Institution of Civil Engineers. He secured £300,000 in Government funding so that he could head for the Highlands to enhance and deepen the canal. That required the canal to be closed until 1847 but, once repaired, enhanced and deepened, it began to attract upwards of 500 vessels a year, including ships bound for the Baltic trade. The Caledonian canal, as completed by Telford, is only seven years short of its bicentenary.

What of the canal today? From the Beauly Firth to the Atlantic, it remains a major tourist attraction,

with families able to sail its length on cruisers. It is also used by ships to avoid perilous routes around the north of Scotland.

There will soon be a network of Alpine-style camping pods, commissioned by Scottish Canals, sited along the route, offering walkers, water users and cyclists a unique overnight stay in a distinctive, compact, modern structure that allows them to sense the unique nature of their location alongside the canal. The pods are inspired by the box beds used in old Highland croft houses. They are micro rooms that contain just the bed, with vertical sides, a lid and wooden doors, and are helpfully designed to mirror staying in a snug croft house or bothy.

Recently, one of the canal's most iconic buildings was brought back to life as unique holiday cottages. Officially opened by the transport minister, Derek Mackay, in December last year, Bona lighthouse was designed in 1815 by Telford and was the smallest manned inland lighthouse in Britain, guiding vessels between the waters of Loch Ness and the great canal. The cottage offers visitors to the area the perfect spot from which to explore the spectacular landscapes of the Highlands or somewhere simply to relax and watch the world—and maybe a boat or two—pass by.

Once an example of cutting-edge technology, Bona guided ships into the canal for more than a century before technological advances rendered it obsolete and it fell into disuse. However, the refurbishment means that we now have another tangible link to our Highland heritage that will benefit the visiting public; it will also secure additional income for Scottish Canals, helping to maintain the asset for future generations. I will visit Bona tomorrow with Andrew Thin, who is the chair of Scottish Canals, and I am thoroughly looking forward to seeing its restoration for myself.

What of the canal's future? Might we see a rotating boat lift, such as a Muirtown wheel to rival the Falkirk wheel—the millennium link project that connects the Forth and Clyde canal with the Union canal? Perhaps such a venture is worth delving into a little deeper—I will hand it over to my colleague Fergus Ewing. The central belt has not only the Falkirk wheel, but the fantastic Kelpies, and there is no reason why we should not try to emulate all that at the Caledonian canal. I am sure that there will be countless other suggestions as to how we can maximise the canal's undoubted appeal well into the future.

I like to think of the many Highland folk involved in the construction of the Caledonian canal and its resulting success, all of whom have long since passed away. I hope that, when they allow themselves a break from tending their crofts and their livestock to muse nostalgically, those

historical shadows of the original project, who brought and learned a great many skills in bringing the world famous canal to life, will be looking on and nodding with satisfied approval.

12:42

David Stewart (Highlands and Islands) (Lab): I congratulate Dave Thompson on securing the debate, and I associate myself with his remarks, and those made by Fergus Ewing, about the late Charles Kennedy.

As we have heard, the Caledonian canal is the largest of the Scottish canals, linking lochs Oich, Ness, Lochy and Dochfour over a distance of 60 miles along the line of the awe-inspiring Great Glen. As Dave Thompson said, the canal was built to provide a safe passage for ships travelling from the North Sea to the Atlantic coast. In the early 19th century, the journey through the Pentland Firth and around Cape Wrath was long and dangerous, and was made worse by the war with France. The building of the canal was important because it meant that our shipping could avoid the dangers of the Pentland Firth in getting from east to west, and vice versa.

The building project had the added advantage of providing much-needed work for the population of the Highlands and beyond. William Jessop and Thomas Telford were appointed as project engineers, and construction began in 1803. The canal was expected to take just seven years to complete. To link the lochs of the Great Glen, 22 miles of artificial waterway had to be dug by hand, and 28 huge locks—large enough to take a battleship—had to be constructed. Vast basins were also dug at each end to promote trade and industry. At the time, the canal was one of the largest civil engineering schemes ever undertaken in Britain—it was the Queensferry crossing of its day.

The canal was finally completed with a great ceremony in 1822. I note that it was completed at twice the projected cost—we have been there with large projects. As we heard from Dave Thompson, by 1844 major repairs were needed, and the canal was closed for three years. Nevertheless, I stress that the canal was and still is a great feat of engineering, as has been acknowledged across the globe.

Some may say that it was sad that, when the canal was built, it never fulfilled the grand design for which it was formed, which was to carry seaborne vessels from sea to sea. However, there was an upsurge in commercial traffic during the first world war, when components for the construction of mines were shipped through the canal, on their way to Inverness from America. Ownership passed to the Ministry of Transport in

1920, then to British Waterways and subsequently to Scottish Canals.

Members might be surprised to know that the canal is now a scheduled ancient monument that attracts more than half a million visitors per year. When Queen Victoria took a trip in 1873, the surrounding publicity resulted in a large increase in visitors to the region, and the numbers have grown ever since.

Dave Thompson touched on Bona lighthouse, which is one of the key issues on which I have campaigned over the past decade. It was designed by Thomas Telford, as we have heard, and was built on the site of a tolbooth that was there many hundreds of year before.

With your indulgence, Presiding Officer, I will make a six-degrees-of-separation point. As members know, we are only six steps away from anyone on the planet. My current office is at 3 Gordon Terrace in Inverness, which was a hotel in the 1880s, and it was in that building that Thomas Telford resided when he carried out the work on the canal—I am sure that he probably shared a room with Stewart Stevenson. I am campaigning to have the building recognised as having an historic connection and I hope that I can get crossparty support for that. I will be approaching Historic Scotland about its blue plaque award to make sure that that bit of history is recognised.

After 10 years of campaigning, I am delighted to have the lighthouse restored. Scottish Canals took up the challenge and commissioned the work to turn Bona lighthouse into two holiday cottages. Work was completed last year, just in time for the 200th anniversary.

I probably beat Dave Thompson to it, because I have visited the lighthouse many times. It is a first-class piece of workmanship. The light that once guided ships from Loch Ness into the canal has been retained in what is now the master bedroom of one of the cottages, with the lamp now acting as an unusual bedside illumination. A number of period features have been retained in both cottages, adding to the building's historic nature and further adding to the whole experience for tourists on a visit to the canal.

The Caledonian canal is rightly deemed to be one of the greatest waterways in the world. Who would have thought, more than 200 years ago, that it could have achieved that accolade? There is a lesson here for all of us. As others have said, we are at our best when we are at our boldest. To paraphrase Walter Scott, we need the will to do and the soul to dare. The time has come to open a new chapter in the life of the Caledonian canal, to mark the outstanding features and beauty of this iconic landmark and to build on the tourist attraction that it already is.

12:47

Jamie McGrigor (Highlands and Islands) (Con): David Stewart is right. The Caledonian canal is considered by many—correctly, in my view—to be one of the greatest waterways in the world. All of us can marvel at the inspired efforts of the great Thomas Telford and his colleague William Jessop in their pioneering engineering works that have truly stood the test of time. We should not underestimate the difficulties of the challenges that they faced, with 22 miles of new canal and 29 locks through difficult terrain, without the mechanised and advanced technology that civil engineers take for granted today.

The *Inverness Courier* said at the time of the canal's opening in October 1822 that it had transformed Scotland's geography, with the

"Western joined to the Eastern sea."

The history of the Caledonian canal is genuinely fascinating. Conceived as a way of providing a shortcut for trawlers and cargo ships seeking to avoid the long and treacherous voyage around the north of Scotland, it was also envisaged as a safe transport route for naval frigates during the Napoleonic war and it also helped bring employment. Sadly, like many public sector projects in the almost two centuries since, it came in 12 years late and massively over budget. It was the first ever state-funded transport project in the UK.

The Caledonian canal was much used militarily in the first world war, especially in shipping components for the construction of mines through the canal on the way to Inverness from America. Fishing boats used it to avoid the route around the north of Scotland. It was used in a similar way in the second world war.

Today, as Dave Thompson said, the canal is a very significant tourist attraction in my region. Visitors flock to what is a stunning location for a canal boat holiday or any kind of cruising, or indeed to catch a glimpse of Nessie. Walkers and ramblers can walk the full length of the canal on the Great Glen way. Those many hundreds of thousands of visitors contribute a great deal to the economy and they can all be sure of a very warm Highland welcome. I encourage colleagues who have not visited the canal to do so.

During the never-to-be-forgotten year of foot-and-mouth, which was devastating to Scottish farmers and crofters, I became involved with a sortie of 140 small French and Dutch boats taking part in what was called the Great Glen raid on the Caledonian canal. That was a tremendous event that was made all the more difficult by the foot-and-mouth regulations—but still, it took place. I made a speech in French to the assembled throng of sailors at the social club in Fort William and

pointed out how useful the canal had been in defeating Napoleon. That went down very well with the Dutch, but not so well with the French.

The canal is revered by boating enthusiasts from many countries. From the tourism angle, I am well aware of the two independent boat hirers: Caley Cruisers, in Inverness, and West Highland Sailing, which is based at Laggan. The latter incorporates Le Boat, which is a pan-European company. Those companies have 34 cruisers between them. The Le Boat contingent is worldwide and is mostly from outside the UK, so the companies bring in very valuable tourism from the UK and the rest of the world.

On a slightly sour note, it is rumoured that Scottish Canals wants to turn the Laggan car park, which has always been free, into a fee-paying car park. That is counterproductive. Is using public money to build a cafe there when there is one there already also not perhaps counterproductive? Scottish Canals should improve the infrastructure facilities for the cruises, and the Scottish Government should make that possible through better funding. I do not believe that there is any canal in Europe that does not rely on state funding-and there is no other that has a built-in monster. Maintaining the canal in good condition requires constant work by Scottish Canals and is costly.

Incidentally, on the monster front, I asked a visiting primary school class from Fort Augustus yesterday whether anybody had seen the monster. A young man called Roland said that he saw it recently near Urquhart castle. I was very glad to hear that.

I am delighted that repairs are being done to the towpaths by Scottish Canals, as I am honorary president of the Highland Disabled Ramblers Association, whose members have been known to ramble with their scooters along the canal towpaths. I am sure that they will be delighted with the upgrade so that their rides are safer and less bumpy and there is less chance of any of them ending up in the canal.

12:52

Stewart Stevenson (Banffshire and Buchan Coast) (SNP): I congratulate Dave Thompson on giving us the opportunity to debate this important topic.

As invited by Dave Stewart, I tell him that my wife and I were married in Bona kirk in 1969, and my mother-in-law and my now wife lived at Lochend, which is a mere 400m to 500m from Bona lighthouse. Indeed, the canal contributed to the good eating in the Pirie household because, whenever a fishing boat came through, my mother-in-law used to dash up and persuade the

fishermen to provide her with free fish, which was excellent nutrition.

I am delighted to hear that Mr Thompson will meet Andrew Thin shortly. Mr Thompson should give him my regards. He was always one of the most effective public appointments to a chair, and I am delighted to hear of his continuing contribution.

Jamie McGrigor said that the canal is the only one with its own monster. That is almost certainly true, but an interesting little footnote to that is that 1,000 new species of marine animals have been discovered in the past 12 months alone. Given that the body of water is the deepest, longest and biggest in the UK—in fact, in aggregate, it exceeds the sum of all the bodies of water in the UK—there is plenty of space for even large animals to be discovered if we turn our minds to that.

We have heard that the canal led the world for 100 years. It was not the earliest canal by any manner of means, of course. In my constituency, for example, the Saint Fergus and North Ugie canal was provided. It never seems to have delivered very much, and all sign of it has disappeared.

The Caledonian canal had a broader context. Thomas Telford undertook something that we now think of as a modern invention: a master plan of transport in the Highlands. That included revising parts of the Crinan canal, building 920 miles of new roads and more than 1,000 new bridges, and improving the harbours at Peterhead and Banff, which was critical for my constituents. It was part of a programme of public works that benefited the Highlands, created employment and, by creating new infrastructure, laid the future for important developments that we continue to exploit today through tourism.

Thomas Telford, who came from the Borders, from Dumfriesshire, in founding the Institution of Civil Engineers in 1818 and being its first president, contributed to the intellectual life of Scotland as well. He was also recognised as an effective poet, so to be an engineer is not to disconnect one from the world of the arts.

The canal remains a significant part of our infrastructure, with 29 locks. As we have heard, it is an important part of our defence infrastructure. Indeed, the parliamentary debates that preceded the passing of the act on 27 July 1803 majored on providing the then wooden ships that we had with protection from Napoleon's marauders around the coasts of Scotland. That is one of the reasons why work on the canal slowed down a bit after the defeat of Napoleon at the battle of Waterloo, because once he was defeated some of the urgency seems to have gone out of the construction of the canal.

I will close by saying that the least remembered loch on the canal, Loch Dochfour, is the smallest one but the one on whose shores, in the adjacent market gardens, my mother-in-law used to work, so I have a wheen of connections with the canal, which I am delighted to bring to the attention of Parliament. As for the Brahan seer, poor soul, he was burnt in oil at Chanonry Point in sight, across the firth, of the entrance to the canal.

I congratulate Mr Thompson.

12:57

Hanzala Malik (Glasgow) (Lab): I thank Dave Thompson for lodging the motion for today's debate on the Caledonian canal. The canal has a great history that links some of the major elements of Scotland. It is a great piece of engineering that goes through some of the most stunning landscapes in the world.

As a feature of engineering and design, the Caledonian canal was extremely ambitious. Linking the lochs of the Great Glen with 22 miles of artificial waterways was an achievement in its own right. The canal connected the Highlands to the rest of Scotland and linked Inverness with places such as Glasgow, which is my home town.

Scotland can be proud of its recent achievements in promoting and developing the canal as a great community space, not only for those who are on the water but for those who enjoy a walk or a bike ride—or a buggy ride, for that matter—on the canal paths. The most iconic addition to the regeneration of Scotland's canal system is the Kelpies, which I recently visited with my mother, and we both thoroughly enjoyed our time there. I hope to take my grandchildren there this weekend if I get the opportunity.

The Kelpies, which have become an iconic public artwork, were created by Andy Scott, who is from my home town of Glasgow. You will notice that Glasgow is featuring a lot in my speech, Presiding Officer. Those two tall horse heads made of steel now stand alongside the Forth and Clyde canal near Falkirk in the outdoor recreation park between Falkirk and Grangemouth.

My region, Glasgow, has a major canal redevelopment project, including plans for our own Bigman bridge in Maryhill, which will also be sculpted by Andy Scott. That is coupled with several other projects in the Maryhill transformational regeneration area, which will focus on building a mixture of affordable homes as well as creating training and job opportunities for local people, so the canals still continue to play an important role for us.

The Kelpies have quickly become a well-loved landmark. Many of my constituents are excited by

the drawings of the sculpture on the bridge in Glasgow. However, many people have been saying that, before we spend £4.5 million on a bridge, we should spend money on cleaning the canal and making the paths more user friendly.

The Deputy Presiding Officer: Mr Malik, in your last minute, could you relate some of your speech to the Caledonian canal, if you do not mind? Thank you.

Hanzala Malik: It would be my pleasure, Presiding Officer.

I have no wish to take anything away from all the people who are working hard on all the regeneration projects all around Scotland, so I pass on my good wishes to everyone who is connected with the canals and those who are working hard to make a difference by identifying our heritage and building on our new-found culture and arts.

13:01

The Minister for Transport and Islands (Derek Mackay): I am delighted to respond on the Scottish Government's behalf. I congratulate Dave Thompson on securing the debate and focusing our minds on the Caledonian canal.

Constructive contributions have been made by members, including Hanzala Malik, who was clearly making a funding application for Glasgow canal projects. He made a helpful point about the importance of regeneration packages coming together around canals, which moves us on from the perception that canals are areas of dereliction and abandonment to the idea that they are areas for regeneration and economic activity.

The Caledonian canal, whose structure has such wonderful potential, is an important historic asset. At the time of its construction, it was a groundbreaking project, and it is one of the legacies of the great Scottish engineer Thomas Telford. It is difficult to imagine the Great Glen without that magnificent thread running through its length.

There has been a revelation in the chamber today. It will be in the *Official Report* that the Loch Ness monster exists. How do we know so? Because Jamie McGrigor met someone who said that they saw it. The issue will now be an official matter for the Scottish Parliament. The media will be standing by ready to report that around the world.

Stewart Stevenson: Will the minister take an intervention?

Derek Mackay: I have competition. I will take an intervention.

Stewart Stevenson: The minister will be aware that, at the end of the year, the proceedings of the Scots Parliament are bound and placed as a legally enforceable document in the National Library of Scotland, which gives added force to his remarks.

Derek Mackay: Indeed. The matter is now official. My greatest accolade in the Scottish Parliament is that I have made the Loch Ness monster a real being.

David Stewart: Does the minister share my view that the Loch Ness monster is probably looking for floating voters?

Derek Mackay: I am not quite sure how to respond to that—the ministerial briefing notes have not prepared me for this light diversion.

On the subject of diversion, I noticed that Dave Stewart challenged Stewart Stevenson to make a personal connection, and Stewart Stevenson managed to make two. I am sure that he has some relation to the Loch Ness monster, too, going by the speeches thus far.

Since we have departed from chamber etiquette, I am sure that the Presiding Officer will allow me to welcome to the Scottish Parliament our visitors, the singing children of Africa, who are our guests from Kenya. I am delighted that they are present. They, too, will feature in the Scottish Parliament's Official Report.

I will return to the Caledonian canal for a couple of minutes. The canal has made a huge contribution to tourism. It accounts for around 14 per cent of total Highland tourism and supports around 500 jobs locally.

Scottish Canals, which owns and manages all our canals on behalf of the people of Scotland, works with a number of businesses and public sector partners to deliver a wide range of activities, which some members have touched on. I met the board of Scottish Canals only last week on, as it happens, the Caledonian canal. It was my first-ever meeting on a barge.

The canal's core users are boaters—yachts and, partly, fishing vessels. It still attracts users from across mainland Europe. It is reported that, last year, more than 1,000 vessels transited the canal. That is a substantial and impressive figure.

The Great Glen has always been a natural route for travellers and the Caledonian canal has been a magnet for such activities. The towpaths are also used by walkers and cyclists. Substantial towpath improvements have been delivered along the canal's length. More than 20km has been upgraded, which attracted £1 million of Scottish Government and other public investment. I was delighted to meet pupils from Dochgarroch primary

school as they enjoyed the improvements that have been made to the towpath.

There is also work on the canoe trail that Scottish Canals launched in 2007. The paddlers have greatly enjoyed the improvements in that as well. In the past two years, paddle activity companies have located businesses and bases on the canal.

Other investments are being made along the canal corridor. At Laggan locks, investment totalling £360,000 is being made in establishing a bothy location, an outdoor activities hub and a kiosk. Of that, £155,000 comes from the Scottish Government scenic routes initiative to create a visitor facility at Laggan. Young architects have designed an eye-catching kiosk that will complement its spectacular location. In addition, Scottish Canals is committed to developing new tourism infrastructure at Fort Augustus, which is the busiest tourist spot on the Caledonian canal. I look forward to those developments.

As part of the Scottish scenic route programme, a brief is being developed for a viewing platform at Neptune's staircase at the western end of the canal. Scottish Canals is developing plans to celebrate that spectacular location, which has arguably the best view of Ben Nevis.

Scottish Canals has redeveloped a number of its historic buildings along the canal and made them available as high-quality holiday lets. They include the Bona lighthouse on Loch Ness, which a number of members mentioned and which I was delighted to open as the appropriate minister. I am sure that it will have a fantastic future.

The Caledonian canal is a historic monument that is, unusually, still fully operational. A structure of such age will continue to have a future if it is properly maintained. However, members will all be aware that, in March this year, a major breach occurred at Cullochy to the east of Loch Oich, with the height of the loch dropping by 1.5m. We require Scottish Canals to hold reserves to manage such incidents, but I was delighted to be able to intervene with financial support to the organisation.

Scottish Canals has attracted the world canals conference to Inverness, where it will be held in September 2016. That will be a great opportunity to show the Caledonian canal to an international audience. It will also be a platform for Scottish businesses that are involved in innovative areas of engineering, water asset management and tourism to showcase products and services.

Again, I congratulate all those who have contributed to the Caledonian canal's success—past, present and future.

13:08

Meeting suspended.

14:30

On resuming—

Scottish Parliamentary Corporate Body Question Time

Committee Rooms (On-screen Presentations)

1. John Mason (Glasgow Shettleston) (SNP): To ask the Scottish Parliamentary Corporate Body what improvements can be made to committee rooms so that on-screen presentations can be seen by everyone present. (S4O-04425)

John Pentland (Scottish Parliamentary Corporate Body): The presentation equipment currently used in committee rooms is portable equipment that, if requested, can be configured to display presentations on multiple screens located around the room, thus allowing on-screen presentations to be seen by everyone present.

John Mason: The reality is that, when I attended the cross-party group on industrial communities last week, the majority of people present could not read the presentation on the screen, because it was so small. Last night, I attended the cross-party group on credit unions. Again, the people present could not see what was written on the screens. Would the member accept that there is a certain amount of frustration that we cannot cope with that high-tech side of things?

John Pentland: The member raises a valid question, but he will know that, as part of the digital Parliament programme, a pilot of audiovisual technologies is being conducted in four meeting rooms. Feedback from the pilot will be used to inform future decisions on audiovisual technologies.

The current mobile presentation equipment used in committee rooms could be linked to the broadcasting system to ensure that a committee meeting is broadcast, provided that sufficient notice is given.

Training Courses (Effectiveness)

2. Mary Scanlon (Highlands and Islands) (Con): To ask the Scottish Parliamentary Corporate Body what evaluation has been done on the effectiveness of its training courses. (S40-04427)

John Pentland (Scottish Parliamentary Corporate Body): The SPCB evaluates all learning and development. We request that post-course evaluation is completed by all attendees on courses that are arranged by the SPCB. The evaluations ask about the ease of booking, the accessibility of the location, whether attendees were satisfied with the quality and content, and

how they will use the training in their role. Human resources uses the responses to make amendments to training courses when required.

The SPCB has planned changes to the delivery model for training provision, which will modernise learning and development.

Mary Scanlon: I wonder whether we can consider the staff who could benefit from training. I carried out my own informal straw poll among MSP staff to find that most staff have not had any training since 1999, apart from on fire safety. One person did a half-day course on Word, and another walked out of a course, as she saw it as a waste of time. Some recent recruits have signed up for training only to find that it is only offered on Tuesdays, Wednesdays and Thursdays, which are the busiest days for MSPs and staff. Others hope that some training might be available in recess.

Is it not time to carry out a training needs analysis of MSP staff to discover their training and development needs, so that they are supported in carrying out their jobs effectively and efficiently, and so that they can continue to grow and develop their careers?

John Pentland: Mary Scanlon raises another good question. I am sure that she will know that a range of training is available to MSP staff. For example, MSP staff can access a range of elearning packages and can attend courses provided by the SPCB. Members can access funds for training through the members' expenses scheme. This information can be found on the Parliament website.

Mary Fee (West Scotland) (Lab): Sometimes, there is a perception that training courses are just a tick-box exercise and an excuse for a catch-up, with no emphasis on outcomes. I am sure that that is not the case with Scottish Parliament staff. Could the member advise us what the Scottish Parliament staff's experience is of the training provided?

John Pentland: I am not too sure whether the member is aware of it, but the recent staff experience survey shows a very high degree of satisfaction with the learning and development provided by the SPCB: 89 per cent of staff felt that they had fair access to learning and development opportunities; 90 per cent felt that they had opportunities to develop their skills and experience over the past year; and 88 per cent believed that the learning and development opportunities that they have had have helped them to do their job better.

Events (Business Sponsorship)

3. John Wilson (Central Scotland) (Ind): To ask the Scottish Parliamentary Corporate Body what assessment it has made of the use of

business sponsorship for Parliament events. (S4O-04426)

Fabiani (Scottish **Parliamentary** Linda Corporate Body): The Scottish Parliamentary Corporate Body is making an on-going assessment. When the SPCB considers its programme of major events, it considers the merits of business sponsorship, always looking to enhance and extend our activities as appropriate. It is important to say that any agreed business sponsorship should add to the event or exhibition in the programme. We would always consider any potential reputational risk to our Parliament before agreeing to any sponsorship.

I will give two examples. An on-going one is the festival of politics, on which we work in partnership with a number of organisations to enhance the festival experience. A recent example is the SPCB's work with partners to deliver the world-class "Andy Warhol: Pop, Power and Politics" exhibition, for which we secured funding from external organisations to bring the exhibition to Scotland.

John Wilson: On 14 April this year it was announced that the Parliament building was to be rented out for receptions and dinners as an expansion of an existing pilot programme. The Scottish Government's guidelines on sponsorship of corporate events say:

"sponsorship arrangements must not compromise the dignity or public standing of the Government ... Sponsorship must not be accepted from inappropriate sources ... e.g. companies with dubious or doubtful background."

With that in mind, why was it deemed appropriate to accept donations from a company called Lockheed Martin, which clearly is involved in a number of areas on the world stage, not only including the production of Trident nuclear weapons, which this Parliament voted against on several occasions?

Linda Fabiani: There are a few different issues there. John Wilson brought in a separate issue to the issue that the original question was on, which was business sponsorship of Parliament events. We are now looking at the on-going pilot for commercial events, too. Let us separate those two completely separate issues.

The particular Parliament event that John Wilson mentioned regarding Lockheed Martin was the Scottish public service awards 2014. The Scottish Parliament co-hosted that event because the Parliament was an appropriate venue for the inaugural awards, which recognised the achievements of public servants from many organisations across Scotland. Lockheed Martin was one of a number of companies who sponsored the awards—it was not the sole

sponsor. Lockheed Martin is one the largest public sector suppliers of information technology systems.

Open Data

4. Patrick Harvie (Glasgow) (Green): To ask the Scottish Parliamentary Corporate Body whether its practices comply with the open data strategy published by the Scottish Government and what action it will take to address the issues that it raises. (S4O-04424)

Linda Fabiani (Scottish Parliamentary Corporate Body): In the interests of fairness I say to Patrick Harvie that the corporate body discussed the right pronunciation of the word "data", and I decided to use whichever pronunciation Patrick Harvie did not use.

The open data strategy sets out that public sector organisations in Scotland should publish open data publication plans by the end of 2015, with all data sets being published as open data by 2017. I am pleased to tell the chamber that as of today our first 60 data sets, including ones on questions, motions and petitions, have been published online, with more to be made available over the months ahead.

The SPCB is on course to comply with the open data strategy well ahead of the deadline.

Patrick Harvie: I will stick with the "Star Trek" pronunciation.

Open data is incredibly important in both private and public sector organisations, but where more so than in a Parliament whose business is supposed to be transparent and accountable? One of the open data principles is around usability by all. Would it not make sense to ensure that our Official Report links very clearly through to the video record of our proceedings to ensure maximum usability? If a person searches for a question, they should be able to click through easily and see the context in which it was asked in both text and video.

Linda Fabiani: One can always rely on Patrick Harvie to come up with a question that one has not had notice of from the officers who deal with the issues.

What Patrick Harvie suggests sounds eminently sensible to me, and I could tell from the reaction of my three SPCB colleagues that they felt the same. It seems quite straightforward to us, but then again we are not the experts who have to deal with the issues on data—I am using what my colleague Liz Smith assures me is the Latin pronunciation. We will get back to the chamber on that one. If it is more difficult than it would seem, we will let members know the reasons why.

Scotland's Relationship with Alcohol

The Deputy Presiding Officer (John Scott): Before we move to the next item of business, which is a debate on motion S4M-13358, in the name of Shona Robison, on making progress on changing Scotland's relationship with alcohol, I remind members that for the purposes of the sub judice rule in standing orders, there should be no discussion of on-going legal proceedings about minimum pricing. I refer members to the advice that was issued yesterday on issues that should not be raised during the debate. The Presiding Officers will ask any member who refers to those issues to stop doing so and will make full use of their powers if they consider that any member is persistently breaching that rule.

14:42

The Cabinet Secretary for Health, Wellbeing and Sport (Shona Robison): Scotland's relationship with alcohol has—rightly—concerned the Parliament deeply over the past decade and a half. We know that alcohol use is one of the top risk factors for non-communicable diseases. All too often, international comparisons place Scotland well behind the health curve of our European neighbours.

I called this debate following constructive crossparty discussions. I wish to enable a non-partisan and collaborative conversation that reaches across the chamber.

The Scottish Government's strategy in "Changing Scotland's Relationship with Alcohol: A Framework for Action" has seen a good deal of progress since 2009 and much of that work remains on-going. However, we cannot be complacent and we must look to the next steps for action in the medium term. That is why I am commencing today our cross-parliamentary consideration on where next for alcohol. I welcome suggestions and I look forward to substantive contributions from all participants.

Our 2009 strategy was based largely on recommendations made by the world's leading non-communicable disease authority on prevention—the World Health Organization. The WHO's priority actions are evidence based and encourage countries worldwide to implement 10 priority measures on alcohol, including measures on pricing, availability, marketing and advertising, as well as drink-driving policies, community action and health service programmes such as alcohol brief interventions. We are making good progress on the WHO recommendations and we should all be proud that the Scottish approach fares so well against the WHO checklist.

Just last month, another world-renowned body—the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development—published a report on the economics of tackling harmful alcohol use. The OECD further validated the Scottish approach and advised that the most effective and economically prudent package should include fiscal and regulatory measures, healthcare interventions and a strategy that combines healthcare and regulatory measures.

It is clear that international experts feel that we are heading in the right direction, but we are not there yet by any means. There can be no dispute that one death associated with alcohol is one too many. While there remain averages of around 700 hospital admissions and 20 deaths a week because of alcohol misuse, no morally responsible Government or Parliament can rest easy.

It is true that the number of alcohol-related deaths has fallen by 35 per cent since 2003—I welcome that, of course—but the number remains 1.4 times higher than that in 1981, which concerns me greatly. Furthermore, I am sure that every member will agree that the rise in alcohol-related deaths that is seen in the most recent data, for 2013, is of great concern.

It is too early to know whether that rise marks the beginning of an upward trend, but we must be alert to the possibility that alcohol-related harm may increase again as the economic climate improves. It is highly likely that declining affordability because of the economic downturn in recent years is responsible for a substantial proportion of the improvements that we have seen. That is why an effective pricing mechanism that is capable of responding to affordability is important. That view is endorsed by the WHO and the OECD.

Our European neighbours look to us as pioneers on pricing. Many await the outcome of the minimum unit pricing litigation. We have the support of many, and I thank people for all their efforts on that in many areas. I thank Alcohol Focus Scotland, Scottish Health Action on Alcohol Problems, the British Medical Association Scotland, Eurocare and the many advocacy bodies across Europe and the member states that have shown their support for many of our policies. We will wait and see. As the Presiding Officer said, this is not the place for the debate on minimum unit pricing. That matter will be determined in September.

Our framework for action goes much wider than minimum unit pricing. It contains more than 40 measures that seek to reduce consumption, support families and communities, encourage more positive attitudes and positive choices, and improve treatment and support services.

We continue to take a whole-population approach, because alcohol use impacts on people from every walk of life. Although it is true that heavy drinkers consume by far the greatest proportion of alcohol that is drunk in this country, the harms of alcohol use can be far ranging. Even relatively modest consumption patterns increase the risks of non-communicable diseases such as cardiac disease and cancers. Harm to others can manifest in many ways, from impacting on parenting capacity to potentially serious alcohol-related violence.

Our journey has seen great strides forward. Time constraints do not permit me to go into every detail of the significant progress that has been made across the breadth of the strategy, so I will highlight a few examples.

Our introduction of the quantity discount ban saw alcohol sales reduce by an estimated 2.6 per cent. We legislated to ban irresponsible promotions and we have made a record investment of more than £278 million since 2008, of which £250 million has gone directly to the 30 alcohol and drug partnerships that we have established to meet local priorities.

We introduced a lower drink-drive limit last year. That move is now being called for in other parts of the United Kingdom, and it has already had promising results. We have improved substance misuse education through the ability of the curriculum for excellence to take a broad look across health and wellbeing, and we have introduced a hugely successful nationwide alcohol brief interventions programme. More than 470,000 ABIs have been delivered.

I will touch on that programme for a moment. I am keen for us to get to the harder-to-reach consumers of alcohol through it. That is why we are doubling the capacity from this year for national health service boards to deliver ABIs in wider settings, from a 10 per cent to a 20 per cent quota.

We need to focus on health inequalities. We have seen improvements in alcohol-related health inequalities in recent years, but death rates for those in the most deprived groups are still six times higher than those in the least deprived groups. That is why we specifically emphasise tackling inequalities through the work of ABIs. We are also looking at how uptake can be facilitated in justice settings such as custody suites and prisons.

I will return briefly to education, because I am sure that there will be a consensus in the chamber that getting our messages right about alcohol is imperative. That is why I am delighted to announce that, this year, the Scottish Government will develop a new social marketing campaign

around parents as role models, which will run in early 2016.

We must do all that we can to protect children and young people from exposure to alcohol-related harm. That is why the Air Weapons and Licensing (Scotland) Bill creates new offences of supplying alcohol to children or young people for consumption in a public place, which fulfils a manifesto commitment. That is also why I am sympathetic to the spirit of Richard Simpson's ideas about advertising and marketing, where he focuses on the exposure of children to alcohol advertising. I am sure that we will have further positive discussion on that. The moral imperative is strong, and I look forward to finding areas of parliamentary consensus.

That brings me to one of the difficulties in taking a truly holistic approach to tackling alcohol-related harm—the limitations on the Parliament's ability to take action in some areas. While powers on broadcast advertising remain reserved, we do not have the full levers at our disposal to protect children and young people from the more influential channels such as television and, increasingly, digital platforms, including social media.

The devolution of power over broadcast advertising was not among the recommendations to come out of the Smith commission process, and nor was the consultation that this Government requested on alcohol duty rates. With the chancellor's recent abolition of the duty escalator, combined with cuts and freezes across various alcohol categories that will only make alcohol more affordable, the case for the Scottish Government to have a say on UK alcohol duty has never been stronger. The devolution of weights and measures powers would also allow Scotland to further tailor our approach to serving sizes, which would complement the multibuy discount ban.

I intend, in a positive and constructive way, to engage with the UK Government on those issues, and I hope that we can arrive at some consensus that will allow us to move forward on a UK basis. If not, I hope that Scotland will have the appetite to move forward on those issues.

The alcohol licensing regime, which is being further enhanced through the Air Weapons and Licensing (Scotland) Bill, provides a robust locally led system for regulating the sale of alcohol. Local licensing boards are equipped to take decisions on licence applications that take full account of the public health objective that Scotland has enshrined in the licensing system.

Our national alcohol charity, Alcohol Focus Scotland, has been working to empower local players to present robust evidence to local

licensing boards that will support their consideration of applications for premises and help to tackle issues such as overprovision. Today, I am pleased to confirm additional funding to Alcohol Focus Scotland for a new post to assist in that.

This October, Scotland will have the great honour of hosting the prestigious Global Alcohol Policy Alliance conference, with international experts coming together to share knowledge and build capacity around tackling alcohol-related harm. I very much look forward to engaging in constructive discussion at the conference about the latest thinking worldwide. I am sure that there will be ideas that we will wish to capture for Scotland, and perhaps ideas that others will want to take forward in the rest of the world. I expect the next phase of our alcohol strategy to be ready in early 2016.

Over the coming months, I urge all members to reflect on the progress that we have made on the journey so far, since our framework's launch in 2009. I have laid out some of that today, and I hope that there will be consensus that that has been a good start.

Dr Richard Simpson (Mid Scotland and Fife) (Lab): One of the provisions that the Parliament passed in 2010 gave local authorities the potential to impose a social responsibility levy. The Government had to produce regulations for that, but it decided not to do so. That was one of the ideas that came forward but, although it is in law, the Government has not acted on it, and I wonder why.

Shona Robison: The short answer is that the decision was made because of economic considerations and the economic climate of the day. Members have heard John Swinney responding to that point and citing that very reason. It is because of the fragility of some of the businesses that would be involved. However, we obviously want to keep the position under review.

As I said, there has been a large degree of consensus—not on all matters, but broadly speaking—that the progress so far has been good. That progress has certainly not been by the Government alone. We have worked with a range of partners, including the NHS, the police, third sector organisations and the alcohol industry.

Many actions must be continued, and we will want to pursue new ideas. The opportunity today is to begin to tease out the areas on which there may be consensus.

I urge everyone to be cognisant of the likely impact of the economic recession on Scotland's alcohol-related harm statistics. The impact of such harms continues to be felt across our communities. Only a comprehensive, sustained

and preventative approach, which addresses the key WHO priorities, will turn around our relationship with alcohol.

That will not happen overnight. We have been working for more than six years on a sustained alcohol strategy, but even that timeframe is not long enough to turn around Scotland's relationship, culture and behaviour with alcohol. That is a generational issue, so it will take us much longer to turn that around into—I hope—a more positive relationship with alcohol.

I hope that my speech has given members a flavour of where we are on the right track and what actions we want to continue. The Government is very open to hearing ideas from all sides of the chamber and to building a consensus on the strategy's next phase. We will work on that phase over the next few months and introduce it early next year.

I am happy to accept the Labour amendment.

I move,

That the Parliament recognises the progress to date on tackling alcohol misuse in Scotland and the impact of the Scottish Government's comprehensive 2009 strategy, Changing Scotland's Relationship with Alcohol: A Framework for Action: further recognises the valuable contribution made to tackling alcohol misuse by local alcohol and drug partnerships, the third sector and the public sector, including the NHS and Police Scotland; welcomes the recent decline in some alcohol-related harms, especially in lower income areas; further agrees that substantial preventative action to tackle alcohol misuse remains essential, given the wholly unacceptable average of 20 alcohol-related deaths and 700 alcohol-related hospital admissions each week in Scotland; welcomes views on additional measures to help tackle alcohol misuse, and acknowledges the importance of price in any long-term strategy to tackle alcohol misuse and the Scottish Government's commitment to introducing minimum unit pricing.

14:57

Jenny Marra (North East Scotland) (Lab): I thank the Scottish Government for bringing this important debate to the chamber.

Presiding Officer, I respect your initial remarks on the sub judice issue. However, I am confused about why a sub judice issue was included in a Government motion. My understanding is that Government motions set the parameters for the debate. I raised the issue with the clerks yesterday, and I was told that a sub judice issue could be included in a Government motion but could not be discussed. I accepted that explanation, although I am not sure that I understand it fully.

I am happy to say that Labour members will support the motion, and I thank the Cabinet Secretary for Health, Wellbeing and Sport for accepting our amendment.

I am sure that most if not all of us in the chamber and beyond the walls of the Parliament do not need to look far in our own lives to see how alcohol can impact individuals, relationships, families, children and people's incomes, work, careers and friendships.

Today, we as a Parliament, along with the Scottish Government, consider how public policy can reduce alcohol consumption to mitigate some of those effects on people's lives. The difficulty in doing that is that alcohol is legal, widely available, sociable and, in many ways, a good thing. Ultimately, it is up to our own volition and ability to moderate its consumption. That is a very liberal argument, but it is at the heart of the debate—the balance between restrictions through legislation and public policy and control of our own behaviour. What can be such a good thing in moderation—a libation, a social relaxant, a treat, and an enjoyable reward for celebration and hard work—can tip into being a culture, a crutch, and something that no event or social occasion can be without. That takes us to the place that, unfortunately, many of our communities are in, where too many lives are lost and impacted by its devastating consequences.

We cannot be under any illusion how damaging alcohol is to Scotland. The briefings that we have received for the debate have been helpful. They point out that, in Scotland, there are 20 deaths every week due to alcohol misuse. Deaths from liver cirrhosis in 2010 were around 40 per cent higher than the European Union average. We drink almost a fifth more than our neighbours in England and Wales. Despite modest recent improvements, rates of alcohol-related hospital admissions in Scotland are more than four times higher than they were in the early 1980s. Harmful drinking is not unique to Scotland, but seeing our name right at the top of the league tables on every measure of alcohol abuse is a deep stain on our image and pride in our country.

I have touched on the social and human impact of alcohol abuse, but it is important not to forget its effect on our economy and our ability to work and be productive. That, as well as the human cost and the health impact, must be a crucial element of the debate.

Rebalancing Scotland's relationship with alcohol is a shared ambition across the Parliament. There is a range of views on how best to achieve that and a lot of consensus. I acknowledge the Government's commitment to the issue, as noted in the motion.

Without easy or simple solutions, it is difficult to bring about the change of culture that we require. I am sure that the ban on multibuy discounts and other measures that the Cabinet Secretary for Health, Wellbeing and Sport highlighted played a significant role in the recent fall in consumption, although the economic downturn is acknowledged as another factor.

We welcome some of the modest improvements that have been made. Scotland was the first country in the world to implement alcohol brief interventions, whose effectiveness is long established, in a national programme. The fact that the programme has outperformed its initial targets is an excellent recommendation for the Scottish Government to continue it.

The reduction in alcohol-related deaths among the most deprived people in Scotland signals a small start to reducing health inequality, which we must welcome and fully support. Although there has been a 35 per cent fall since 2003 in alcohol-related deaths, that still leaves deaths 40 per cent higher than in the 1990s, according to Scottish Health Action on Alcohol Problems. As the cabinet secretary said, the rise in alcohol-related deaths since 2013 is concerning but does not challenge the overall downward trend.

I also welcome the constructive way in which the Government approached the motion and the cabinet secretary's willingness to consider other additional measures. In that respect, I hope that the Scottish Government will consider the measures that my colleague Dr Richard Simpson proposes in his member's bill, given his undoubted expertise in, and passion for, the subject.

I will take members briefly through some of the proposals in Richard Simpson's bill. I do not want to leave that to the closing speeches, as I would like some of the issues to be debated. The bill has some constructive proposals in a range of areas, such as alcohol advertising and retailing, licensing laws and how we rehabilitate offenders with alcohol problems.

Packaging up multipacks and selling them off so that each unit is cheaper encourages heavier drinking, so there are proposals on that in the bill.

There are also proposals to restrict alcohol marketing, especially where children might be exposed. It is within the scope of the Scotland Act 1998 to do that in public areas on billboards, in bus shelters and on public transport. I noted the cabinet secretary's initial comments that broadcasting is reserved to Westminster, but I am sure that, with the increase in representation at Westminster that her party has recently enjoyed, its MPs can make the case along with our colleagues there.

Richard Simpson's bill proposes restrictions on caffeinated alcohol. It seeks to establish a legal limit of 150mg of caffeine per litre of pre-mixed alcoholic drinks, based on the limit in Denmark, that would apply to the retail of such products only. It does not propose an outright ban.

I know that the cabinet secretary feels strongly about alcohol education. The bill would require the Scottish Government to publish a programme of public alcohol education, evaluate its effects and report to Parliament on its successes.

Other ideas in Richard Simpson's bill are a presumption against discrimination against 18 to 21-year-olds in off-sale premises, and community consultation, in which an approach similar to that of New Zealand would be adopted, where a neighbourhood is consulted and must have its views taken into account by the licensing board when it issues, renews or extends a licence. I am sure that the cabinet secretary will be aware of that example. It may sound overly bureaucratic but there was a situation in our home city of Dundee recently in which the health board objected to a local cafe's application to sell alcohol. The community council's voice was not part of that process. A community consultative approach, as Dr Simpson has proposed, might be a more inclusive and constructive way of engaging the community in licensing decisions, as opposed to a process in which organisations and individuals can lodge objections directly to the licensing board. I hope that suggestions in Richard Simpson's bill will be discussed at greater length during the debate.

We know that Scotland is changing and I believe that our relationship with alcohol is changing, too. We would be silly to deny that the macho culture of hard drinking still exists in our communities. Much of it is ingrained in our identity and is still celebrated and joked about in an unhealthy way. My strong sense, though, is that people today—especially young people—have greater awareness of their health. They have a stronger desire to live healthier lives and understand that too much alcohol is not compatible with that.

In time, our health service and our justice system will see the benefit of that, but we must do much more to ensure that that trend is ingrained and continues, particularly given that, in the national health service, the pressure on our doctors and nurses grows every day. Every day in this Parliament, we should work towards our ambition for a healthier Scotland, in which people all backgrounds are educated empowered to make better decisions with healthy bodies and healthy minds. A big part of that is to tackle harmful drinking. We can look at the progress in recent years and take some comfort, while recognising the long way that we still have to go.

I move amendment S4M-13358.1, after "help tackle alcohol misuse" to insert:

"including restrictions on alcohol retailing and advertising, changes to licensing laws and improved alcohol-related rehabilitation for offenders".

15:08

Jackson Carlaw (West Scotland) (Con): I have pointed out before that Scotland's very sharp deterioration in its relationship with alcohol in terms of hospital admissions and deaths can be traced back to the end of 1990 when, by coincidence, Margaret Thatcher left Downing Street. Whether that therefore led to rejoicing in the streets—a binge party from which Scots never recovered—or whether Scots were consumed by grief at her departure, it nonetheless is a fact that, from that point onwards, there is a measurable deterioration in our relationship with alcohol.

Before members of the Scottish National Party get too excited about drawing a conclusion one way or the other, I should say that it is also the case that the improvement in the numbers of alcohol-related hospital admissions and deaths began when Alex Salmond came to office. I do not know whether that was because people no longer felt like rejoicing on the streets and had given up on life. Although the small improvements that we have seen are to be celebrated, I do not know whether we can be complacent about what might have underpinned the change in trend, to which I will return.

I thank the cabinet secretary for bringing the debate to the chamber. I said in a question not so long ago that it was two years since we passed minimum unit pricing and since we last debated the subject in the chamber. The First Minister got a bit excited by that. I did not mean to criticise the on-going work that is being done, but the debate on minimum unit pricing was underpinned by a determination to understand and address Scotland's relationship with alcohol. That needs a sustained and continuing debate in this chamber. The minimum unit pricing legislation was passed in what I might call the salad days of the Government, and we have a chance to consider the issue again today, for which I am grateful.

There have been improvements. Some are maybe not so obvious. In 2013, Scotland had double the vodka off-sales of any other part of the United Kingdom, so we still have trends that we might not wish to celebrate. Jenny Marra and the cabinet secretary referred to consumption and the 1980s. Consumption is still at twice the rate of France, Portugal and Spain—as well as another country that I have written down incorrectly, which is not very helpful—and the rate of hospital admissions is four times the rate that we saw in the 1980s.

I acknowledge the work that has been done and the measures that have been taken; the improvement that has resulted from the alcohol framework; the fact that there has been a 2.5 per cent reduction in off-trade sales; and the better investment in treatment and care and in alcohol brief interventions. However, it is also the case that the deterioration in our relationship with alcohol can be directly linked to the affordability of alcohol over the same period.

I was struck by a paragraph in the fourth annual "Monitoring and Evaluating Scotland's Alcohol Strategy" report, which was published in December last year. It said:

"declining affordability of alcohol due to the economic downturn and associated policy context across Great Britain in recent years is responsible for a substantial proportion of these improvements. However, the ban on quantity discounting of alcohol and the increased number of ABIs delivered are likely to be contributing to the improvements seen in Scotland. Changing knowledge and attitudes around alcohol are unlikely to be responsible for the recent declines."

We still have a huge job to do with regard to the identification of culture.

Dr Simpson: I accept the findings of the MESAS report. Nevertheless, the decline in deaths began in 2003, which was a time of minimum unemployment—indeed, there were the best unemployment figures since the 1960s. We should greet the findings with some caution.

Jackson Carlaw: The report acknowledges the point that Richard Simpson makes. Nevertheless, if the increase was directly related to affordability, it may be that part of the downturn in the past few years might be related in part to affordability, too.

There has been a reduction in the number of young people drinking and, as the cabinet secretary said, there has been a reduction as a result of the new alcohol limits that have been imposed in relation to driving. On that point, I would be interested to hear the cabinet secretary's view of the anecdotal suggestion that the reduction in the number of people who were stopped and breathalysed over the winter period last year was a result of extended leave being taken because of additional work patterns during the Commonwealth games. I do not know whether that is true, but I hope that it is not, because I hope that the legislation that has been passed has contributed in that way.

Shona Robison: We can certainly look into that, but I get a sense—anecdotally, but I am sure that the evidence base exists—that people are just not taking the risk anymore. I think that the culture has changed in that regard.

Jackson Carlaw: I hope that that is the case, that the encouraging figures that we have seen for one year are sustained, and that, if the policy is seen to be successful, it is applied across the rest of the UK.

The principal challenges, therefore, concern culture and hospital admissions, in relation to the need to reduce the burden on the NHS.

How long do I have, Presiding Officer?

The Deputy Presiding Officer: A little longer.

Jackson Carlaw: I thought that what Simon Stevens said yesterday was interesting. It touched on something that Hugh Henry, Duncan McNeil and others have mentioned, which is the need for people to become more responsible about understanding the relationship that they have with their healthcare.

People now understand that they could live much longer lives, potentially into great old age. We have to begin an education process at a much earlier stage whereby we inform people of the fact that the quality of the last 30 years of their lives can be dramatically compromised by the decisions that they make and the ways in which they interact with their health at an earlier stage.

Our health education policies tend to isolate our understanding of particular actions we can take, without necessarily trying to incentivise people, since the health service can never not treat people. However, we need to incentivise people to understand that the quality of life that they can ultimately enjoy will be dramatically affected by the decisions that they make. We need to start demonstrating to people—particularly those who are not addicted to alcohol but who drink too much properly without sustained basis on understanding the issues that may materialise in later middle age, such as cirrhosis of the liver—the effects that their choices can have.

I will have more to say in summing up, but for now I am happy to support the motion and the Labour Party amendment.

The Deputy Presiding Officer: We move to the open debate. We have a little time in hand for interventions.

15:15

Jim Eadie (Edinburgh Southern) (SNP): It is indeed a pleasure—if not a source of rejoicing—to follow Jackson Carlaw, whose insight and wit was on characteristic display.

It is self-evident that alcohol is an integral part of Scottish life. The Scottish beer and pub sector accounts for around 5,000 pubs and more than 80 breweries, supporting more than 60,000 jobs and contributing more than £1.5 billion to the Scottish economy. That is before we take into account the white spirits industry and the fact that we are renowned across the globe for our most famous export: whisky. Our relation with alcohol is

economic as well as being part of the social and cultural life of Scotland.

However, alcohol misuse is far too prevalent across our society. Despite the Scottish publishing comprehensive its Government framework for action on tackling alcohol abuse in 2009 and introducing measures in recent years to help rebalance our relationship with alcohol, the fact remains that alcohol-related harm is a major feature of Scottish society. We see that in the number of alcohol-related hospital admissions in Scotland, which have quadrupled since the 1980s and, as the cabinet secretary said, in the number of alcohol-related deaths, which are 1.4 times higher than in the early 1980s. We also see it in the fact highlighted by Jenny Marra that we drink almost one fifth more than our counterparts in England and Wales.

I mentioned the economic benefits of alcohol, but there is also an economic cost and loss of productivity through sickness. Alcohol misuse costs Scotland £3.6 billion each year, which is £900 for every adult in Scotland. Behind those statistics lie many human stories: heavy drinking causing premature death; alcohol-fuelled crime and disorder played out in the accident and emergency departments of our major hospitals every weekend; and family breakdown. Although the Parliament is rightly focused on legislation, strategies and policies, we should never lose sight of the fact that the issue directly affects thousands of individuals and communities across Scotland.

This week, I had the privilege of hosting an exhibition in the members' lobby, and last evening I hosted an event in the Parliament. The theme of the exhibition and event was to highlight an innovative and important project that afforded people affected by alcohol-related harm the opportunity to document their daily lives, environment and recovery, through the use of photovoice, a technique using photographic art and narrative.

The work was a collaboration involving the University of Edinburgh, the National Galleries of Scotland, Rowan Alba and the Serenity cafe. It was supported by NHS Lothian and Scottish Health Action on Alcohol Problems. I was delighted that you were able to support the event, too, Deputy Presiding Officer. I welcome to the public gallery this afternoon Dr Aisha Holloway and Dr Sarah Rhynas of the University of Edinburgh, and I thank them for bringing the voices, stories and pictures of people affected by alcohol to our Parliament.

I would like to highlight two specific areas in which the Scottish Government is taking steps to positively change our relationship with alcohol: reducing drink-driving offences, and protecting

children and young people from alcohol advertising.

Following the introduction of a lower drink-driving limit in December last year, the figures released last week by Police Scotland showed that the number of drink-driving offences fell by 17 per cent between January and March this year compared with the same period last year. Fewer drink-driving offences shows that in Scotland we are leading social and legislative change in the UK on this matter, a fact that was recently reflected by the Police Federation of England and Wales, who last week issued a call for the legal drink-driving limit in England and Wales to be brought in line with Scotland.

It is important that we continue to build on those promising early figures for the rest of 2015 and beyond. Last week's launch of the Scottish Government and Road Safety Scotland's summer drink-drive campaign, "Don't spoil summer", will remind drivers that getting behind the wheel after even one drink is not worth the risk or the potential consequences.

Evidence shows that even one alcoholic drink before driving makes the driver three times more likely to be involved in a fatal accident, and it is estimated that one in eight deaths on our roads involves drivers who are over the legal limit. The director of Road Safety Scotland, Michael McDonnell, reinforced that point when he stated:

"the best advice is just don't risk it."

Continuing to campaign on drink-driving and raising public awareness of its dangers and consequences will, I hope, serve to further reduce offences and the impact that they can have on the victims, those who are behind the wheel and their families.

Looking ahead, a culture change in our relationship with alcohol would benefit those who are currently affected by alcohol misuse and our young people and future generations. There are widespread concerns across the health sector about the impact that alcohol adverts have on our young people. Although current regulations prevent alcohol advertising around children's television programmes, alcohol adverts are still permitted to be shown during early evening family viewing while many children are watching television.

I was struck by something that Dr Aisha Holloway said during her presentation at the event in Parliament yesterday evening. She said that alcohol is visible everywhere, and that is certainly what the research tells us. It should be of concern to us all that a survey that was published recently by Alcohol Focus Scotland highlighted the fact that 10 and 11-year-olds were more familiar with alcohol brands than with leading brands of crisps

and ice cream. That is nothing short of a scandal, and it underlines the fact that children and young people are not being adequately protected from potential alcohol-related harm.

I welcome the call by our Minister for Public Health to the UK Government to ban alcohol advertising on television before the 9 pm watershed. I am also pleased that the BMA has highlighted the dangers of alcohol advertising and has supported the ban.

It is important to reinforce the message that Scotland is not an anti-alcohol nation but an anti-alcohol-abuse nation. We have a positive relationship with alcohol because of its economic value to Scotland in the jobs and industry that it supports and the enjoyment that moderate and responsible drinking can provide, but alcohol abuse remains far too prevalent and widespread in Scotland today.

We should be encouraged by the effect that legislation such as that for minimum unit pricing and the efforts to reduce the drink-driving limit have had on the improving and rebalancing of our relationship with alcohol. We should also pay tribute to the contribution of alcohol and drug partnerships across the country, as well as to the work that is undertaken by the third sector, the national health service and Police Scotland.

We can build on the progress that has been made to date. We should support families and communities who are affected by alcohol abuse across Scotland. We can bring about the positive change that we all want to see if we unite as a Parliament and a country to bring about that change.

15:22

Hugh Henry (Renfrewshire South) (Lab): I was struck by Jim Eadie's comment that Scotland is not an anti-alcohol nation; it is an anti-alcoholabuse nation. That chimed neatly with the cabinet secretary's points. She was right to point out the efforts that have been made to tackle alcoholabuse and to look at some of the successes that we have had.

It is also right to put that into some kind of context, as the cabinet secretary and others have done. Although our society has made progress, compared with other countries our record is still shocking. When I read the BMA briefing, I was struck by how bad some of the figures are. During the past 30 years in the UK, not just Scotland, UK cirrhosis mortality has risen by more than 450 per cent across the population, and Scotland now has one of the highest mortality rates in western Europe. As Jackson Carlaw said, we cannot be complacent, although we should retain a sense of realism and perspective.

We sometimes blur the lines when we talk about alcohol and we too quickly classify everyone as the same. That is not necessarily always the case. Going back to the idea that we are not an antialcohol nation, I note that in our everyday language—and, indeed, on radio and television—a good night out is associated with consuming huge amounts of alcohol. It is just accepted. When we talk in those terms—this is what I mean when I say that we blur the lines—we do not distinguish between those who abuse alcohol and the people mentioned by Jackson Carlaw who drink too much on a particular night out.

It is easy to look at those who have an alcohol problem; after all, that problem and its associated difficulties are very evident, and I will come back to that. However, one of the challenges that our society faces is how we deal with those to whom Jackson Carlaw referred—those who have not been classified as alcoholics, or as having an alcohol problem, but whose sporadic consumption of alcohol throughout the year can lead to longerterm problems. One of the groups at risk in that respect comprises people who are better educated and have better incomes. Indeed-and I do not want to appear sexist when I say this-that group includes young women, who now have the economic wherewithal and purchasing power that they might not have had in years gone by. I have seen in my own family and social circles young women on specific occasions and nights out drinking far more than my mother's generation would ever have contemplated.

Christian Allard (North East Scotland) (SNP): I thought about that point and looked at the figures. Funnily enough, the figures for 15-year-olds show that boys and girls are drinking the same amount of alcohol and have been doing so for many years. The only difference is that they are not drinking the same alcohol; girls are more likely to drink spirits and the alcopops that were introduced in the 1990s, and boys are more likely to drink cider or beer. The point is that, as far as consumption of alcohol is concerned, there is no great difference between boys and girls. We have to reflect on that and to be very careful when we talk about both.

The Deputy Presiding Officer: I will give you a little extra time, Mr Henry.

Hugh Henry: Mr Allard's comments just prove what I am saying. When I look back—again, I will use my mother's generation—I see that the alcohol consumption figures for males and females were not the same; the figures were much lower for females. The fact that women are now drinking at those levels means that more women are being brought into the risk category than in the past. Thinking generationally, I remember that in my family it was always the men who had alcohol

problems, who had the tendency to abuse alcohol and who spent more on alcohol. If our society allows young women—indeed, young people in general—to think that just because they have money in their pockets and they are not alcoholics they can have these episodes of alcohol abuse, we need to think about the longer-term health risks and dangers associated with that.

I have spent more time on that matter than I had intended, because I also wanted to talk about some of the justice and antisocial behaviour issues associated with alcohol. Excessive alcohol consumption has a huge cost to our society. We need only look at the public disorder issues in many of our towns and cities at weekends, and the damage can be seen not only on the streets but in hospitals when people end up there and in the pressures that that puts on accident and emergency. We still need to address the issue of excessive consumption of alcohol in our towns and cities and the subsequent public disorder, because the situation is completely and utterly unacceptable. Each and every one of us has a responsibility in that respect; we should not just leave it for the police to deal with.

I wonder whether the cabinet secretary or the minister—whoever responds to the debate—can give us any information that they have on community payback orders. I know that in the past Richard Simpson and others have mentioned extending drug treatment and testing orders to alcohol, but it was suggested that that was not necessary because community payback orders would do the same job. How effective have they been? What are the statistics and how well are they working? Could we apply any issues regarding drug treatment and testing orders to alcohol?

We have a multifaceted problem, and it is not merely for our doctors, nurses or police officers. As Jackson Carlaw and others have said, it is a matter of education and we all have a role to play. Equally, we should not treat lightly the consequences not only of binge drinking but of continued and constant drinking, albeit within safe levels, as evidence shows that that can also do significant long-term damage.

15:31

Bob Doris (Glasgow) (SNP): The title of this debate—"Scotland's Relationship with Alcohol"—is central to tackling alcohol abuse. Many people are reluctant to discuss their relationship with alcohol at all; perhaps they are worried that if they admit they are drinking too much, they will be labelled an alcoholic. I use the word "labelled" deliberately because alcoholism still comes with a stigma. Terms such as "alkie" or "jakey" are used to describe people, and if it was another form of drug

abuse the term would be "junkie". We must move away from that type of unhelpful and stigmatising language, because it means that those who have significant problems are far less likely to come forward and ask for support.

Our relationship with alcohol is contradictory and perhaps best captured in the "Go on, take a drink. Nah, I'm aff it" sketch from "Chewin' the Fat". We stigmatise those who have significant problems with alcohol, but we are also suspicious of those who do not partake of a drink-just to be social, of course. That contradiction is a key part of the debate on Scotland's relationship with, not addiction to, alcohol, and in that context I want to explore one or two themes this afternoon. We heard already have about alcohol interventions, and that should be viewed as part of this strategy. Consider some of the numbers-477,000 been alcohol there have interventions, which exceeds targets set by the Government. That scheme has been successful and was targeted at over-16s who were suspected to be drinking hazardous and harmful levels, hoping to moderate their intake. Evidence shows that those who are targeted will reduce their intake for a short time at least. That leads to health benefits, even if people reduce their intake only for a short time, and it will have long-term benefits.

Of course we must do better. Those brief interventions have a short-term benefit, but we must consider how we can connect that to changing someone's relationship with alcohol in the longer term. That comes down to education. We are always saying that more should be done in schools, but this is about education in the family and the workplace. It is about education before someone goes to a football match and after they come back, or when they go to a family wedding reception. It is about discussing our relationship with alcohol openly and honestly. Yes, we should do that in schools, but it cannot be done only in schools, and we all have our personal and community responsibilities.

Let me mention one group that does a wonderful job in fulfilling their community responsibilities. It is a while since I have done this, but one Friday night I walked the streets of Glasgow with the street pastors. They did an amazing and wonderful job, particularly in helping vulnerable young women in the city centre. They did not lecture them about their alcohol intake, but they were there to offer a pair of flip-flops rather than high heels to those who had had too much to drink. They did not stop people going on to the next bar, but they let them know that they were there if they needed a hand to get a taxi home or a wee half hour out. It was a bit of friendship. I want to put on record the amazing job that I saw the street pastors do when I was out with them.

We have made some progress, and I will mention one or two statistics in that regard. Alcohol-related hospital discharge rates have reduced by 20 per cent since 2007, but they are still 3.4 times higher than they were in 1981 and 1982. Unlike Jackson Carlaw, I will not relate that to the then Conservative Government.

My point is that we have to look at the trend over a significantly long period of time so that we can even out short-term but non-enduring impacts in assessing the success of policies. Alcohol-related mortality has fallen by 35 per cent since its peak in 2003. I will not list all our successes, because I think that we all admit that those successes must, as welcome as they are, endure long term.

One policy that I think will endure long term is the ban on buying alcohol in multipacks. The quantity discount ban led to a 2.6 per cent decrease in sales of that type, and of alcohol more generally. We were able to quality assure that by comparing areas in Scotland with similar areas in England where such a ban was not implemented, and the results still showed a 2.6 per cent drop in intake.

Access to alcohol is important, and we need to consider the view of alcohol as a cheap option or a bargain. I am guilty of that: if I am in the supermarket and I see a nice bottle of wine, I go, "Oh, that's down to a fiver, I'll have that." If it is nine guid, I will not.

We looked in detail at price sensitivity across all income groups in relation to minimum prices. I will not give details of that, Presiding Officer, because we are not allowed to, but the information is on the record without my repeating it this afternoon.

Yesterday in the chamber, I asked Michael Matheson, the Cabinet Secretary for Justice, whether he would consider using cashback moneys to tackle health inequalities from 2017. He said that he would give that significant consideration. Looking at health inequalities, and at the effect of alcohol on communities, I cannot think of a better use for that money, and I hope that Scottish Government policy might develop in that area.

15:37

Gil Paterson (Clydebank and Milngavie) (SNP): As a former member of the Health and Sport Committee, I am pleased to participate in the debate. I sat on the committee for a number of years, and I was heavily engaged in tackling Scotland's relationship with alcohol. Although I am no longer a member of that committee, the issue remains very much on my agenda.

I come to the debate as someone who does not actually drink alcohol; I have been a non-drinker all my life. Actually, that is not quite true: I once got drunk in Greece after sampling a local drink called retsina. It was certainly very nice, but I failed to heed the warnings from my friends that it was a very potent tipple. I was drinking to taste, not for the effect, but, not being accustomed to alcohol, my constitution could not handle the effects. Would I drink retsina again if I returned to Greece? Yes, I am sure that I would, as I love Greece and its people, but I will approach retsina very cautiously in future.

I have no hang-ups about people who enjoy a drink. In fact, I believe in what my mother taught me long ago, which was that a little of what you fancy does you good. Drink in moderation and enjoy it, is my advice. I believe that a little alcohol can actually be beneficial for people, but we should beware of the danger of excessive drinking, which I call the Scottish disease.

It is clear from looking at the stats that, when it comes to the misuse and overuse of alcohol, there are significant problems that urgently need to be tackled. If we do not want to address the issue for ourselves, surely we have a responsibility to sort it out for our young people. We need to make the step change right now so that they appreciate that not taking up the habit is much easier than giving it up.

I have—or, I should say, had—some very close friends who had enormous talents, particularly in the arts, but could not function without drinking alcohol, which they did right up until they could not function in the arts world at all because of their reliance, and it ultimately led them to their graves. That was such a loss and a tragedy, not only for them but for their families and society as a whole. I am sure that I am not alone in the chamber in having experienced such tragic circumstances.

I recognise that kicking a habit is not easy, whether it involves drugs, alcohol, smoking, gambling or even food. If it was that easy, the highly talented and intelligent people whom I knew would not have succumbed to addiction in the first place. On the other hand, with good support—if it is accepted, of course-for those who need it and with their determination, things can turn out for the best. When I was very young, my father was an extremely heavy drinker, like so many of his generation. He was certainly a great man without the drink but Mr Hyde with it. He woke up one day and decided to stop drinking. Yes, he would still have the odd beer but it would be only one and he never sunk back into his earlier excesses. The benefits to him as an individual were immense. His appearance, his mood and his manner changed: he was a new man. However, the benefits for my family, particularly my mother, were life changing:

we were a happy family. We were not wealthy, but what we had was well spent.

That story is one that numbers of people can relate to but, sadly, too many cannot as they have never experienced the positive changes that can happen when someone stops drinking. Sadly, comparing the alcohol stats for Scotland with those for nearly every developed country in the world backs up that point, so no one can argue that a change is not needed. Scotland consumes a huge amount of alcohol—for example, recorded consumption in 2010 was twice the world average and well above the European regional average. That consumption is having a detrimental impact on the health of our people. Indeed, Scotland's overall death rate from liver cirrhosis in 2010 was around 40 per cent higher than the European Union average.

I am pleased that the Scottish Government has not sat idly by but has been aggressively engaged in tackling the problem head on. Policies such as a ban on quantity discounts in off-sales that encourage customers to buy more than they might have done and the implementation of restrictions on where material promoting alcohol may be displayed are having a positive impact. However, more must be done, and the Scottish Government's framework for action outlines that in detail.

Urgent change is required if we are to break our country's relationship with alcohol. I commend the motion and the Labour amendment to Parliament.

15:43

Jayne Baxter (Mid Scotland and Fife) (Lab): Scotland's problems with alcohol are deep rooted. The statistics demonstrate that, and as we have heard, I imagine that the lived experiences of everyone contributing to the debate show that, too. However, that does not mean that we can simply shrug our shoulders and accept the situation. I am therefore pleased that there is cross-party support for tackling Scotland's alcohol problems.

The British Medical Association has described Britain's relationship with alcohol as an "epidemic" and has outlined the scale of the problem in Scotland. Alcohol is related to more than 60 types of disease, disability and injury; more than a million people in Scotland are drinking hazardously or harmfully; and over the past 30 years, UK liver cirrhosis mortality has risen by more than 450 per cent across the population. I looked at that figure as I was waiting to speak and thought, "Can that be right?" I checked it in my papers and 450 per cent is right. It is a stunning figure.

The BMA further notes that, in recent years, the number of alcohol-related hospital admissions has

fallen, although the figure remains higher than the figures for the rest of the UK and Europe. However, the BMA goes on to note that alcohol-related hospital admissions are approximately six to seven times higher for patients living in the most deprived areas compared with those living in the least deprived areas.

When faced with such knowledge, there is often a rush to create new offences and new regulations: when we see a problem, we understandably want to try to tackle it. However, before looking to manufacture new rules, we should always ensure that the current ones are enforced. As Frances Ennis, an expert on licensing law at the respected law firm Pinsent Masons, has explained,

"One of the main problems with the Scottish licensing system is not the lack of appropriate legislation. If the vast majority of existing legislation was properly funded and implemented, then there would be little need for additional provisions."

Alcohol misuse is not something that can simply be corrected by new statutes or improved enforcement. For many people, alcohol misuse is often a function of the shocking absence of life chances. It is no accident that there is a relationship between alcohol misuse and poverty. It is critical that we change our approach to one of early intervention, health awareness and community-based support. All of that costs money, however.

My colleague Dr Richard Simpson raised the Government's failure to act on the idea of a social responsibility levy. That idea sounds like a good one to me, as it could provide a way of enabling the many groups that are rightly praised in the motion to take more action on alcohol-related problems.

Richard Simpson's Alcohol (Licensing, Public Health and Criminal Justice) (Scotland) Bill, which is currently before the Parliament, contains many commonsense provisions. Increasing the length of time for which a statutory notice for a new alcohol licence is made public is a sensible provision. The same can be said about imposing a statutory duty on the Scottish Government to update and report on its alcohol strategy.

At the heart of the bill is the idea of drinking banning orders, involving GPs and other professionals in helping those who have a problem with alcohol. That is the sort of bold measure that we need in order to tackle our alcohol problem seriously. A multisector approach, with criminal justice professionals, social workers and the medical profession working together, will yield the best results.

The Fife alcohol fixed-penalty diversion scheme, which has been running under the Kirkcaldy-based

Fife Alcohol Support Service, started as a pilot scheme in 2011. Dr Simpson's bill takes much from that scheme. I hope that the bill is given proper consideration by the Parliament.

In Fife, there has been some great work aimed at tackling alcohol misuse. Fife Council has provided funding of £250,000 for residential rehabilitation for both drug and alcohol use. Although that is not a new concept, it is new money, which was secured when my colleague Alex Rowley was council leader. It is a relatively small project, with 16 people benefiting last year, nine of whom had difficulties with alcohol. However, those are people who otherwise would not have had the opportunity to get the comprehensive and intensive access to rehab that is afforded by that investment. The project recognises that many people who abuse alcohol also abuse other substances, which is an approach that is more holistic than many others.

The Scottish Drugs Forum's addiction worker training project, which in Fife is jointly funded by the alcohol and drug partnership and Fife Council, is an initiative that is open to people who are recovering from alcohol or drug problems. It allows them to train for a career in social care, with particular emphasis on substance misuse services, providing them with real workplacebased training and an opportunity to obtain a level 2 Scottish vocational qualification in social care. The aim of the AWTP, which launched more than a decade ago, is therefore to help former drug and alcohol users to prepare for employment in social care through in-work placements and formal learning. It is the first project in Scotland to offer former drug and alcohol users the opportunity to supported experience and work qualification while receiving a wage for the duration of the course. The project develops a multi-agency approach to employability for former substance users.

Those schemes are grounded in their communities, and they strike the correct balance between supporting those who misuse alcohol and ensuring that the community is protected from the negative consequences of such misuse. I believe that the Scottish Government should closely examine them.

I agree with Alcohol Focus Scotland about creating health-promoting communities, where citizens play an active role. Ensuring that the licensing system supports meaningful community involvement is an accountable and responsive approach. It is not an easy task, however. It will necessitate cultural changes across Scotland in families, schools, colleges, universities and beyond. The Parliament can only do so much to encourage people to make the necessary changes.

We must refocus our efforts to tackle Scotland's problematic relationship with alcohol. The work that has been done in Fife and across Scotland, largely by local authorities, charities and voluntary organisations, is beginning to show results. We must work collaboratively with them and with the public to take the steps that are needed to sort out Scotland's relationship with alcohol.

15:49

Christian Allard (North East Scotland) (SNP): I thank the Scottish Government and the cabinet secretary for bringing the debate to the chamber. The motion is entitled "Scotland's Relationship with Alcohol", but the issue is about much more than that

When I came to this country 30 years ago, I was shocked by Scotland's relationship with alcohol—a relationship that cannot be found in any other country. Our attitude to alcohol is completely different from that in any other country, believe you me. Members have talked about countries that produce alcohol. France produces a lot of alcohol, but people in France do not drink the same way that we drink in Scotland. That is my first point, which is very important: Scotland's relationship with alcohol is very different.

I would like to take that a bit further, because we have talked about Scotland's relationship with alcohol for many years. I want to talk about what we as individuals can do about our relationship with people who have a problem with alcohol. Those people are among us. Sometimes, those people are us: sometimes, we have a problem with alcohol for one night or one week. We can have a problem at any time: when we are young or when we are older and feel a little bit isolated. We are all at risk.

A lot of my colleagues talk about education. This week, more than any week, we have been reminded that politicians, with all our knowledge, education and understanding, can still be caught up in problems with alcohol—God, a lot of politicians are caught up in them down in Westminster and even here in this Parliament.

The issue is not only about policies, although we have great policies here. The issue is not only the Government's responsibility, or, as some have said, the responsibility of people who abuse alcohol—those who do not drink in moderation. I do not like the phrase "drinking in moderation." For a lot of people in France and in Scotland—for people all over the world—there is no such thing as drinking in moderation. Some people cannot drink alcohol at all. We can blame them for the disturbances that they create and for the money that we have to spend on the NHS, or we can see what we can do ourselves, as individuals.

I revert to the point that this is a societal problem. We have a Government that has introduced and is doing a lot of fantastic things. However, as a society—as individuals—we need to change our attitude to not only alcohol but people who have an alcohol problem.

We cannot let this go. We are, far too often, the alcohol buddies—the drinking buddies—who facilitate somebody's drinking. Sometimes, it is the contrary: we are the ones who end up in a bad state—in peril—after drinking alcohol because we have buddies around us who think that it is a good idea

Members have talked about women, but I do not want to target women. What did we expect? Of course equality is coming and of course women have the same opportunities, including money wise—everybody has a lot more money in their pockets—so of course the problem will affect both genders. I am not sure whether the problem is worse for women than it is for men, because men are still drinking a lot, although women—especially young women—have decided to go from drinking alcopops to drinking spirits as well.

I wanted to start with those points, but I also want to talk about drink driving. I was on the Justice Committee when we introduced the new drink-driving limit. Of course we had to do that. I cannot understand why our country ended up with a drink-driving limit that was higher than that in countries that do not have the same problem with alcohol. One reason was that the issue was reserved to Westminster, but we managed to get that through, after some constructive negotiations with Westminster. I encourage the cabinet secretary and the minister to keep up that constructive dialogue with Westminster so that all the issues that we have not got the power to address here can still be addressed.

I am delighted that, because of the change to the drink-driving limit, in Aberdeen, Aberdeenshire and Moray, in my region of North East Scotland, the number of people caught driving under the influence of drugs or alcohol has fallen by 23 per cent. That has made a big difference,

However, the biggest difference in all this is that people have stopped drinking during the week. That is a fantastic change of attitude. I would call the drink-driving legislation that we brought forward drink-living legislation, because we have changed the way we live. We knew that that was important: in order to drive to work every morning, we decided to change the way we live.

If we can use ideas like that to change our attitude to alcohol, it will affect not only the people who have a problem with alcohol, because we all do to a certain extent, but even the people who do

not drink. We need to understand that part of the population will have a problem with alcohol.

It is important to have a lower drink-driving limit for drivers other than drivers of cars. I have suggested that we could ask Westminster to have a lower limit than we have just now for lorry drivers and bus drivers. That is an important point.

People in England and Wales are drinking a lot less than we are. That applies especially to young people. Even though our young people are drinking less than they used to, they are still behind in terms of the consumption of alcohol in comparison with young people in Wales and England.

The Police Federation of England and Wales has called for the legal drink-driving limit in England and Wales to be in line with the limit in Scotland. It is good news that we are ground breaking and finding ways to address the issue.

Businesses have a responsibility as well. This February, I was delighted to see that the Albert Hotel in Peterhead, Aberdeenshire, had begun to stock an extensive range of alcohol-free beers and wine. That brings me back to what we can do. I was at the event hosted by Jim Eadie last night, with all those people who do fantastic work. I suggest that members go to see the photo exhibition and to Serenity cafe, the little cafe adjacent to the Parliament—it is just opposite the SNP headquarters—to see the fantastic work that has been done.

When the event finished last night, I came down to the bar in the Parliament, where there is an advertisement for a beverage called Hee Haw, which is a new alcohol-free lager—it is a fantastic name. I will tell everyone what really annoyed me. I asked the people behind the bar when they started selling it and how much they had sold. They started to sell it two days ago and I will let members guess how much has been drunk—hee haw, despite the sign being right in front of the bar. That is the problem. Despite all we do and all the regulation that we have—the Scottish Government is doing fantastically—if we do not do anything about this as individuals, the result will be hee haw.

15:58

Sandra White (Glasgow Kelvin) (SNP): I see Jackson Carlaw shaking his head. The lager is called Hee Haw because there is hee haw alcohol in it. I thought that I would just explain that. I must admit that it was offered to me, but I do not drink lager, whether it is Hee Haw or not, so I did not accept it.

I thank members for their contributions, which have all been excellent and have covered a great

many areas, from alcohol intervention by NHS Scotland and record investment in tackling alcohol misuse to the setting up of alcohol and drug partnerships.

However, as a number of members have said, there are on average 20 alcohol-related deaths a week and 700 alcohol-related hospital admissions each week, which is totally unacceptable and shows that we still have a very long way to go. I realise, as I am sure we all do, that there is no quick fix and that it will take a long time. We need a change of culture in this country and a change in our relationship with alcohol.

I live in Glasgow city centre and, unfortunately, I see a lot more young women partaking of more alcohol than maybe they want to. I agree with Hugh Henry that we are talking about alcohol abuse and alcoholics, but there are people with long-term drink problems who are not deemed to be alcoholics. Basically, they go through their lives with a terrible problem, which obviously has an impact on the economy, their work and their families.

welcome the cabinet secretary's announcement of the social marketing campaign that is aimed at educating parents, and I echo her comments on advertising, particularly the advertising that is aimed at young people. I urge Westminster Government to broadcasting to the Scottish Parliament. I thank Jenny Marra for mentioning the Scottish National Party's success in returning 56 MPs to Westminster. I hope that they will deliver that devolution to the Scottish Parliament.

It was Jackson Carlaw, I think, who raised the issue of how cheap alcohol is now compared with many years ago. It is far too cheap. Minimum pricing has to be looked at. I hear from pubs and clubs that, like other people, young people partake of cheaper drink from supermarkets before going into the pubs and clubs.

Jenny Marra: I want to clarify Sandra White's reflection of the point that I made, which I do not think was completely accurate. I said that the new group of representatives at Westminster would be able to lobby the Government there for changes in advertising and broadcasting. I believe—and I am sure that she does, too—that changes in alcohol advertising through broadcasting would benefit people across the United Kingdom, not just in Scotland.

Sandra White: Absolutely. I agree with Jenny Marra. I took on board what she said. There is a slight interpretation issue, but I absolutely agree with her. I said that the 56 MPs—which is more than the SNP has ever had before—will make a big difference; indeed, they are making a difference just now. I agree that the issue is not

just in Scotland but throughout the UK; unfortunately, however, we cannot deal with what is on our TV screens.

As I said, we need to look at alcohol's cheapness and minimum pricing.

I want to concentrate on the human costs of alcohol abuse, whether the person is an alcoholic or a long-term heavy drinker.

Jim Eadie had an excellent event last night. I was not able to go to it, but I went to the stall—I see that the people involved are in the gallery. Serenity cafe does a fantastic amount of work, and lots of such work goes on in every member's constituency. In my constituency, Partick healthy living centre runs film nights, music nights and club nights without alcohol. I will give members an example of how welcome that is. A lady who was at one of the nights said to me that she had not been out with her husband for many years—they could not go out to a pub simply because he had a drink problem. For once, they were able to go out—they went to a club night and enjoyed the music. That is really positive.

Another issue that we have to look at is one on which I know Serenity cafe has done work, as has the addiction service in St Vincent Crescent in my area. I have spoken to people at that service—obviously, I will not name them, but they include a gentleman who had a drink problem but was able to come off alcohol. He had many friends, who obviously liked a drink, and they would go to one another's houses. When he came off alcohol, he stayed in the same environment, and he had to still let them into his house or he would not have friends. One night when his friends came round, he locked himself in the bathroom simply because, if he had not done so, he would have succumbed to alcohol again.

We therefore have to look at social care and healthcare. Someone can manage to get off their alcohol addiction but they may stay in the same environment with the same people because it is very difficult for them to get away. Perhaps all members have constituents who have experience of that.

One lady who, unfortunately, had an alcohol problem ended up being not very well at all. She was a very successful businesswoman, but lost her house, business, family and grandchildren. I think that we all have such constituents. Alcohol misuse is a terrible issue that we need to take seriously.

A number of facts and figures have been mentioned. Alcohol has a human cost, not only a cost for the economy, and for the people who are affected by alcohol addiction and for their families the cost is absolutely tremendous.

I agree with one of the points that Hugh Henry made regarding young women. It is something that we have to tackle and we must look at the cultural aspects of alcohol consumption in Scotland. Education for everyone, from young to old, can certainly be useful. As I said, there is to be an advertising campaign to show the harm of alcohol and the effect that it can have on families.

I thank all members for their contributions and I thank the Government for bringing the debate to the chamber today. I look forward to moving on and releasing some of the negative aspects of the cultural love affair that Scotland has with alcohol.

16:05

Anne McTaggart (Glasgow) (Lab): I am pleased to have the opportunity to contribute to this debate, as alcohol is a matter of great and ongoing concern to many of the constituents of all members. Alcohol misuse and its consequence for health and community safety remain a significant challenge, not only in Scotland but throughout the LIK

We all know how important relationships are. They are central to our lives and important to our wellbeing. We have to look at our country's relationship with alcohol, and I am sure that we can all agree that it is a relationship that is severely harming health and wellbeing, affecting our communities and undermining our potential as a nation of individuals.

The people of Scotland have the ability and ambition to lead in plenty aspects of global affairs, but the current alcohol statistics in Scotland leave us nothing to be proud of. Alcohol consumption in Scotland has reduced since 2009, but alcohol sales remain higher than in 1994. Scotland's consumption of alcohol was twice the world average in 2010 and well above the European regional average.

Alcohol-related hospital admissions in Scotland are four times higher than they were in the early That is on average 700 hospital admissions as well as 20 deaths that are directly related to alcohol each week. Young people are under a lot of pressure to start drinking at a young age. Alcohol today is affordable, available and heavily marketed. As a result, young people are growing up in a pro-alcohol society where drinking is seen as the norm. We should therefore begin by denormalising alcohol for children and teenagers. Experimenting with alcohol is a phase that many go through, but the age at which young Scottish people start experimenting is younger than for our European partners, and their use of alcohol is much more frequent.

As a mother of three children, two of whom are teenagers, I cautiously welcome the data from the

Scottish schools adolescent lifestyle and substance use survey, which reports a substantial reduction in alcohol consumption among young people since 2010. The survey shows that 19 per cent of 15-year-olds reported that they had drunk alcohol in the past week, which is down from 34 per cent in 2010, and that 4 per cent of 13-yearolds reported that they had drunk alcohol in the past week, which is down from 44 per cent in 2010. Those are still staggering and worrying statistics that need to be addressed so that we can move forward without alcohol being a huge component of young people's lives in Scotland.

It is our underlying duty to help anyone who has an issue with alcohol, but we need to implement tougher measures as well as more education on the issues for our young people, so that we can break the cycle at a young age, as that is where the problems start. Teenage drinking can cause both immediate and long-term health problems, with most of the admissions of teenagers into hospital resulting from alcohol consumption. Although that is the immediate impact, heavy regular drinking in a person's younger years can lead to the development of chronic diseases such as liver cirrhosis.

Our drinking habits have to change, because if we condone that behaviour and do not make substantial attempts to change it, we will be left with a chronically ill young adult population. The earlier that teenagers are exposed to alcohol, the more likely they are to face challenges in later years. Therefore, we must address the problem at its root, and provide more support and education in schools on the harmful side effects of alcohol consumption.

For a long time, there have also been concerns about the possible effects on children's attitudes towards alcohol that exposure to alcohol advertising might have. Along with the BMA, I consider that we should restrict the advertising of alcoholic drinks. In particular, alcohol advertising should be banned near places that children use, such as schools, as well as at events targeted at children, in order to reduce that exposure.

Alcohol consumption cannot go on at the current rate. The strain that alcohol puts on public services is costly and time consuming. If we could work together to safeguard our population from alcohol, we would have fewer alcohol-related challenges. Members from across all political parties are determined to tackle Scotland's drink problem. However, in order to be successful, a shift in Scotland's culture is essential. We must contribute to delivering that change right away.

16:11

Dave Thompson (Skye, Lochaber and Badenoch) (SNP): Scotland's relationship with alcohol is as well known as it is complex. We drink far more now than we did a generation ago, and alcohol consumption is almost a fifth higher here than in the rest of the UK.

I accept that drinking in moderation can have beneficial effects for some people. However, heavy drinking places a burden on society. It does not just damage health or cause premature death; it contributes to crime and disorder.

Binge drinking, particularly among youngsters aged between 18 and 30 on Friday and Saturday nights remains a problem. There is an economic cost to our unhealthy relationship with alcohol, including a loss of productivity through sickness. Alcohol misuse costs Scotland £3.6 billion a year. To put that into perspective, that is £900 for every adult in our country.

However, I recognise the progress that we have made in tackling alcohol misuse, and the impact of the Scottish Government's comprehensive 2009 strategy "Changing Scotland's Relationship with Alcohol: A Framework For Action." The framework contains a range of measures, including education, support for families and communities, and preventative public health measures, together with minimum unit pricing and other regulatory measures on issues such as the irresponsible promotion of alcohol.

It is not all bad where our youngsters are concerned. The Scottish schools adolescent lifestyle and substance use survey 2013 informs us that 13 to 15-year-olds are consuming less alcohol a week compared with 2010, and that consumption is at its lowest level since records began in 1990.

Many young people living with someone with an alcohol problem take on additional caring responsibilities in the family unit, which can be detrimental to their life opportunities. That is often underreported or undisclosed to those outside the home.

To engage young people, the Scottish Families Affected by Alcohol and Drugs group has adopted a range of consultative measures involving workshops and prevalence studies. That involves challenging social stigma as a barrier to accessing support; changing social attitudes towards drinking; supporting those living in remote and rural communities; and assisting with training and resources.

As other members have mentioned, we could not have a debate on Scotland's relationship with alcohol without mentioning the Alcohol (Minimum Pricing) (Scotland) Act 2012, which was passed unopposed by this Parliament in June 2012.

It is hoped that the Government's alcohol framework, which is under development, will breed the cultural changes that are required to affect positively Scotland's relationship with alcohol. Other measures have shown that that can be done. The lowering of the drink-driving limit in December last year—a campaign that I was heavily involved in-has led to many people leaving their cars at home when they go out or not drinking alcohol if they take their cars. Figures from Police Scotland show that the number of motorists caught drink driving in Scotland during the first festive period following the introduction of the new law was down almost a third on the previous year's figure. I hope that Jackson Carlaw's earlier comments on that are, indeed, incorrect.

As the motion states, it is wholly unacceptable that there is an average of 20 alcohol-related deaths and 700 alcohol-related hospital admissions each week in Scotland. Furthermore, Scotland now has one of the highest cirrhosis mortality rates in western Europe and is ranked eighth in the world for alcohol consumption per head of population.

We all have a role to play in tackling this scourge. We must continue the good work that has been done and the progress that has already been made.

I am teetotal. I have not drunk alcohol for nearly 15 years. I was born and brought up in Lossiemouth, which is a fishing town. There were many fishermen in that town who drank heavily and many religious fishermen who did not drink at all. In my early 20s, I moved to Stornoway. Members will all have heard about the Gaelic Mod, which is also known as the whisky Olympics.

I started drinking at a young age and, over many years, I progressed from pints of beer to whisky and so on. I was probably a pretty typical young man in the Highlands. I tended to go out on a Friday night and would drink Friday night and Saturday. I would often not drink on a Sunday but, by the time Monday came, would have a bit of a hangover and would not really be 100 per cent.

Drink creeps up on people. It is an illness. It very gradually takes hold of people. Many of us have to look at our lives, think about what we are doing and ask ourselves whether we want to carry on doing it.

I took the decision to stop drinking after I became a Christian. I was helped greatly and would say without hesitation that, if it was not for God, I would probably still be drinking. It was the best thing that I have ever done. It has changed my life. I had a successful career and was doing

everything well but, at the weekends, I was drinking more than was good for me or for the people round about me. Therefore, I feel passionately about the matter.

We have to help people who have alcohol problems. Our society does not help them at the moment. Young people who start drinking go on to the flavoured alcoholic drinks—the alcopops and other things that are available these days—which draw them in far too quickly. It is like drinking lemonade. When I started drinking, people had to get used to the taste of gin, rum, vodka, whisky, beer or lager.

Anything that we can do to improve the situation must be good. We will need many different measures to deal with the problem and I am pleased that the chamber will come together and vote as one on the motion and amendment to help us to tackle the scourge of alcohol.

16:18

Mary Fee (West Scotland) (Lab): I welcome the opportunity to speak in the debate. I have listened with great interest to the contributors from around the chamber. I will focus most of my speech on the personal impact of alcohol dependency and misuse.

Scotland is currently ranked eighth in the world for alcohol consumption per head of population. According to the BMA, more than 1 million people in Scotland drink hazardously or harmfully. Scotland now has one of the highest cirrhosis mortality rates in western Europe. Indeed, every 15 minutes, someone in Scotland is hospitalised with an alcohol-related illness, which means that nine people will be hospitalised with an alcohol-related illness during this debate. Nine people this afternoon alone are now in hospital because of alcohol.

Scotland has a problem with alcohol—it cannot be denied and it must be tackled. With the cost of binge drinking estimated to be £4.9 billion throughout the United Kingdom and an average cost of £114 per accident and emergency visit, we must direct additional resources to education and prevention.

The results of the Scottish social attitudes survey in 2013 reveal just how uninformed many people in Scotland are about the amount of alcohol that they are consuming. One third of those questioned did not know what the daily guideline for alcohol consumption was for men and women and a further quarter got the daily guideline wrong. We must ensure that people are fully informed and educated on the effects and dangers of excessive alcohol consumption.

One danger that has long been identified is the link between alcohol and crime. In 2012, the Scottish consortium for crime and criminal justice found that 62 per cent of violent crime victims stated that their attackers were under the influence of alcohol. Furthermore, half of Scottish prisoners state that they were drunk at the time of committing their offence. Offenders are a particular concern as they are three times more likely than the general Scottish population to have an alcohol problem. That issue must be tackled and we must do all that we can to ensure that offenders do not end up with alcohol dependency after their release from prison.

It is crucial that we tackle alcohol dependency, not only because it affects the individual but because it affects their family and friends. We must take a more inclusive approach to helping individuals who suffer from alcohol abuse. Research by Scottish Families Affected by Alcohol and Drugs outlines the many benefits of involving families in their relative's treatment and recovery. First, it increases the likelihood that an individual will enter treatment and remain in treatment longer. Secondly, it increases the likelihood that the individual receiving treatment will achieve their goals, both during and after rehabilitation. Finally, it improves the general wellbeing of family members by creating an environment in which an individual in recovery is less likely to return to alcohol dependency. It is imperative that we take the appropriate action to give family members the correct level of support in their own right to help with a relative's addiction.

The average weekly consumption among harmful drinkers is considerably higher in Scotland's lowest-income communities compared with the rest of the country. It is in our deprived communities where most harm is experienced. Many members will know that I spent 20 years working in retail. It was there that I witnessed the struggles of alcohol addiction and misuse that were faced daily by many customers. For example, if a customer did not have enough money to pay for basic food items, they would frequently return the essential items before they would return their cans of lager or cider or their bottle of vodka. On a daily basis, people picked alcohol over essential food. We all know the phrase, "Eating or heating", but for many in society, it is "Eating or drinking".

We regularly saw people waiting for the alcohol aisle to open in the morning so that they could purchase their first drink of the day. That serves as a reminder that, every day, people in every community, the length and breadth of this country, face a struggle with alcohol dependency. That is why it is crucial that we change the way in which we view alcohol and face up to the size of the challenge.

The final issue that I want to raise is the important issue of the abuse that is faced by retail staff. Again, from my time working in retail, I have first-hand experience of the kind of abuse that front-line workers receive every day from customers with alcohol problems. Across the UK, there are 2.7 million retail workers, and they regularly deal with abuse and violence. I accept that not all of it is caused by alcohol, but the vast majority of it is. Shop workers deal with abuse, threats, harassment and violence for simply upholding the law and refusing to sell alcohol to people who are already intoxicated. My union, the Union of Shop, Distributive and Allied Workers, has led on the issue with its freedom from fear campaign, which seeks to prevent violence, threats and abuse against retail staff, and its annual respect for shop workers week.

Alcohol affects almost everyone in society. For too long, some in Scotland have viewed people with alcohol problems as affable individuals who do no harm to anyone, without taking into account the harm that is done to the individual, their families and society. We need a fundamental change in Scotland's relationship with alcohol, and we need it now.

16:26

James Dornan (Glasgow Cathcart) (SNP): I stopped drinking about 20 years ago, for a number of reasons. I did not like the person that alcohol sometimes made me, although many of my friends did. I recognised that I was struggling physically to cope with the aftermath of a typical Saturday night session and I realised, even at around 40 years of age, that unless I stopped drinking I would never achieve my full potential. I have no doubt that I would not have become a politician and be speaking in the chamber if I was still drinking.

It took me almost two years from the time that I decided to stop to actually stop. What held me back? What was the hardest part of giving up the drink? It was not the going teetotal and it did not relate to the change that drink could make to mesometimes good, sometimes less so; it was the social pressure to continue to drink. People who did not drink were not seen as one of the lads. The "You think you're better than us" attitude still prevailed back then. I have no doubt that, if I was in the same situation now, I would find it much easier to give up drink because, thankfully, society has moved on. It is much more socially acceptable to be a non-drinker, and we are all better for it. People no longer have to put up with people saying, "Come on, just have one, it won't do you any harm—blah, blah, blah."

I was not an alcoholic. I could have taken a drink or left it. However, I knew that drinking was not doing me any good and that it was not helping

me to create the life that I wanted to create for myself. I realised that when I was nearly 40.

Previous speakers, including Mary Fee, have spoken about the damage that is done to retail workers. My partner is a nurse. She works in a neonatal unit, but she used to work in accident and emergency and she tells me that there was never an A and E shift during which she did not get some kind of verbal or physical abuse, almost without fail from somebody who was drunk, although it was sometimes from someone who was on drugs.

As the cabinet secretary said, there is no room for complacency. We spend £3.5 billion every year on the direct and indirect costs of alcohol misuse. We need to get that figure down, but we must do it by continuing to change our relationship with alcohol at a societal level while supporting those who are affected by alcohol misuse.

We know that that misuse affects not only the individual but their family and friends, as well as their community. It continues to be a concern that there is still a huge difference in hospitalisation and discharge rates between the most and least deprived areas of Scotland. That has a knock-on effect in those communities, most prominently in antisocial behaviour that relates to drunkenness, be that general vandalism, antisocial neighbours or the creation of an unsafe environment for people on Friday and Saturday nights. There cannot be an MSP who has not had to deal with constituents' complaints about neighbours whose drinking makes them antisocial.

Tackling alcohol misuse at a community level is also key to changing our relationship with alcohol. We have heard a lot today about many fantastic organisations that work across the country to help folk who have a problematic relationship with alcohol to get the appropriate support and help that they need in their community.

FASS, which is for families affected by drug and alcohol abuse, is a confidential service that works in my constituency and across Glasgow. It offers support, counselling, advice and information to parents, spouses, partners and adult family members who are feeling the negative impact of a loved one's alcohol or drug problems.

I visited FASS, which has a project whereby bereaved family members get the opportunity to participate by putting something on to a quilt. The stories on the quilt would break members' hearts. The squares are made by families who have lost someone close—perhaps a son, daughter, sister or father—through addiction. One family had three squares on the quilt because they had lost three family members to drugs and alcohol.

FASS offers support to kinship carers. That includes practical support, such as helping them to

get the right level of access and assisting with paperwork, and it involves working with FASS's partner, Geeza Break, to offer respite.

FASS runs a clothing project, which started in 2008 and has gone from strength to strength. The original idea of the project was to help kinship carers with clothing items for children who were put into their care at short notice. Many families are affected in such a way that, although there is a long-standing alcohol problem, there is one trigger that brings the services in to protect the children—perhaps there is a death in the family or someone is hospitalised, which means that the children have to be taken elsewhere to be looked after, usually by a grandparent. That service has grown over the years and FASS is now able to offer adults' as well as children's clothing.

FASS is one of many organisations and support groups that work across my constituency and the city of Glasgow to help people who have a difficult relationship with alcohol.

One of the most recent ways in which the Scottish Government has taken a lead on alcohol is through lowering the drink-drive limit on our roads, which has been mentioned several times. That change will undoubtedly save lives.

The Deputy Presiding Officer (Elaine Smith): I am sorry, but I have to stop you for a minute, Mr Dornan. I ask Labour's front-bench members to listen to the last bit of Mr Dornan's speech.

James Dornan: Thank you, Presiding Officer. I am shocked and disappointed. [Laughter.]

The drink-drive limit change will save lives and show that our thoughts can shift. I am of the generation that used to think that drink driving was okay. It was not uncommon for people who were drinking at house parties to think nothing of driving home, despite their condition. I shudder when I think back to the number of times when I as a young man—there were cars when I was a young man—got a run home or was driven to a party by someone who, we would now recognise, was clearly over the limit. That is—rightly—not socially acceptable now.

The introduction of the legislation, which has taken us in line with the rest of Europe, has had an impact. As we have heard, since the introduction of the new lower drink-drive limit, there has been a 17 per cent reduction in drink-driving offences. That is a positive story for Scotland that shows that we are again leading the way on social and legislative change in the UK.

The Police Federation of England and Wales recently asked for the legal limit in England and Wales to fall into line with that in Scotland. Given the impact that has been felt in such a short period here, I hope that that will be considered. The new

lower drink-driving limit shows that legislative changes can have a positive impact by changing attitudes to drink, so the other legislative changes that we are looking to make, including those on minimum pricing, could have the same impact.

We are all in this together; there is not a person in Scotland who has not in some way been affected by alcohol misuse, directly or indirectly, and it is incumbent on us all to do what we can to change our country's relationship with alcohol through changing legislation, challenging attitudes and supporting people and organisations, such as the police and the NHS, that deal day in, day out with the effects of alcohol in our society. It is not inevitable that Scotland has to have such a relationship—we can, will and must change it.

16:33

Jackson Carlaw: I assure Mr Dornan that there has been no chatter among Conservative front benchers and that we have been unanimous in our attention to his speech.

This has been the debate that I wanted to hear. I would like to make four points, and the first relates to the Labour amendment. Some of my more free market and libertarian colleagues were somewhat concerned that the amendment was a thinly disguised attack on the retail industry—perish the thought that such a thing could ever come from Labour. I told my colleagues that they should not be concerned. Initiatives such as challenge 25 emerged from the retail industry, and much can be achieved not just by resorting to legislation but by working with other parties to bring forward measures that will assist the situation.

The second point that I will make is the one that Jim Eadie developed when he talked about the change in levels of drink driving. I posited my slight concern that resource issues last Christmas and new year might have meant that fewer people were stopped, but I hope that the figures are sustained and prove me wrong in the longer term.

The most important thing is not the number of people who are stopped and who test positive when they are breathalysed but a reduction in the number of road accidents and fatalities that are caused, often not by someone who is just over the limit but by serial drinkers. If the legislation dissuades those people from taking the risk, we will be able to say that it has led to a genuine change in culture and in people's approach to alcohol.

Dr Simpson: The member might be interested in the fact that some states in the United States have breathalyser locks put on the cars of people who have been convicted. They cannot drive their

cars without ensuring that they are below the limit or free of alcohol.

Jackson Carlaw: I am grateful for that information.

The only surreal moment of the afternoon was a Frenchman saying, "Hee haw, hee haw, hee haw," repeatedly into the microphone. As Bob Doris and Sandra White saw, that left me bewildered as I looked for the beret and onions, thinking that some hugely stereotypical performance was going on. It was suddenly explained to me that that is the name of a non-alcoholic drink, although it is not one that I have come across or know anything about, so I was grateful for the explanation.

The third point that I want to make comes back to culture. I enjoyed most of the contributions that started to address the way in which Scotland deals with our cultural relationship with alcohol. I came from the motor industry and I know that cars used to have a relatively short shelf life. If a car had 100,000 miles on the clock, it was regarded as something of a dangerous old banger that ought to be scrapped. The car that I am driving now has something like 120,000 miles on the clock. Car lifespans have been extended through the careful care and maintenance of vehicles over a long period, so people can enjoy that extended lifespan.

In that sense, human beings are no different. If people are to enjoy a much longer life and if we want it to have quality, we need to find a way of encouraging the public to engage more directly on alcohol, tobacco, obesity and all the preventable conditions.

Given the billions of pounds that the health service costs, we should consider whether the chief medical officer should be involved in more direct dialogue with households, to give the education that we discussed earlier. Bob Doris talked about education, education, education—much like another politician somewhere else and at a previous time—but it was not clear what that education should consist of.

If we are not going to deny people treatment, which none of us believes is the right approach, we need them to understand the effect that what they do will have on their lives in the future. This is not just a case of a universal GP-attached health visiting service. I am talking about the whole-of-life education that will make sure that people take more direct responsibility and so have a longer and healthier life.

I did not discuss my final point, which is on hospital admission, in my earlier speech. Of the 40,000 alcohol-related discharges from hospital, 92 per cent were through accident and emergency. We know that accident and emergency departments are under considerable

pressure and that an ageing demographic is presenting at accident and emergency. If we cannot bring down the number of alcohol-related admissions, we will compound what could be an avoidable problem.

That is why I have discussed with the cabinet secretary, and why I commend, the safe zone initiative in Edinburgh. On Friday and Saturday nights, there is a safe zone bus near the Omni centre in Edinburgh. The bus has seen 1,300 people, and 60 per cent of those who have been referred to it have been referred by Police Scotland. Of those, 42 per cent would otherwise have been admitted to accident and emergency departments. They were accident and emergency admissions that were avoided.

There are similar bus schemes in other cities, but they are a bit piecemeal and their funding is a bit haphazard. If we want to look at a preventative measure to ensure that some people get home safely later in the evening-Mr Doris talked about that in a slightly different context—and to head off what might otherwise be accident and emergency admissions, we should be prepared to invest in initiatives like the safe zone bus, especially given the billions of pounds that we otherwise spend. Perhaps such a bus should be available not just for a couple of nights a week but more regularly and when the occasion demands, particularly seasonally. That might reduce the number of people who present in accident and emergency departments.

The debate has been energising. We have had some discussion about the complicated relationships that some have had with alcohol, and that has underpinned many of the actions and initiatives that led to the Parliament passing minimum unit pricing legislation, which we hope will find its place in due course.

The issue is the fundamental relationship that we have been discussing, which is about not just alcohol but all the preventable conditions that a generation ago did not have the same impact on and cost to our health service or, indeed, human life. If we cannot find a way to get people to engage with this more directly on a personal and individual basis, it will not only overwhelm our health service but fundamentally undermine the quality of life that many might hope for, if that life is longer than was previously expected.

16:40

Dr Richard Simpson (Mid Scotland and Fife) (Lab): I remind the chamber that this is not the first time that Scotland and the UK have faced an alcohol tidal wave, or what the BMA has called an "epidemic"; it is actually the third time. We got through the previous two waves, and we must

hope that the decline that many members have referred to in the numbers of deaths and hospital admissions and other statistics, which started in 2003 and has continued since, with slight variations, is the beginning of the end of this wave. However, as all members have, I think, agreed this afternoon, that will not happen without our continued effort as a Parliament and as a society.

The prevalence that has been referred to is important, given that 138,000 individuals in Scotland—plus or minus 10,000 or 15,000—are alcohol dependent. That is a huge number and, as Mary Fee made clear, some of those people will choose alcohol over food when assessing their weekly shop.

One issue that has not been covered that well is data collection. The figures that we have are approximations; the monitoring and evaluating Scotland's alcohol strategy programme does a good job in analysing what is happening with alcohol in Scotland, but we need to be clear about data collection. One area in which we have an opportunity to do this is the new drug and alcohol information system-or DAISy-which is, as we speak, being developed by the Information Services Division in a working group. As we said in last week's debate on caring, that system must look at, for example, the role of young carers who are looking after people in families in which there are alcohol problems and the effect on families and children, given that 50,000 to 58,000 children in Scotland will be affected by alcohol.

We know from WHO that the two things that a Government or Parliament can focus on to best address an alcohol problem are price and availability. In 2001, when I was justice minister, we set up the Nicholson commission, whose work led to the Licensing (Scotland) Act 2005. That was designed to address availability by bringing in public health and the protection of children as licensing objectives. The effect of that has been some measure of control with regard to licensing but, as Alcohol Focus Scotland and others have pointed out, the situation is very patchy and we need to address the issue in a much clearer way. I note that 96 per cent of all licences are accepted-that is too many. If 40 per cent more licences are being granted in areas of deprivation where we know that there are alcohol problems, that must be addressed, and we must also find a mechanism for ensuring that our licensing boards have the power, the authority and the money to be able to go to court. Some of the supermarkets that apply for licences are very wealthy and will challenge decisions in the courts, but councils simply do not have the money to oppose them. We need to be sure that they can.

What is happening in our society? The figures have already been highlighted by many members,

which I very much welcome, but I also think that certain important signs of cultural change are reflected in the Scottish schools adolescent lifestyle and substance use survey report that Anne McTaggart quoted from. For example, 19 per cent of 15-year-olds reported that they had drunk alcohol in the past week; that figure is down from 34 per cent in 2010. Also, 4 per cent of 13-year-olds reported that they had drunk alcohol in the past week; that is down from 44 per cent, which represents a big change and, I hope, reflects a change in attitude in the next generation.

Perhaps the education system is beginning to work. I am not allowed to do so, but I would love to ask the pupils who are in the gallery this afternoon whether they feel that the education that they are getting is worth while. When I was a minister, I was told by young people from the Scottish Youth Parliament that the education at that time was ineffective. It was a bit like sex education—if you were off that day, you missed it. That is not good enough and we must ensure that we deal with that issue.

Social norms have not been mentioned today, although they have been studied widely in America and researched in the UK and now in Scotland. Will the social marketing programme that the Government announced today include consideration of social norms when tackling young adolescents and how they perceive their peers drinking? There is no doubt that the biggest influence on an adolescent's drinking is what is happening around them, but it is their perception of what is happening that is important, not the reality, and that is what research into social norms shows. Jackson Carlaw referred to older people and said that this is about investment not just in a pension but in someone's quality of life in the future, and that is important. As Jayne Baxter said, cancer and many other conditions are caused by alcohol but also by smoking, being overweight or having the wrong diet. We must encourage that investment in lifestyle and tell people before they get to that point that such investment is necessary now.

As I have said, we are bringing about change. For example, hazardous drinking appears to have declined since 2003 from 33 per cent to 22 per cent in men, and from 23 per cent to 16 per cent in women, which is excellent. Binge drinking by 16 to 24-year-olds has also reduced, perhaps partly due to challenge 25, which the industry introduced. I do not deny that the industry has a role to play and we must work in partnership with it. We must recognise that it is trying to sell as much of its product and make as much profit as it can, so we must sup with a slightly longer spoon that we would use in some other instances. However, what the industry is doing is excellent. It promoted community alcohol partnerships, which started in

England and are now happening in Scotland, which is welcome. It supported the drinkaware, serve right, and best bar none programmes. There are many such programmes and I want the social responsibility levy that this Parliament has passed to raise funds so that local authorities can encourage those things to happen. The economy is improving and the time has come for that to be implemented. We should not delay.

I do not have time to go into all aspects of my Alcohol (Licensing, Public Health and Criminal Justice) (Scotland) Bill, but I will speak a little about one or two aspects. First, however, I will deal with one or two other points. Alcohol brief are the first such interventions national programme in the world-excellent. However, as I think Bob Doris said, we must look at outcomes as well as short-term effects. I am retiring next year and, if I get the chance of a valedictory address, I will say again and again that this Parliament is far too preoccupied with process and not sufficiently preoccupied with outcomes. The ABI programme is good and has an evidence base, and it is right to introduce it, but we must also consider the longer-term effects.

As the cabinet secretary said, we need to put more money into other venues to address the issue. In accident and emergency, reports indicate that it is difficult and there are big challenges in changing the culture and even in recording people who repeatedly attend with alcohol problems. That should be a fundamental. In the 1990s, I was on the chief scientist's committee when we funded a programme to put mental health nurses into accident and emergency as a scientific project. It was successful. Today, I spoke to Derek Bell, who works in London and is now vice-chair of the Academy of Medical Royal Colleges and Faculties in Scotland. He said that his A and E unit in England includes an addiction worker and that, when somebody comes in with an alcohol problem, it is addressed.

There are other venues. Arrest referral exists in five out of eight sheriffdoms. Why is it not in all eight, to ensure that people are picked up and addressed in custody? We must look at our specialist services, and we have a good record internationally. About 38,000 of the 138,000 people with alcohol-related problems are in treatment, but there are problems such as alcoholrelated brain damage. That is an area of high cost to the health service. When people get to the stage of being admitted to the gastroenterology unit with hematemisis-bleeding as a result of their drinking—it is almost too late. When I did a study in St John's hospital in Livingston there were 11 different case records for those individuals. There was no co-ordination, and such cases are very expensive.

I do not have any more time, Presiding Officer—I see that you are shaking your head.

The Deputy Presiding Officer: I am afraid that you do not—you must conclude.

Dr Simpson: I am grateful to all the members who referred to my member's bill. I hope that people will look at the bill and at what we are doing.

I will finish with three brief points. Mary Fee rightly called for family support; Gil Paterson's story about his family was an excellent personal testimony; and Sandra White was right to draw attention to the need for community rapport beyond the immediate recovery.

I finish by agreeing with Jim Eadie, who said that we must welcome recovery and promote those who have recovered as models for those who are seeking to do so. We are at the beginning of change, and we need to work together to achieve it.

16:50

The Minister for Public Health (Maureen Watt): I thank all members in the chamber for their contributions. I have listened to the range of views expressed on all sides of the chamber and, although we may not agree on everything, I believe that there is genuine scope for consensus on a topic that continues to affect our nation so profoundly.

Most members mentioned Scotland's relationship with drink. As many members said, we are not an anti-alcohol nation—indeed, a number of members highlighted alcohol's contribution to Scotland's economy—but we are very much a nation against alcohol abuse. Many members—Christian Allard, Dave Thompson, Mary Fee, James Dornan and others—told us very movingly about their own experiences.

None of us wishes to see the harm that can be associated with alcohol impacting on individuals, on their families or on communities. Our work on protecting children and tackling alcohol-related violence must continue. That is why cashback for communities—for example, the £75 million that has been spent on diversionary activities—is most important. I also highlight the Lloyds TSB Foundation's partnership drugs initiative, which has close links to ADPs.

Hugh Henry: Will the minister give way? **Maureen Watt:** Just a minute, Mr Henry.

Since 2001, Lloyds has contributed £21 million to the initiative, and the Government has contributed £700,000.

I was just going to mention CPOs—I presume that that is what Mr Henry wanted to ask about. I see that he is nodding. I welcome the question that he raised in his speech about community payback orders. They are a robust and credible alternative to custodial sentences, and they facilitate payback to communities while at the same time addressing the underlying causes of offending.

CPOs are not a soft option—they have been proven to work. Individuals who are released from custodial sentences of six months or less are reconvicted more than twice as often as those who are given CPOs. In 2013-14, 18,600 CPOs were issued, in comparison with 16,100 in the previous year, and I was at a meeting today at which I heard that offending among young people is declining dramatically.

The CPO scheme has resulted in the imposition on offenders of some 1.8 million hours of unpaid work, providing punishment for their offence while giving payback to the community. I hope that Mr Henry is as pleased about that as I am.

Jim Eadie mentioned the photovoice event that was held last night in the Parliament, and the Serenity cafe. Like many members, rather than stay in the building all the time, I sometimes pop round to the Serenity cafe for a quiet bite to eat. However, I visited it formally a few weeks ago, when I was privileged to see the many local projects that are assisting individuals in their recovery from addiction to alcohol, drugs or both. On that occasion, I was delighted to see the substantial progress that is being made on a range of individual journeys. I am reminded once again of the need for the right local infrastructure, which is essential in supporting successful recovery for individuals through people being together and helping one another.

We have heard quite a lot today about what we are doing at the national level, but a huge amount of what matters happens at the local level. From going out to visit alcohol and drug partnerships and projects that are run by the third sector, I know that what really matters to individuals is the support that they receive to overcome the barriers to achieving recovery. Jayne Baxter's comments about what is happening Fife reinforce that point. I have seen that it takes a lot of strength and commitment on the part of individuals to achieve recovery, so I pay tribute to the individuals who are working towards recovery and the people who support them. In particular, I pay tribute to our 30 alcohol and drug partnerships, the many third sector partners who deliver front-line services, the staff who work tirelessly—often challenging circumstances where alcohol concerned—and, of course, Police Scotland.

Yesterday, I visited the Lanarkshire ADP, which is leading the way in the work that it is doing, for which it has won awards. I was struck very forcibly by the peer support that recovering alcoholics and drug addicts offer there, which is so important. It makes a big difference to a recovering alcoholic or drug addict if they have support from someone who has already been on the same journey. Many ADPs recognise the need to do more, to drill down and to reach out-for example, by offering their services to people who face homelessness and to offenders who are coming out of prison. Just today, I had a meeting with ministerial colleagues to see what can be done by all of us, across portfolios, to offer the services that those people need.

We have heard about places of safety in the evening for those who have drunk too much alcohol. ADPs are responsible for assessing the requirement in their area for such services and for putting the best arrangements in place, and some have opted for safe zone buses. I launched the Edinburgh safe zone just a couple of months ago, and we have provided funding for such buses not only in Edinburgh but in Dundee. Other areas use other models-for example, some have safer streets initiatives, with street pastors and taxi marshals. However, as Christian Allard said, this is not just about the community's responsibility, but about our individual responsibility. It is important that places of safety are available, but the need for them must be evidenced and, as many members have said, in the first place we need to prevent the circumstances that require their provision.

Scotland is by no means unique in being a society where alcohol consumption and drinking to get drunk are normalised, but the consumption and the alcohol-related harms that we see here are pretty stark. NHS Health Scotland's monitoring evaluating Scotland's alcohol programme is, as Dr Simpson said, invaluable. We know that Scots drink almost a fifth more than their counterparts in England and Wales, which of course fuels our much higher levels of alcoholrelated harm. Alcohol is now around 60 per cent more affordable than it was in 1980, with the trend being driven by off-trade sales. It is disappointing that the Tories have broken the important link in that regard, because, as has been said, the duties on alcohol are not keeping pace with the harms that it causes and the costs for the public purse.

Labour members mentioned the social responsibility levy, which is something that we and our finance colleagues can look at. However, pubs and supermarkets are still reporting financial challenges, even though the economy is recovering, so introducing the levy might not be without its own challenges.

Despite falling by 9 per cent between 2009 and 2013, including a 2 per cent decline in the past year, the volume of pure alcohol sold in Scotland per adult increased by 5 per cent between 1994 and 2013. Per adult sales have been 17 to 19 per cent higher in Scotland than in England and Wales over the past five years, with the difference largely due to spirit sales.

The debate that we have had today will help us to take forward the strategy further. I return to the World Health Organization priorities for action on alcohol, which were our starting point. On the preventative measures that the recommends on price availability and marketing. I have written to my counterpart at Westminster, and I am pleased to say that my Welsh counterpart is backing me up on the request that we made on advertising. I very much hope that we can reach consensus that the next stage of the journey in tackling our nation's relationship with alcohol needs the strong backbone that the World Health Organization priorities provide.

I look forward to discussing the proposals in Richard Simpson's Alcohol (Licensing, Public Health and Criminal Justice) (Scotland) Bill.

While I anticipate that there will be, in due course, a more formal consultation process for the next phase of our strategy, at this stage I welcome any and all contributions to the next steps. As Minister for Public Health, I will gladly discuss ideas with all members in the coming weeks and months.

Decision Time

Meeting closed at 17:01.

17:01

The Presiding Officer (Tricia Marwick): There are two questions to be put as a result of today's business. The first question is, that amendment S4M-13358.1, in the name of Jenny Marra, which seeks to amend motion S4M-13358, in the name of Shona Robison, on making progress on changing Scotland's relationship with alcohol, be agreed to.

Amendment agreed to.

The Presiding Officer: The next question is, that motion S4M-13358, in the name of Shona Robison, on making progress on changing Scotland's relationship with alcohol, as amended, be agreed to.

Motion, as amended, agreed to.

That the Parliament recognises the progress to date on tackling alcohol misuse in Scotland and the impact of the Scottish Government's comprehensive 2009 strategy, Changing Scotland's Relationship with Alcohol: A Framework for Action; further recognises the valuable contribution made to tackling alcohol misuse by local alcohol and drug partnerships, the third sector and the public sector, including the NHS and Police Scotland; welcomes the recent decline in some alcohol-related harms, especially in lower income areas; further agrees that substantial preventative action to tackle alcohol misuse remains essential, given the wholly unacceptable average of 20 alcohol-related deaths and 700 alcohol-related hospital admissions each week in Scotland; welcomes views on additional measures to help tackle alcohol misuse, including restrictions on alcohol retailing and advertising, changes to licensing laws and improved alcohol-related rehabilitation for offenders, acknowledges the importance of price in any long-term strategy to tackle alcohol misuse and the Scottish Government's commitment to introducing minimum unit pricing.

Members who would like a printed copy of the Official Report to be forwarded to them should give notice to SPICe.	
Members who would like a printed copy of the Official Rep	port to be forwarded to them should give notice to SPICe.
Available in e-format only. Printed Scottish Parliament documentation is put. All documents are available on the Scottish Parliament website at: www.scottish.parliament.uk For details of documents available to order in hard copy format, please contact: APS Scottish Parliament Publications on 0131 629 9941.	Iblished in Edinburgh by APS Group Scotland. For information on the Scottish Parliament contact Public Information on: Telephone: 0131 348 5000 Textphone: 0800 092 7100 Email: sp.info@scottish.parliament.uk e-format first available ISBN 978-1-78568-727-3 Revised e-format available ISBN 978-1-78568-741-9

Printed in Scotland by APS Group Scotland