EDUCATION, CULTURE AND SPORT COMMITTEE

Tuesday 3 April 2001 (Afternoon)

© Parliamentary copyright. Scottish Parliamentary Corporate Body 2001. Applications for reproduction should be made in writing to the Copyright Unit, Her Majesty's Stationery Office, St Clements House, 2-16 Colegate, Norwich NR3 1BQ Fax 01603 723000, which is administering the copyright on behalf of the Scottish Parliamentary Corporate Body. Produced and published in Scotland on behalf of the Scottish Parliamentary Corporate Body by The Stationery Office Ltd. Her Majesty's Stationery Office is independent of and separate from the company now trading as The Stationery Office Ltd, which is responsible for printing and publishing

Scottish Parliamentary Corporate Body publications.

CONTENTS

Tuesday 3 April 2001

	Col.
BUDGET 2002-03	2217
CHILDREN'S COMMISSIONER	2218
EUROPE FAMILIARISATION SCHEME	2220

EDUCATION, CULTURE AND SPORT COMMITTEE 11th Meeting 2001, Session 1

CONVENER

*Karen Gillon (Clydesdale) (Lab)

DEPUTY CONVENER

*Cathy Peattie (Falkirk East) (Lab)

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

- *lan Jenkins (Tweeddale, Ettrick and Lauderdale) (LD)
- *Mr Frank McAveety (Glasgow Shettleston) (Lab) *Irene McGugan (North-East Scotland) (SNP)
- *Mr Brian Monteith (Mid Scotland and Fife) (Con)

Michael Russell (South of Scotland) (SNP)

CLERK TO THE COMMITTEE

Martin Verity

ASSISTANT CLERK

Ian Cowan

LOCATION

Committee Room 2

^{*}attended

Scottish Parliament

Education, Culture and Sport Committee

Tuesday 3 April 2001

(Afternoon)

[THE CONVENER opened the meeting at 14:31]

The Convener (Karen Gillon): I call the meeting to order. Can I have the committee's agreement to take items 5, 6 and 7 in private?

Members indicated agreement.

Budget 2002-03

The Convener: As members know, the committee is responsible for monitoring the budgets of the various departments that our remit covers. Previously, we appointed a reporter who met the relevant officials and reported back to the committee. We then expanded our report from that.

Because of the committee's present agenda, it would be helpful if we could appoint a reporter to get the detailed information that we need, over the next few weeks, and return to the committee with a report. I suggest that Cathy Peattie, the deputy convener, should take on that role. The reporter's role is simply to go to the Executive, get the information and bring it back. The committee can then discuss the budgets and how they are being used. Unless there any comments, can we agree to appoint Cathy Peattie as the reporter?

Members indicated agreement.

Children's Commissioner

The Convener: Agenda item 3 is an update on the children's commissioner inquiry. This morning, along with my colleague Frank McAveety, I heard the views of young people in Glasgow on the idea of a children's commissioner. Their views will feed into the information-gathering process. The *Evening Times* has been running a campaign and a children's jury, and some children came along to give us information on that. We will feed that information into the written evidence on the commissioner.

I have asked permission of the conveners group to go to Wales next Tuesday, to meet the chair of the Health and Social Services Committee and the Health and Social Services Secretary, to discuss the progress that the National Assembly for Wales has made on a children's commissioner. We are still trying to fix a date to visit the Northern Ireland Assembly.

Written evidence has been coming in steadily over the past few weeks, and we will now begin to work on that. Members also have a paper on a proposed day event and a film that we are considering making. We suggested making a film because we would be able to take it to a wider geographical area and reach some of the young people whom we have not managed to contact. We would approach organisations such as Who Cares? Scotland, whose remit covers such things, to help us do that. Do members have any comments on the proposals?

lan Jenkins (Tweeddale, Ettrick and Lauderdale) (LD): I like the idea of making a film, but I wonder whether we have enough time to do it. It would be up to the guys who produced the film and the organisations that they contacted to ensure that it would be ready in time. I am worried about the time scale, with the Easter holidays approaching, but if the film could be produced in time, it would be a good idea.

Irene McGugan (North-East Scotland) (SNP): I endorse that comment. It is an imaginative proposal that would allow more young people to express an opinion and get involved in the event than we could comfortably accommodate if we brought them here. The paper is correct in saying that young people are comfortable with videos and would not find it difficult to express themselves in that format and get involved in the event. The idea is to be commended.

The Convener: Can we proceed with the proposal and commission that work?

Irene McGugan: Committee members will be aware that we have also commissioned research into consultation with young people and that we are now at the stage of bringing that to a conclusion and writing a final report. Some of us think that the children's commissioner inquiry and the outcome of the report are compatible in some ways, or could be linked. If we go ahead with this event on 4 June, perhaps it would be appropriate to publicly launch the completed report on consultation with young people at the same time. To achieve that, the timetabling would have to allow us to get the final report to committee members by 23 April.

The researchers are available to attend the committee on 15 May to speak to the report, present their research and participate in a general discussion around it. The report could then be signed off and authorised by the committee in time for 4 June, and a small part of our children's commissioner day event could be given over to its launch. Representatives of the many agencies, individuals and children who have an interest in the event will also have an interest in the paper, and the two might feed off each other's publicity.

The Convener: That would certainly be possible. However, representatives of the Scottish Qualifications Authority will provide a progress report to the committee on 15 May and we may run out of time. If we wanted to invite the Scottish Parliament information centre researchers, we would have to bring the meeting forward by half an hour to give them time to take us through what has been happening. If members do not have a problem with that, that would seem to be the obvious solution. Is that agreed?

Members indicated agreement.

The Convener: Cathy Peattie has now arrived. The committee has agreed that you will be its reporter on the budget process, Cathy.

Cathy Peattie (Falkirk East) (Lab): Fine.

Europe Familiarisation Scheme

The Convener: Agenda item 4 is the deputy convener's report of her visit to the European Parliament. A written paper has just been circulated. I invite Cathy Peattie to introduce her report.

Cathy Peattie: I have prepared only a brief report but I have some background papers that members might be interested in.

The aim of the visit was to familiarise ourselves with the European Union and get up to speed with some of the relevant issues. We had an opportunity to speak to people who were doing some of the same work as this committee in areas such as education, culture, sport and the media. I found that particularly interesting.

My meeting with David Coyne, the head of the European Commission's directorate-general for education and culture was interesting as we talked about how indicators are being used in Europe. Members will recall that the committee has had that discussion frequently. He highlighted, as did Mr Hingel at a later meeting, that none of the European countries have been reluctant to identify the fact that a number of kids are leaving school as under-achievers. For the first time, people are realising that they have to do something about that—I suppose that it used to be seen as simply the fault of the young people as opposed to the system. I have brought back a report on indicators, which deals specifically with how quality indicators can be used. That might be useful for us to consider at a later date.

I had a similar discussion with Mr Hingel, also from the directorate-general for education and culture. We talked about ways in which people had been dealing with the issues surrounding indicators. He outlined a pilot project involving second-chance schools. It works in partnership with education and industry to bring together kids who have left school fairly early, who are not getting jobs and who have been, in a way, disenfranchised within the system. Its aim is to get those kids back into society and into employment. The pilot project has been fairly successful and I have an outline of a second-chance school that is being run in Leeds. It is an issue that we might want to deal with.

We will all be aware that, somewhere along the line, youth has slipped off the agenda of the Scottish Parliament. I am not sure if getting it back on the agenda is the role of our committee or that of the Enterprise and Lifelong Learning Committee, but it is important that we address how the Parliament deals with teenagers and young people. We might want to flag that up. I have a

number of names of relevant people with whom we might want to speak.

I met Barbara O'Toole, who is a member of the European Parliament's Committee on Culture, Youth, Education, the Media and Sport. She was interested in the work that we are doing on cultural issues and we exchanged information about our committees. She thought that it would be useful for us to link in with her committee on cultural areas. She suggested that she might visit us to examine a form of exchange between our committees to better promote some cultural issues.

I spoke to Doris Peck on languages. She did not seem to be quite up to speed on some of the issues around minority languages, although that is what I was interested in—I can tell that that does not surprise Irene McGugan. I have asked for other information to be sent to me. That was the only meeting of my visit that was in any way disappointing—it took place only an hour before I left so there was not much that could be done.

In spite of the press coverage, I found the visit useful and helpful. We can make links with Europe on the issues around education, culture, languages and so on and we must examine whether that should be done by exchanges, visits or whatever. We should certainly exchange information and I have the addresses of various websites that we should look at if we have not been looking at them already. Links with Europe provide a great opportunity for the committee.

The written report that members have received is brief. If there are any typographical errors, that is simply because it is hot off the press.

I will pass the publications that I brought back to Martin Verity, who can circulate them.

The Convener: It would be helpful if we invited Barbara O'Toole and some of the members of her committee to meet us. It might be easiest to factor in such a meeting if we did that informally, as we envisage meeting the Irish members.

We should examine whether it is possible to arrange for some members of our committee to visit the school in Leeds that Cathy Peattie mentioned. It seems to be a good example of something that could be done to help underachieving young people.

Perhaps we could get the "European Report on the Quality of School Education" copied to all committee members. It would be helpful if members would read that.

Cathy Peattie: It is an interesting document. The European working committee on quality indicators did a lot of soul searching on the issue and its work has a good deal in common with some of that we have done and the issues that we have raised with Her Majesty's inspectors of schools.

The Convener: We will move into private session.

14:45

Meeting continued in private until 15:08.

Members who would like a printed copy of the *Official Report* to be forwarded to them should give notice at the Document Supply Centre.

No proofs of the *Official Report* can be supplied. Members who want to suggest corrections for the archive edition should mark them clearly in the daily edition, and send it to the Official Report, 375 High Street, Edinburgh EH99 1SP. Suggested corrections in any other form cannot be accepted.

The deadline for corrections to this edition is:

Wednesday 11 April 2001

Members who want reprints of their speeches (within one month of the date of publication) may obtain request forms and further details from the Central Distribution Office, the Document Supply Centre or the Official Report.

PRICES AND SUBSCRIPTION RATES

DAILY EDITIONS

Single copies: £5

Meetings of the Parliament annual subscriptions: £500

The archive edition of the Official Report of meetings of the Parliament, written answers and public meetings of committees will be published on CD-ROM.

WHAT'S HAPPENING IN THE SCOTTISH PARLIAMENT, compiled by the Scottish Parliament Information Centre, contains details of past and forthcoming business and of the work of committees and gives general information on legislation and other parliamentary activity.

Single copies: £3.75 Special issue price: £5 Annual subscriptions: £150.00

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO PARLIAMENTARY QUESTIONS weekly compilation

Single copies: £3.75

Annual subscriptions: £150.00

Standing orders will be accepted at the Document Supply Centre.

Published in Edinburgh by The Stationery Office Limited and available from:

The Stationery Office Bookshop 71 Lothian Road Edinburgh EH3 9AZ 0131 228 4181 Fax 0131 622 7017

The Stationery Office Bookshops at: 123 Kingsway, London WC2B 6PQ Tel 020 7242 6393 Fax 020 7242 6394 68-69 Bull Street, Birmingham B4 6AD Tel 0121 236 9696 Fax 0121 236 9699 33 Wine Street, Bristol BS1 2BQ Tel 01179 264306 Fax 01179 294515 9-21 Princess Street, Manchester M60 8AS Tel 0161 834 7201 Fax 0161 833 0634 16 Arthur Street, Belfast BT1 4GD Tel 028 9023 8451 Fax 028 9023 5401 The Stationery Office Oriel Bookshop, 18-19 High Street, Cardiff CF12BZ Tel 029 2039 5548 Fax 029 2038 4347

The Stationery Office Scottish Parliament Documentation Helpline may be able to assist with additional information on publications of or about the Scottish Parliament, their availability and cost:

Telephone orders and inquiries 0870 606 5566

Fax orders 0870 606 5588

The Scottish Parliament Shop George IV Bridge EH99 1SP Telephone orders 0131 348 5412

sp.info@scottish.parliament.uk www.scottish.parliament.uk

Accredited Agents (see Yellow Pages)

and through good booksellers

Printed in Scotland by The Stationery Office Limited

ISBN 0 338 000003 ISSN 1467-0178