SOCIAL JUSTICE COMMITTEE

Wednesday 4 September 2002 (Morning)

Session 1

© Parliamentary copyright. Scottish Parliamentary Corporate Body 2002. Applications for reproduction should be made in writing to the Copyright Unit, Her Majesty's Stationery Office, St Clements House, 2-16 Colegate, Norwich NR3 1BQ Fax 01603 723000, which is administering the copyright on behalf of the Scottish Parliamentary Corporate Body. Produced and published in Scotland on behalf of the Scottish Parliamentary Corporate Body by The Stationery Office Ltd.

Her Majesty's Stationery Office is independent of and separate from the company now trading as The Stationery Office Ltd, which is responsible for printing and publishing Scottish Parliamentary Corporate Body publications.

CONTENTS

Wednesday 4 September 2002

	Col
Interests	3061
ITEMS IN PRIVATE	3061
WORK PROGRAMME	3064

SOCIAL JUSTICE COMMITTEE

14th Meeting 2002, Session 1

CONVENER

*Johann Lamont (Glasgow Pollok) (Lab)

DEPUTY CONVENER

*Mr Kenneth Gibson (Glasgow) (SNP)

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

- *Robert Brown (Glasgow) (LD)
- *Cathie Craigie (Cumbernauld and Kilsyth) (Lab)
- *Linda Fabiani (Central Scotland) (SNP)
- *Mrs Lyndsay McIntosh (Central Scotland) (Con)
- *Karen Whitefield (Airdrie and Shotts) (Lab)

COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE

Ms Sandra White (Glasgow) (SNP)

*attended

THE FOLLOWING ALSO ATTENDED:

Tommy Sheridan (Glasgow) (SSP)

CLERK TO THE COMMITTEE

Jim Johnston

SENIOR ASSISTANT CLERK

Mary Dinsdale

ASSISTANT CLERK

Craig Harper

LOC ATION

Committee Room 3

Scottish Parliament Social Justice Committee

Wednesday 4 September 2002

(Morning)

[THE CONVENER opened the meeting at 10:03]

Interests

The Convener (Johann Lamont): I welcome members to the 14th meeting in 2002 of the Social Justice Committee and hope that they had a productive recess. Before we move to the agenda item on items in private, Robert Brown has interests to declare.

Robert Brown (Glasgow) (LD): The interests relate to the Debt Arrangement and Attachment (Scotland) Bill. I have a consultancy with Ross Harper and Murphy and am a member of the Law Society of Scotland.

Items in Private

The Convener: We now move to consideration of items in private. The committee must consider whether to take item 3, on the licensing of houses in multiple occupation, in private.

Linda Fabiani (Central Scotland) (SNP): What are members' opinions on taking item 3 in private? I could see nothing in the item—

The Convener: Whether to take item 3 in private is for the committee to decide. It is suggested that discussion should be in private, as consideration of a draft response to an Executive consultation is involved.

Linda Fabiani: I do not have strong feelings on the matter one way or the other, but I wondered why the clerk thought that discussion should be in private.

The Convener: As I said, the committee must decide whether to take the item in private. The reason for taking the item in private is that it relates to consideration of a draft response.

Do members agree to take item 3 in private?

Members indicated agreement.

Tommy Sheridan (Glasgow) (SSP): I notified the convener of my intention to attend today's meeting. I would like to sit in when item 4 is considered. I have sent a letter to the convener and the Presiding Officer that outlines what I

consider to be a breach of standing orders in the committee's decision to hold the discussion in private.

Specifically, I refer to standing order 12.3.5, which relates to the conduct of committee business. Such business should be in public at all times except where there are issues of commercial confidentiality or other matters that the committee considers should be discussed in private. Evidence-taking sessions may be held in private, but no evidence is to be taken in this case. The committee will simply consider a stage 1 report and it should do so in public. Obviously, I have a special interest in the matter in respect of the bill's intention to repeal legislation. I appeal to the committee to reconsider the decision to hold the discussion in private.

The convener may be aware that, in the three years before the start of the recess, some 43 per cent of Social Justice Committee meetings were held in private, albeit that some of those meetings took place before she was convener. That is a high percentage and it should be questioned whether it is necessary to hold so many meetings in private. I appeal for the discussion to be held in public so that I can participate in it.

The Convener: It is unusual for me to be so lenient with members, but Tommy Sheridan has made an important point.

Members will recall that the committee unanimously decided at its meeting on 26 June to take item 4 in private. The decision was taken without dissent in public session. Tommy Sheridan had the opportunity to attend that meeting and to make his points.

The committee is trying to put together a draft report and to agree on the wording of that report. I understand that there has been a response from the Presiding Officer by the director of clerking and reporting. The clerk will outline what it says.

Jim Johnston (Clerk): I understand that the director of clerking and reporting, Carol Devon, has responded to Mr Sheridan's letter to the Presiding Officer this morning and outlined why the committee is entitled to consider the draft report in private. Would the committee like me to outline the procedural reasons for considering the report in private?

Linda Fabiani: Yes, please.

Jim Johnston: Rule 12.3.5 of the standing orders provides that committees shall meet in public when considering certain types of business, including, under rule 6.2.2(b), proposals for legislation. In respect of the Debt Arrangement and Attachment (Scotland) Bill, the Social Justice Committee has met in public to consider proposals and take evidence. The committee has now

reached the stage at which it will agree the wording of its stage 1 report, which is a distinct matter that is separate from the consideration of proposals for legislation and in respect of which the committee can agree to meet in private. That approach has been adopted in connection with the vast majority of stage 1 reports to date. However, I understand that the conveners liaison group will shortly consider whether to recommend to the Procedures Committee that rule 6.2.2(b) needs to be clarified.

The Convener: I want to add two points and then we will move on. First, after we decided to take the item in private, there was a discussion in public on what we considered to be the key points that the clerks would include. Again, that was an opportunity for MSPs to make a contribution. The discussion was a useful guide for the clerks. There was a desire to have the general themes that were coming out of the discussion reflected in the draft report.

The other point that I would make as convener of this committee—and I am sure that I speak for all the members—is that we take seriously any decision to take any item in private. It is right and proper that we always have a discussion when we make that decision. It is healthy to reflect on why we are deciding to take an item in private rather than get into a habit of doing so. We have to be clear about why we should take an item in private.

We have already decided to take this item in private. I want to stress that we do not lightly decide to take an item in private; we do so for reasons that are grounded in the rulings of the Presiding Officer and in our work.

With that, we can move into private session.

Jim Johnston: We have another item to discuss first.

The Convener: I beg your pardon. I sound desperate to get us into private session.

Tommy Sheridan: Before you move on, convener, I would like to make a statement. Obviously, I do not want to cause any problems for the staff who monitor these meetings, but I intend to pursue this matter. It is regrettable that you have agreed to continue discussion of this matter in private as I think that you are considering primary legislation. I do not think that the clerk's reply to us, which we received only a couple of minutes ago, is in any way satisfactory. However, I appreciate that you have business to conduct.

Work Programme

The Convener: Members have before them a paper detailing the proposed work programme for the autumn term. It has been confirmed that the stage 1 debate on the Debt Arrangement and Attachment (Scotland) Bill will be held at 9.30 on Thursday 19 September. I advise you that the meeting that is scheduled for 25 September could be cancelled and the business dealt with on 18 September. Another possibility is that, if we complete our consideration of our draft stage 1 report today, we would not need to meet next week. However, we have to allow that time next week in order to ensure that we consider the report as seriously as possible.

I ask members to agree the proposed work programme and agree to meet weekly until the Christmas recess.

Members indicated agreement.

10:11

Meeting continued in private until 10:55.

Members who would like a printed copy of the *Official Report* to be forwarded to them should give notice at the Document Supply Centre.

No proofs of the *Official Report* can be supplied. Members who want to suggest corrections for the archive edition should mark them clearly in the daily edition, and send it to the Official Report, 375 High Street, Edinburgh EH99 1SP. Suggested corrections in any other form cannot be accepted.

The deadline for corrections to this edition is:

Wednesday 11 September 2002

Members who want reprints of their speeches (within one month of the date of publication) may obtain request forms and further details from the Central Distribution Office, the Document Supply Centre or the Official Report.

PRICES AND SUBSCRIPTION RATES

DAILY EDITIONS

Single copies: £5

Meetings of the Parliament annual subscriptions: £350.00

The archive edition of the Official Report of meetings of the Parliament, written answers and public meetings of committees will be published on CD-ROM.

WHAT'S HAPPENING IN THE SCOTTISH PARLIAMENT, compiled by the Scottish Parliament Information Centre, contains details of past and forthcoming business and of the work of committees and gives general information on legislation and other parliamentary activity.

Single copies: £3.75 Special issue price: £5 Annual subscriptions: £150.00

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO PARLIAMENTARY QUESTIONS weekly compilation

Single copies: £3.75

Annual subscriptions: £150.00

Standing orders will be accepted at the Document Supply Centre.

Published in Edinburgh by The Stationery Office Limited and available from:

The Stationery Office Bookshop 71 Lothian Road Edinburgh EH3 9AZ 0131 228 4181 Fax 0131 622 7017

The Stationery Office Bookshops at: 123 Kingsway, London WC2B 6PQ Tel 020 7242 6393 Fax 020 7242 6394 68-69 Bull Street, Bir mingham B4 6AD Tel 0121 236 9696 Fax 0121 236 9699 33 Wine Street, Bristol BS1 2BQ Tel 01179 264306 Fax 01179 294515 9-21 Princess Street, Manchester M60 8AS Tel 0161 834 7201 Fax 0161 833 0634 16 Arthur Street, Belfast BT1 4GD Tel 028 9023 8451 Fax 028 9023 5401 The Stationery Office Oriel Bookshop, 18-19 High Street, Car diff CF12BZ Tel 029 2039 5548 Fax 029 2038 4347

The Stationery Office Scottish Parliament Documentation Helpline may be able to assist with additional information on publications of or about the Scottish Parliament, their availability and cost:

Telephone orders and inquiries 0870 606 5566

Fax orders 0870 606 5588

The Scottish Parliament Shop George IV Bridge EH99 1SP Telephone orders 0131 348 5412

sp.info@scottish.parliament.uk www.scottish.parliament.uk

Accredited Agents (see Yellow Pages)

and through good booksellers

Printed in Scotland by The Stationery Office Limited

ISBN 0 338 000003 ISSN 1467-0178