# **FINANCE COMMITTEE**

Tuesday 12 September 2000 (Morning)

© Parliamentary copyright. Scottish Parliamentary Corporate Body 2000. Applications for reproduction should be made in writing to the Copyright Unit, Her Majesty's Stationery Office, St Clements House, 2-16 Colegate, Norwich NR3 1BQ Fax 01603 723000, which is administering the copyright on behalf of the Scottish Parliamentary Corporate Body. Produced and published in Scotland on behalf of the Scottish Parliamentary Corporate Body by The Stationery Office Ltd.

Her Majesty's Stationery Office is independent of and separate from the company now trading as The Stationery Office Ltd, which is responsible for printing and publishing Scottish Parliamentary Corporate Body publications.

## **CONTENTS**

# Tuesday 12 September 2000

		Col.
TRANSPORT (SCOTLAND) BILL	 	759

## FINANCE COMMITTEE 21<sup>st</sup> Meeting 2000, Session 1

#### CONVENER

\*Mike Watson (Glasgow Cathcart) (Lab)

## **D**EPUTY CONVENER

\*Baine Thomson (Aberdeen North) (Lab)

## **C**OMMITTEE MEMBERS

- \*Mr David Davidson (North-East Scotland) (Con)
- \*Rhoda Grant (Highlands and Islands) (Lab)

Mr Adam Ingram (South of Scotland) (SNP)

George Lyon (Argyll and Bute) (LD)

- \*Mr Kenneth Macintosh (Eastwood) (Lab)
- \*Mr Keith Raffan (Mid Scotland and Fife) (LD)
- \*Dr Richard Simpson (Ochil) (Lab)
- Mr John Swinney (North Tayside) (SNP)
- \*Andrew Wilson (Central Scotland) (SNP)

## **C**LERK TEAM LEADER

Callum Thomson

## SENIOR ASSISTANT CLERK

Anne Peat

## ASSISTANT CLERK

Sean Wixted

## LOC ATION

Committee Room 4

<sup>\*</sup>attended

## **Scottish Parliament**

## **Finance Committee**

Tuesday 12 September 2000

(Morning)

[THE CONVENER opened the meeting at 11:50]

## **Transport (Scotland) Bill**

The Convener (Mike Watson): I welcome colleagues and call the meeting to order. I have had apologies from Adam Ingram and George Lyon.

As members will be aware, we had anticipated that the meeting would be an informal session with a briefing. However, we must consider the Transport (Scotland) Bill, which is the only formal item on the agenda this morning. Members have received a copy of the bill, its financial memorandum and the policy memorandum.

I understand that when the Transport and the Environment Committee—the lead committee on the bill—considered the matter, members did not raise any issues that might have been of concern to the Finance Committee.

The financial memorandum is on page 25 of the explanatory notes. It is less precise than some that have been attached to bills that we have had before us. It says that costs cannot be specified in advance because take-up will decide the exact costs. It does not suggest the establishment of any new bodies.

Do any members want to comment?

Mr David Davidson (North-East Scotland) (Con): The fact that people can volunteer to get involved, or not get involved, still means that the Scottish Executive must set up a mechanism. There will be a cost in setting up such a mechanism and the Executive will have to set one up regardless of whether it thinks that anyone will take up the scheme. There is an implied cost to the Scottish Executive's budget from the beginning. I thought that the Executive would have been able to give us an indication of some costs—it is not as if the Executive will invent a system after some council decides to participate. Do we have anything at all from the Executive?

## The Convener: No.

As the Executive states at paragraph 132 of the explanatory notes:

"It is not possible in advance to be specific about the costs... of these proposals."

**Mr Davidson:** In response to that, has the Minister for Finance set aside an amount for the proposals? Has he reserved an amount in his budget or in any of his statements?

The Convener: I am not in a position to give an answer to that. I suspect that the clerk is not in a position to do so either. We can investigate that, if David Davidson thinks that that is necessary.

The bill will have its stage 1 debate on Thursday of this week.

Andrew Wilson (Central Scotland) (SNP): Time is constrained, but it is reasonable—as we have discussed at some length—that the financial memorandums often do not contain such information for a variety of reasons. That the information is not contained in the financial memorandum for the bill is not unreasonable. given that it is an enabling bill. The costs depend on take-up, but we would surely expect an assessment to be made on the bounds of the costs that would be incurred. Otherwise an unlimited liability on the Executive's budget could be opened up—it strikes me that that is not what a prudent finance minister would want to do. Surely we should be able to go into the debate knowing what that assessment is. Someone in the Executive must have assessed what the maximum costs would be, if take-up were as high as it could

Parties during elections would not get away with such open-ended work if they brought forward proposals of this nature. They must always cost proposals—surely we can ask the same of a governing Administration.

The Convener: We can. The difficulty is the time scale that we face. I am sure that the finances are not as open-ended as Andrew Wilson's remarks suggest. David Davidson made the point that the memorandum is not specific enough. We are constrained by the time scale. If we flag up that question, perhaps it could be answered by the minister during Thursday's debate.

**Mr Davidson:** I was going to suggest that the committee should request that a statement to answer those questions be made early in the debate.

The Convener: I am sure that we can do that.

Mr Keith Raffan (Mid Scotland and Fife) (LD): I support Andrew Wilson's comments. I find it extraordinary that paragraph 132 of the explanatory notes states:

"It is, however, not possible in advance to be specific about the costs and benefits of these proposals."

The memorandum is not even non-specific about those costs and benefits. The bill, if

enacted, will be a major piece of legislation and my main concern is the cost to local authorities. There is some detail but, basically, local authorities will be expected to absorb any additional costs. Clearly there would be benefits to them and they could lew charges if they went ahead with various projects, but they would have to make an initial outlay, which, it seems, they will be expected to absorb.

# Mr Kenneth Macintosh (Eastwood) (Lab): Paragraph 135 states specifically:

"Provision for the cost of reimbursing local authorities . . . will be fully considered in the 2000 Spending Review."

Mr Raffan: That is for

"any additional payments to transport operators".

Mr Macintosh: That is right.

**Mr** Raffan: I am talking not about the administrative costs, but about the next section in the memorandum.

**Andrew Wilson:** What is the status of our discussion? What are we being asked to agree to?

The Convener: We are being asked to consider the provisions and to say whether they require a financial resolution.

**Mr Davidson:** We might also ask the Minister for Finance to tell us what allowance the Scottish Executive is considering making available to local authorities, which are pretty hard pressed at the moment.

The Convener: Our practice has been to ask civil servants to attend the committee to give advice on such questions. If we were to take a hard line today and refuse to declare either way, that would affect stage 1 of the bill, which is set for Thursday. I do not think that any member is suggesting that. However, we can make our views known and ask the Minister for Transport and the Environment to answer in her opening speech the points that we are raising. Is that acceptable? Perhaps the clerk will clarify the points that we want to raise with the minister.

Callum Thomson (Clerk Team Leader): The committee is seeking assurances that the Executive has made an assessment of the potential cost implications of the bill; that is the main issue. The committee also seeks reassurance that the Minister for Finance has taken account of such costs in his forward budgeting.

The Convener: It is not the first time that we have found that we are not happy about the level of information that is provided by a financial memorandum. We hope to slip that noose as a general part of our remit. None the less, we do not think that enough information is being given and

we could stress that point again.

Mr Davidson: I want to clarify the clerk's comments. There are two strands of costing: costs to the Executive in setting up the scheme and costs to local authorities. We need clarification of both strands, rather than a general statement. Whether the committee deals with such issues in future or they are passed to the subject committees, the Executive needs to be absolutely clear wherever it can. The Executive cannot expect to assume that the various committees will accept legislation if no numbers are presented up front—those committees have also to answer for their budgets.

The Convener: That is something that we should firm up before we pass on that aspect of our remit. Perhaps we can revisit the matter at a future meeting and firm up the form of words that will apply across departments in relation to financial memorandums. Is that agreed?

### Members indicated agreement.

The Convener: On that basis and with the understanding that the points that have been made will be communicated to the Minister for Transport and the Environment with a request that they be dealt with during Thursday's debate, does the committee agree that the provisions of the bill require a financial resolution?

## Members indicated agreement.

**The Convener:** Thank you. That was probably the shortest ever Finance Committee meeting. That concludes our formal business.

Meeting closed at 11:59.

Members who would like a printed copy of the Official Report to be forwarded to them should give notice at the Document Supply Centre.

Members who would like a copy of the bound volume should also give notice at the Document Supply Centre.

No proofs of the *Official Report* can be supplied. Members who want to suggest corrections for the bound volume should mark them clearly in the daily edition, and send it to the Official Report, Parliamentary Headquarters, George IV Bridge, Edinburgh EH99 1SP. Suggested corrections in any other form cannot be accepted.

The deadline for corrections to this edition is:

## Tuesday 19 September 2000

Members who want reprints of their speeches (within one month of the date of publication) may obtain request forms and further details from the Central Distribution Office, the Document Supply Centre or the Official Report.

#### PRICES AND SUBSCRIPTION RATES

#### DAILY EDITIONS

Single copies: £5

Meetings of the Parliament annual subscriptions: £500

BOUND VOLUMES OF DEBATES are issued periodically during the session.

Single copies: £70

Standing orders will be accepted at the Document Supply Centre.

WHAT'S HAPPENING IN THE SCOTTISH PARLIAMENT, compiled by the Scottish Parliament Information Centre, contains details of past and forthcoming business and of the work of committees and gives general information on legislation and other parliamentary activity.

Single copies: £3.75 Special issue price: £5 Annual subscriptions: £150.00

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO PARLIAMENTARY QUESTIONS weekly compilation

Single copies: £3.75

Annual subscriptions: £150.00

Published in Edinburgh by The Stationery Office Limited and available from:

#### The Stationery Office Bookshop 71 Lothian Road Edinburgh EH3 9AZ 0131 228 4181 Fax 0131 622 7017

The Stationery Office Bookshops at: 123 Kingsway, London WC2B 6PQ Tel 020 7242 6393 Fax 020 7242 6394 68-69 Bull Street, Bir mingham B4 6AD Tel 0121 236 9696 Fax 0121 236 9699 33 Wine Street, Bristol BS1 2BQ Tel 01179 264306 Fax 01179 294515 9-21 Princess Street, Manchester M60 8AS Tel 0161 834 7201 Fax 0161 833 0634 16 Arthur Street, Belfast BT1 4GD Tel 028 9023 8451 Fax 028 9023 5401 The Stationery Office Oriel Bookshop, 18-19 High Street, Car diff CF12BZ Tel 029 2039 5548 Fax 029 2038 4347

The Stationery Office Scottish Parliament Documentation Helpline may be able to assist with additional information on publications of or about the Scottish Parliament, their availability and cost:

Telephone orders and inquiries 0870 606 5566

Fax orders 0870 606 5588

The Scottish Parliament Shop George IV Bridge EH99 1SP Telephone orders 0131 348 5412

sp.info@scottish.parliament.uk www.scottish.parliament.uk

Accredited Agents (see Yellow Pages)

and through good booksellers