I would like to submit a second submission in relation to the current system of judicial Recusals.

In my first submission I highlighted that Lord Malcolm alias (Colin Campbell) sat on and ruled on a case where his son Ewen Campbell was the solicitor for the defenders in this case. Lord Malcolm involvement is evidenced by the eight Interlocutors from the Court Of Session. Lord Malcolm involvement is a total breach of his judicial oath, and contravenes the judicial code of ethics and is also in breach of The Bangalore Principals Of Judicial Conduct. My statement is verified by the evidence the former Lord President The Honourable Lord Gill gave to the Scottish Petitions committee on the 10/11/2015.

The Honourable Lord Gill explains when questioned by Mr Torrence in great depth about the judicial oath and states clearly that if he thought members of the judiciary men and woman sheriffs and judges were capable of hearing cases they had a personal interest in and therefore are capable of misconduct contrary to their oath.

This would support the register proposed in this petition.

Lord Gill states after 50 years in the legal profession If I had thought among the judicial office holders in Scotland there were men and woman who did not have that standard of honour I would not have wished to be their leader.

Evidence given to the petitions committee from the new Lord President Lord
Carloway is in stark contrast to the evidence given by Lord Gill. Lord Carloway tells the committee he had no problem with Lord Malcolm's involvement in this case which contravenes the judicial oath taken by Lord Malcolm, and he tells the petitions committee Lord Malcolm's son Ewen Campbell had no involvement with this case.

The evidence in my second submission and the evidence in my first submission about the recusal register clearly shows that a more robust system should be in place to ensure greater transparency and protection for the people who go to court seeking justice.