INTRODUCTION

1. As required under Rule 9.3.2A of the Parliament’s Standing Orders, these Explanatory Notes are published to accompany the Human Tissue (Authorisation) (Scotland) Bill, introduced in the Scottish Parliament on 8 June 2018.

2. The following other accompanying documents are published separately:
   - a Financial Memorandum (SP Bill 32–FM);
   - a Policy Memorandum (SP Bill 32–PM);
   - statements on legislative competence made by the Presiding Officer and the Scottish Government (SP Bill 32–LC).

3. These Explanatory Notes have been prepared by the Scottish Government in order to assist the reader of the Bill and to help inform debate on it. They do not form part of the Bill and have not been endorsed by the Parliament.

4. The Notes should be read in conjunction with the Bill. They are not, and are not meant to be, a comprehensive description of the Bill. So where a section, or a part of a section, does not seem to require any explanation or comment, none is given.

THE BILL

5. The primary purpose of the Human Tissue (Authorisation) (Scotland) Bill (“the Bill”) is to introduce a ‘soft’ opt-out system of organ and tissue donation for the purposes of transplantation. It amends the Human Tissue (Scotland) Act 2006 (“the 2006 Act”), to add to existing provisions in that Act which provide for authorisation of removal and use of parts of the body of a deceased person for the purposes of transplantation and other specified purposes (research, education, training and audit).

6. It introduces “deemed” authorisation for deceased organ and tissue donation which will apply to most adults. This means that where an adult potential donor has not expressed any objections to donation for the purpose of transplantation, the adult’s authorisation may in some circumstances be deemed to have been given and the donation could proceed. It also builds on
existing provision in the 2006 Act for express authorisations, introduces statutory opt-out declarations and continues to enable authorisation by nearest relatives in certain circumstances.

7. The Bill also contains some new provisions to supplement the existing statutory framework to ensure it continues to work effectively including introducing more flexibility in the timing of the authorisation process, as well as clarity about authorisation for pre-death procedures (PDPs) - medical procedures which may be carried out in order to increase the likelihood of successful transplantation of donated organs and tissue. Provisions for authorisation by and on behalf of children (people who are under the age of 16 years) are also updated, and additional circumstances in which authorisation may be given for children are included. A more detailed explanation of the Bill’s purpose can be found in the Policy Memorandum, which also explains the thinking and policy intentions that underpin it.

THE STRUCTURE AND SUMMARY OF THE BILL

8. The Bill is in 4 Parts, with Part 1 providing an overview of the Bill’s structure.

Part 2 – Duties of the Scottish Ministers

9. Part 2 adds to the existing duties of Scottish Ministers under the 2006 Act as regards transplantation and donation of body parts, to include promotion of information and awareness about authorisation of transplantation and the nature of pre-death procedures and how these may be authorised. Duties are also added to establish and maintain a register of information about donation decisions. This puts the existing organ donor register, maintained by NHS Blood and Transplant¹ (NHSBT), on a statutory basis in Scotland. There is a power for Ministers to delegate the running of the Register to another person. It is envisaged that the Scottish Ministers will delegate the function to NHSBT so that the Organ Donor Register will continue to operate as it does at present.

Part 3 – Authorisation of removal and use of part of body of deceased person

10. Part 3 (which is in six Chapters) amends the existing provisions contained in the 2006 Act for authorisation of removal and use of part of the body of deceased persons. Chapter 1 contains section 4 on timing of authorisations. Under the existing authorisation system in the 2006 Act, an authorisation may be given on behalf of a potential donor only after the potential donor’s death. Once section 4 comes into force on the day after Royal Assent (see section 28(1) of the Bill) there will be flexibility around when authorisations may be given by the nearest relative or person with parental rights and parental responsibilities (“PRRs”)² so that authorisation may be given shortly before the potential donor’s death. This will allow donation to proceed more quickly after the potential donor’s death.

¹ NHS Blood and Transplant is a Special Health Authority established under the National Health Service Act 1977.
² Section 60 of the 2006 Act defines “parental responsibilities” as having the meaning given by section 1(3) of the Children (Scotland) Act 1995 (c.36) and “parental rights” as having the meaning given by section 2(4) of that Act. The 2006 Act also includes headings which refer to “parental rights and responsibilities” to refer to parental rights and parental responsibilities.
11. Chapter 2 adds to existing provision about authorisation by or on behalf of an adult in the 2006 Act. In particular, it provides for the circumstances where an adult may be deemed to have authorised donation for the purposes of transplantation of commonly donated types of organ and tissue. Deemed authorisation will not apply to adults who lack capacity and adults resident in Scotland for less than 12 months (“excepted categories”). Chapter 2 also provides for the circumstances in which authorisation may be given by a nearest relative\(^3\). It also includes provisions which enable an adult to record a declaration that the adult does not wish to become an organ or tissue donor after death, i.e. “opt-out”, and specifies how and to whom a valid oral authorisation, opt-out declaration, or authorisation by nearest relative or person with PRRs may be given.

12. Changes are also made to include requirements to take into account the potential donor’s most recent view about donation. Chapter 2 also provides that the removal and use of less commonly donated types of organ and tissue, or the removal of commonly donated types for purposes other than transplantation from a potential donor who is subject to deemed authorisation, can only be carried out with authorisation by a nearest relative.

13. Chapter 3 includes provisions about authorisation by or on behalf of a child\(^4\) to align some of the provisions on authorisation with those relating to adults, and reflecting the requirements of the United Nation Convention on Rights of the Child.\(^5\) These provide–

- a child 12 years of age or over but under 16 years may record a decision not to become an organ or tissue donor after death i.e. “opt-out”;
- for a change of mind by a child who had previously recorded a donation decision;
- local authorities may authorise donation for children for whom they hold PRRs, in consultation with relevant parties;
- for alignment of the method of communicating decisions for children with that for adults;
- for a requirement that those persons with PRRs who may authorise donation on behalf of a child under 12 years must consider if the child may have been unwilling to donate and, if so, donation may not be authorised;
- another person with a relationship with the child to be able to authorise donation in cases where there is nobody with PRRs for a child or the person with PRRs is incapacitated.

14. Chapter 4 includes some general provisions about authorisation for donation, and enables Ministers to make further provision about the manner in which decisions may be communicated. It also includes provisions about how an authorisation or opt-out declaration may be withdrawn where a person is blind or unable to write and adds that authorisation can also be given for the purpose of quality assurance under section 3(1) of the 2006 Act. Amendments are also made to

\(^3\) “Nearest relative” is defined in section 50 of the 2006 Act. Section 50(4) also provides that the list is ranked in order so it provides for a hierarchy of decision makers.

\(^4\) “Child” is defined in section 60(1) of the 2006 Act as a person who is under the age of 16 years.

\(^5\) Further background is included in the Children’s Rights and Wellbeing Impact Assessment published by the Scottish Government.
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section 11 of the 2006 Act which sets out various requirements which must be satisfied before a body part may be removed and used in accordance with the Act.

15. A framework for authorisation of pre-death procedures (PDPs) relating to transplantation is set out in Chapter 5, and Chapter 6 includes duties on certain health workers to inquire as to whether there is an opt-in or opt-out decision in place; the potential donor’s most recent views about donation and pre-death procedures, and whether the potential donor is in an excepted category.

Part 4 – General and Final Provisions

16. Part 4 makes general and final provisions, including adding an interpretation section to the 2006 Act and consequential amendments.

PART 1 – OVERVIEW OF THE BILL

Section 1 – Overview of the Bill

17. Section 1 sets out that the Bill is arranged in four parts.

PART 2 – DUTIES OF THE SCOTTISH MINISTERS

Section 2 – Information and awareness about authorisation of transplantation and about pre-death procedures.

18. Section 1 of the 2006 Act places duties on the Scottish Ministers to promote, support and develop programmes of transplantation and promote information and awareness about donation for transplantation. Section 2 of the Bill adds into section 1 of the 2006 Act two additional duties on Scottish Ministers. The first is to promote information and awareness of the authorisation process for transplantation of organs and tissue; including what deemed authorisation for transplantation means. The second is to promote information and awareness about PDPs, the timing of the use of those procedures and how authorisation for PDPs may be given.

19. The duty in section 1 of the 2006 Act is currently met in a number of ways, including high profile media campaigns which are supplemented by targeted information, including social media activity and information being provided at public events. New public information will be developed to ensure that the public understands the implications of the system, and this will also include information which meets the needs of different people, or groups of people.

Section 3 – Establishment and maintenance of register

20. The existing NHS Organ Donor Register (ODR) is a confidential, computerised database – maintained by NHSBT - that is used to help establish whether a person in the UK wants to donate organs and tissue after death for the purposes of transplantation. More information about the ODR can be found via the Organ Donation Scotland website. The aim of section 3 of the

6 https://www.organdonationscotland.org/
Bill, which inserts sections 2A to 2D into the 2006 Act, is to give the ODR a statutory basis in Scotland, and to enable the management of the ODR to continue to be delegated to NHSBT.

Section 2A – Establishment and maintenance of register

21. Section 2A(1) requires the Scottish Ministers to put in place arrangements to establish and maintain a register (referred to in the Bill and these explanatory notes as “the Register”)—
   a) to facilitate the carrying out of their duty under section 1(a) of the 2006 Act to promote, support and develop programmes of transplantation, and
   b) to facilitate the removal of organs and tissue for the purposes of transplantation which is authorised in terms of the 2006 Act as amended by the Bill.

22. This reflects the existing purposes of the ODR: the ODR currently holds information that facilitates organ and tissue donation only for the purposes of transplantation. If the practical operation of the ODR changes in future so that it can facilitate donation for the other purposes in section 3(1) of the 2006 Act (research, education, training, audit and – as added by section 20 of the Bill - quality assurance), the Scottish Ministers can use the regulation making power in section 2D(1) to allow the Register to be used for the purpose of facilitating organ and tissue donation for those purposes.

23. Section 2A(2) sets out the information which must be held on the Register. This includes details of people who authorise or do not authorise removal and use of a part of their body for transplantation after death. The information should be sufficient to identify those people (for example name, address and date of birth) and should record whether a person wants to donate organs or tissue and, if so, which organs or tissue. If the ODR changes in future so that it can facilitate donation for research, education, training, audit or quality assurance, the Scottish Ministers may make regulations under section 2D(1) which modify section 2A(1) to add these purposes to the list of information which the Register must hold.

24. Section 2A(3) provides that the Register is not open to public inspection or search, and subsection (4) allows the Scottish Minister to determine the form the information on the register is to be kept in, for example electronically.

Section 2B – Delegation of function of establishing and maintaining Register

25. New section 2B enables the Scottish Ministers to authorise another organisation to establish and maintain the Register. The current arrangements under which NHSBT manages the ODR will be able to continue by the Scottish Ministers exercising the power to delegate their functions under this section. There is also a requirement that information about any arrangement is made public by the Scottish Ministers.

26. Section 2B(2) sets out that “register organisation” means the Scottish Ministers or, if the Scottish Ministers exercise the power in section 2B(1) to delegate the maintenance of the Register to another person, that person. In practice, it is anticipated that the register organisation will be NHSBT. The Bill provides that persons who wish to expressly authorise, or opt-out of, organ and tissue donation for transplantation may communicate that decision to the register organisation. See paragraphs 39, 40 and 47 for further explanation.
Section 2C – Disclosure of information

27. Information kept by the register organisation needs to be shared with others in certain circumstances for the donation and transplantation process to work. Section 2C(1) sets out these circumstances, which are related to transplantation and the operation of the Register. If the ODR changes in future so that it can facilitate organ donation for research, education, training, audit or quality assurance, the Scottish Ministers may make regulations under section 2D(1) to allow information from the Register to be disclosed to facilitate donation for those purposes.

28. Section 2C(2) to (4) also sets out the people to whom information from the Register may be disclosed. Section 2C(2) currently lists certain health bodies: Health Boards and Special Health Boards in Scotland along with the NHS Common Services Agency in Scotland (otherwise known as NHS National Services Scotland), which the Scottish National Blood Transfusion Service (“SNBTS”) forms part of and which is responsible for retrieval and storage of certain forms of tissue in Scotland.

29. Section 2C(4) lists the people, who are not health bodies, to whom the register organisation or those listed in section 2C(2) may disclose information to facilitate things involved with transplantation. This information needs to be shared as part of the process to ensure amongst other things that the decision on the Register reflects the latest decision of the adult or child (if aged 12 or over and under 16 years), and in cases where a nearest relative or person may be asked to authorise donation for other purposes or for the transplantation of less common types of organs and tissue.

30. These people include the nearest relative of an adult who is a potential donor, or someone who has information about the potential donor’s last known view. In addition it includes, where the potential donor is a child, the person with PRRs, or a person who has or recently had a significant involvement in the child’s upbringing, a close relative or friend of the child (new section 10A, inserted by section 16 of the Bill, sets out who this includes) or any other person consulted in relation to the potential donor’s decision on organ and tissue donation.

Section 2D – Power to make provision about Register

31. Section 2D gives the Scottish Ministers the power to make provision about the Register by regulations subject to affirmative procedure. This includes amending the types of information to be held under section 2A(2), modifying the list of persons in section 2C(2) to whom information may be disclosed, and the purposes for which information may be disclosed.

---

7 Nearest relative is defined in section 50 of the 2006 Act.
8 Laid in draft and approved by a resolution of the Scottish Parliament before regulations are made; section 29 of Interpretation and Legislative Reform (Scotland) Act 2010 (c.10) (“ILRA”).
PART 3 - AUTHORISATION OF REMOVAL AND USE OF PART OF BODY OF DECEASED PERSON

Chapter 1 - Timing of authorisation

Section 4 – Timing of authorisation

32. Under the current authorisation system in the 2006 Act, organ and tissue donation may only be authorised on behalf of a potential donor once the potential donor has died. Section 4, which will come into force on the day after Royal Assent (see section 28(1)), will adjust the current authorisation system by amending sections 7, 9, 10, 11, 22 and 50 of the 2006 Act to include reference to “relevant time”. This will allow authorisation to be given by the nearest relative or person with PRRs shortly before death or after the potential donor’s death and will allow flexibility in the timing of authorisation so authorisation can be given just before death. In particular, this takes account of circumstances where a person may donate after circulatory death and due to time constraints in the organ donation process there is very little time to speak to a person’s family and loved ones after death is diagnosed. It will also align with the provisions for authorisation for pre-death procedures to ensure that authorisation for donation is in place before these may be carried out (Chapter 5).

33. A definition of “relevant time” is in the new section 16K(2) (Interpretation), which is inserted into the 2006 Act by section 26 of the Bill. In relation to a living potential donor, the “relevant time” is when—

   a) in the view of the health worker (also defined in new section 16K) who is primarily responsible for the potential donor’s physical or mental medical treatment, the potential donor is likely to die imminently (including as a result of the withdrawal of life sustaining treatment),

   b) where the potential donor is receiving life-sustaining treatment, there has been a decision to withdraw that treatment, and

   c) the potential donor is incapable of making a decision about donation.

34. Where the potential donor is deceased, the “relevant time” means immediately before death.

35. Section 4(9) of the Bill amends section 50(1) and (2) of the 2006 Act (definition of nearest relative) to enable the hierarchy provided in for section 50(4) to be applied both in circumstances where the nearest relative is to be identified before the person’s death, and in the circumstances where the nearest relative is to be identified after the person’s death.

36. The ability for an authorisation on behalf of a potential donor to be given shortly before the potential donor’s death will be maintained when the new authorisation system under the Bill fully comes into force.

---

9 Section 16K is added to the 2006 Act by section 26(2) of the Bill and applies to sections 1 to 16K, as amended.
Chapter 2 – Authorisation by or on behalf of adult

Section 5 – Express authorisation by adult

37. Section 5 of the Bill amends section 6 of the 2006 Act, which sets out that an adult may authorise removal and use of part of the adult’s body after the adult’s death for one or more of the purposes in section 3(1) of the 2006 Act (e.g. transplantation, research), so that this section will be relevant to circumstances where the adult records an express decision to authorise the removal and use of a part of the adult’s body after death, thereby distinguishing this express authorisation from “deemed authorisation”. Section 5 also adds a new section 6A to the 2006 Act about withdrawal of express authorisation.

38. Section 5(2), which inserts new subsection (1A) into section 6 of the 2006 Act, provides the way in which an express authorisation can be made.

39. If the decision is for the purpose of transplantation, this can be made—

   a) to the register organisation orally or in writing (this reflects the current methods of joining the ODR e.g. via NHSBT’s website, the Organ Donation Scotland website, the Driver and Vehicle Licensing Agency (DVLA) website, phoning NHSBT, completing a GP registration or Boots Advantage card registration form or by phoning NHSBT, filling in NHSBT’s online form or sending NHSBT a completed registration form by post), or

   b) otherwise in writing (e.g. by carrying a completed donor card)

40. If the decision is for other purposes listed in section 3(1), - research, education and training, or audit or quality assurance10 - authorisation can be given in writing. Currently, there is not a facility to record on the ODR an express authorisation decision for these other purposes.

Section 6A – Withdrawal of express authorisation: adult

41. Section 5(4) of the Bill inserts new section 6A into the 2006 Act. Section 6A(1) provides that an express authorisation may be withdrawn by the adult in writing. Section 6A(2) provides that if an express authorisation is given by the adult for the removal and use of a part (or parts) for a purpose (or purposes) under section 3(1) and the adult subsequently makes a declaration to opt out of removal and use of the same part (or parts) for the same purpose (or purposes) then the express authorisation is withdrawn for that part or purpose and the remainder of the authorisation stays in place. New section 6A(2) and (3) means—

   • where the express authorisation and the opt-out declaration have the same extent (or the opt-out declaration has a wider extent), the express authorisation will be treated as withdrawn in full, and

   • where the express authorisation has a wider extent than the opt-out declaration, the express authorisation will be treated as partially withdrawn (and otherwise remain in force).

10 Quality assurance is added as a purpose by section 20.
42. For example, if a person who had opted in to donate their heart, lungs and liver for transplantation, and subsequently changed their mind and made a decision to opt-out of donating their heart for transplantation, then the authorisation for donation of the heart is treated as withdrawn. The remainder of the express authorisation remains in force for the other parts or purposes contained in the authorisation.

43. New section 6A(4) and (5) provides that an express authorisation is treated as withdrawn by the adult in relation to removal and use of a part (or parts) for a purpose under section 3(1) of the 2006 Act if another person (for example a relative or friend) provides evidence to a health worker (defined in new section 16K, inserted by section 26(2) of the Bill) about the adult’s most recent view, which would convince a reasonable person that the adult was unwilling for the part to be removed and used for that purpose. Similarly, if a person provides evidence to a health worker that would convince a reasonable person that the adult would have been unwilling because of the circumstances of their death for the part to be removed, for example if donation in the particular circumstances of death are not compatible with a person’s faith\textsuperscript{11}, the express authorisation is treated as withdrawn. Subsection (5)(b) provides that the authorisation will remain in force for the parts and purposes to which the withdrawal does not apply.

44. Section 6A(5)(c) provides that it is the part of the authorisation that remains in force that is to be taken into account for establishing whether authorisation may be deemed, or whether the adult’s nearest relative may give an authorisation on the adult’s behalf.

\textbf{Section 6 – Opt-out declaration by adult}

\textbf{Section 6B – Opt-out declaration: adult}

45. Section 6 of the Bill inserts a new section 6B into the 2006 Act.

46. Section 6B(1) provides that an adult may make a declaration that they do not authorise the removal and use of part of their body for a purpose in section 3(1) of the 2006 Act (an “opt-out declaration”). Section 6B(2) provides that while an opt-out declaration is in force for the removal and use of a part of the body for a purpose under section 3(1) of the 2006 Act, which includes transplantation, research, education or training or audit or quality assurance, the part must not be removed and used for that purpose.

47. Section 6B(3) provides the way in which an opt-out declaration can be made. This is the same as for express authorisations (see paragraphs 38 - 40). If for transplantation, it can be made to the register organisation orally or in writing, also reflecting the current method of opting-out on the ODR. It can also be otherwise in writing, but in practice opt-out declarations will continue not to be able to be made via the DVLA, GP practices or similar. If the declaration relates to one of the other purposes in section 3(1) of the 2006 Act it must be in writing, as, similar to express authorisations, the facility to allow this to be recorded is not currently available on the ODR.

\textsuperscript{11} Some faiths will only regard a person as dead when their heart ceases to function. Therefore a diagnosis of death using neurological criteria may not be regarded as cessation of life and in those circumstances it may be that the person would not wish donation to proceed.
Section 6C - Withdrawal of opt-out declaration: adult

48. Section 6 of the Bill also adds new section 6C to the 2006 Act. Section 6C(1) provides that an opt-out declaration may be withdrawn by the adult in writing. Section 6C(2) provides that an opt-out declaration is treated as withdrawn if, after an opt-out declaration is made by the adult, the adult subsequently makes an express authorisation in respect of the same part, or parts, for the same purpose (or purposes). The opt-out declaration is then treated as withdrawn for that part or purpose. The remainder of the opt-out declaration stays in place. Subsections (2) and (3) mean—

- where the opt-out declaration and the express authorisation have the same extent (or the express authorisation has a wider extent), the opt-out declaration will be treated as withdrawn to its full extent, and
- where the opt-out declaration has a wider extent than the express authorisation, the opt-out declaration will be treated as partially withdrawn (and otherwise remain in force).

49. An example is where a person who had opted out of donation for organ and tissue transplantation subsequently changed their mind, deciding to donate their heart for transplantation. The opt-out declaration would effectively be withdrawn for their heart. The remainder of the opt-out declaration remains in force for the other parts or purposes.

50. Similar to the provisions about withdrawal of an express authorisation, new section 6C(4) to (7) provides the circumstances in, and extent to which, an opt-out declaration may be treated as withdrawn by the adult for a part or parts or purpose under section 3(1). If another person (for example a relative or friend) provides evidence to a health worker about the adult’s most recent view, which would convince a reasonable person that the adult was willing for the part to be removed for the purpose, the opt-out declaration is treated as withdrawn to that extent.

51. Subsection (4)(b)(ii) also provides for cases where a person provides evidence to a health worker that would convince a reasonable person that the adult would have been willing because of the circumstances of death for the part to be removed. For example, if in the particular circumstances of death removal and use of the part would be compatible with a person’s faith12, the provisions would allow evidence that the person was in fact willing to donate in those circumstances, to be taken into account. Subsection (5)(a) provides that the opt-out declaration is withdrawn to the extent that it relates to the removal and use of the part or parts for that purpose, and subsection (5)(b) provides that the opt-out declaration will otherwise remain in force.

52. Subsection (6) accordingly provides that the adult is treated as having authorised the removal and use of the part in respect of which the opt-out declaration is treated as withdrawn, for the purpose in question.

12 See also paragraph 43, above.
Section 7 – Deemed authorisation for transplantation as respects adult

Section 6D – Deemed authorisation for transplantation: adult

53. Section 7 of the Bill inserts new section 6D into the 2006 Act and enables authorisation for transplantation to be deemed for certain adults (people 16 years of age or over\(^\text{13}\)). New section 6D(1) provides that where there is no express authorisation by an adult of removal and use of any part for transplantation, and no opt-out declaration in place in respect of the removal and use of the part of the body in question for transplantation then the adult is deemed to have authorised the removal and use of that part for the purpose of transplantation (“deemed authorisation”). Deemed authorisation specifically does not extend to the other purposes in section 3(1) of the 2006 Act (research etc.) for which authorisation may be given.

54. Section 6D(2) sets out the circumstances in which deemed authorisation does not apply.

Non-resident adult

55. Deemed authorisation does not apply to a person who was not ordinarily resident in Scotland for a period of at least 12 months immediately before the relevant time (a “non-resident adult”). The Bill does not define what is meant by “ordinarily resident”, however the term has been subject to extensive and recent case law which gives it its meaning. Whether a person has been ordinarily resident in a place will be a question of degree in each case, but is not achieved by extraordinary, occasional or temporary residence. This suggests that living in a place or country has been done voluntarily and for a settled purpose and as part of the regular order of the person’s life for the time being, whether for short or long duration. A settled purpose could include education, business, employment, health or family. “Relevant time” is defined in new section 16K and clarifies the point at which authorisation may be given\(^\text{14}\).

56. This provision will also mean that a period of time where a person was ordinarily resident in Scotland immediately before the age of 16 may be included in the calculation of the 12 months to determine whether deemed authorisation will apply.

Adult incapable of understanding deemed authorisation

57. An adult who is not capable of understanding the nature and consequences of deemed authorisation will not be deemed to have authorised donation. Section 6D(3) sets out that this includes where the person was incapable of understanding both that they may be deemed to authorise donation and that this means organs and/or tissue may be removed after death for transplantation. It also makes clear that the person must have been incapable of understanding over a significant period of time up to the point at which they may be a donor. This is intended to include situations where capacity has fluctuated, but would apply when it is considered that the incapacity is such that over the period the person would not have been capable of understanding the nature and consequences of deemed authorisation. It is also intended to take into account that whether a period is considered significant will vary from case to case and will depend on the person’s individual circumstances, and will also take into account any evidence provided e.g. by family and friends. An example of when an adult will be considered incapable of understanding

\(^{13}\) Adult is defined in section 60(1) of the 2006 Act as a person who is 16 years of age or over.

\(^{14}\) See paragraphs 32 to 34.
is if there is evidence available to a health worker which would convince a reasonable person that the adult is so incapable (section 6D(4)).

**Excepted body parts**

58. Authorisation of donation for transplantation by an adult for an excepted body part will not be deemed. Section 6D(5) enables Ministers to specify in regulations what is an excepted body part. The intention is that excepted body parts will be those parts of the body which would not be considered to be commonly donated types of organ and tissue and therefore should not be included in deemed authorisation. This could include, for example, the face or limbs. Ministers would only be able to set these out after consultation, and the regulations are subject to affirmative procedure. Authorisation for these less common types of organ and tissue will still be able to be given by a nearest relative where authorisation is deemed (see section 6G inserted into the 2006 Act by section 10 of the Bill, as described below).

**Section 8 – Non-resident adult: authorisation for transplantation by nearest relative**

59. Section 8 of the Bill inserts section 6E into the 2006 Act. New section 6E(1) provides that the nearest relative of a non-resident adult may authorise the removal and use of a part of the adult’s body after the adult’s death for transplantation. This is only where there is not an express authorisation by the adult for the removal and use of any part of the adult’s body for transplantation, and no opt-out declaration by the adult as respects removal and use of the part for transplantation, in force at the relevant time.

60. Authorisation may only be given by a nearest relative under subsection (1) where the nearest relative has no actual knowledge that the adult’s most recent view was that the adult was unwilling for the part to be removed and used for transplantation, or if the adult were capable of making a decision about removal and use of the part, the adult would be unwilling in the circumstances for the part to be removed and used for transplantation.

61. In addition, subsection (1)(c) of new section 6E provides that an authorisation may be given where the nearest relative is satisfied that the adult was not unwilling for the part to be removed and used for transplantation and if the adult were capable of making a decision about removal and use of the part, the adult would not be unwilling in the circumstances for the part to be removed and used for transplantation. The nearest relative has to have had regard to any evidence referred to in new section 16K(6)(a) and (b) (information about the adult’s views given by a person who has said they want to provide this).

62. The fact that there is in force no express authorisation by the adult is not to be regarded as unwillingness by the adult (subsection (2)). The authorisation by the nearest relative must be in writing and signed, or given orally to a health worker and after the authorisation is given it may not be withdrawn (subsections (3) and (4)).

---

15 Laid in draft and approved by a resolution of the Scottish Parliament before regulations are made; section 29 of ILRA.
16 Nearest relative is defined in section 50(1) of the 2006 Act.
17 New section 16K, inserted by section 20 of the Bill.
Section 9 – Adult incapable of understanding deemed authorisation: authorisation for transplantation by nearest relative

63. Section 9 of the Bill inserts section 6F into the 2006 Act which makes similar provision to new section 6E, enabling a nearest relative to authorise removal and use of part of an adult’s body for transplantation where the adult is incapable of understanding the nature and consequences of deemed authorisation.

64. There is an additional requirement in new section 6F that the nearest relative must have regard to the adult’s past wishes and feelings, so far as reasonably ascertainable (subsection (3)). This further enables the views of the adult to be taken into account even where this may not have been an express view on donation.

Section 10 – Excepted body parts: authorisation for transplantation by nearest relative

65. Section 10 of the Bill inserts new section 6G into the 2006 Act. The new section applies where deemed authorisation applies under new section 6D(1) and enables nearest relatives to authorise removal and use of an excepted body part subject to similar requirements in new section 6E (authorisation for transplantation by a nearest relative of a non-resident adult).

Section 11 – Authorisation for purposes other than transplantation by nearest relative

66. The 2006 Act allows authorisation for removal and use of parts of the body of a deceased adult to be used for the purposes of research, education and training and audit or quality assurance. Quality assurance is added to the purposes for which authorisation may be given under section 6 of the 2006 Act (see paragraph 98).

67. Under the current system, authorisation can be given by the adult (section 6(1) of the 2006 Act) or by an adult’s nearest relative (section 7 of the 2006 Act) for any of the purposes in section 3(1).

68. Under the new system of authorisation introduced by the Bill, section 6(1) of the 2006 Act (as amended by the Bill) will continue to allow an adult to authorise expressly for any of the section 3(1) purposes. Deemed authorisation only applies to authorisation for the removal and use of body parts for transplantation (new section 6D inserted by section 7 of the Bill). Section 11 of the Bill adds new section 6H into the 2006 Act which will allow the nearest relative of an adult to authorise removal and use of a part of the adult’s body for one or more of the purposes in section 3(1)(b) to (d) but only where there is not an express authorisation in force for removal and use of any part for the purpose in question, there is no opt-out declaration in force for the removal and use of the part for the purpose in question and there is no opt-out declaration in force for the removal and use of the part for transplantation. This will allow authorisation by a nearest relative for these purposes where authorisation for the purpose of transplantation is deemed, and also where there is in place an express authorisation e.g. for the purpose of transplantation but not for the purpose in question. It also makes clear that if there is an opt-out declaration in force for transplantation, removal would not take place for the other purposes.

69. The nearest relative may also only authorise where the relative has no actual knowledge that the adult would be unwilling for the part to be removed for the purpose in question or that, if
the adult were capable of making a decision, the adult would not have been unwilling in the circumstances for the part to be removed. The nearest relative is also required when taking the decision to authorise removal to be satisfied that the adult was not unwilling (or would not have been unwilling in the circumstances), having regard to any evidence referred to in new section 16H(6)(a) and (b) (information about the adult’s views given by a person who has said they want to provide this).

70. An authorisation by a nearest relative under new section 6H may be withdrawn, and the withdrawal must be in writing and signed or given orally to a health worker (subsections (5) and (6)).

71. Section 7 of the 2006 Act, which makes provision about nearest relative authorisation for adults, including for transplantation, is repealed by section 11(3). This will be commenced at the same time as the provisions introducing deemed authorisation. The amendments made by section 4 of the Bill will apply to section 7 until it is repealed by section 11(3).

Chapter 3 – Authorisation by or on behalf of child

72. Chapter 3 includes provisions which amend the 2006 Act and make changes to the existing provisions, along with including specific new provisions, in relation to authorisation by or on behalf of a child. These include aligning some of the provisions with those relating to authorisation by adults and introducing requirements that a child’s most recent view is taken into account where authorisation is given by a person with parental rights and parental responsibilities (“PRRs”).

Section 12 – Authorisation by child 12 years of age or over

Section 8 of the 2006 Act – Authorisation: child 12 years of age or over

73. Section 12 of the Bill amends section 8 of the 2006 Act and also inserts new section 8A. Section 8 enables a child aged 12 years or over to authorise the removal and use of parts of the child’s body after death for one or more of the purposes in section 3(1) of the 2006 Act. The amendments made by section 12 include provision the same as those for adults in section 6 of the 2006 Act about how an authorisation may be given and to whom.

74. Section 12(2) inserts subsection (1A) which provides that an authorisation by a child aged 12 years or over for transplantation must be in writing or, if given to the register organisation, be given orally or in writing. This is similar to the provisions for adults (see paragraphs 38 to 40). New subsection (1A)(b) provides that if it is for another of the purposes listed in section 3(1) it must be in writing. Section 12(2) also inserts new subsection (1B) which provides that an authorisation by a child under section 8(1) is to be treated as an express authorisation made by an adult under section 6(1) once the child becomes an adult. Section 8(2) of the 2006 Act, which currently makes provision about the way an authorisation is to be given by a child (12 years of age or over), is repealed.
Section 8A - Withdrawal of authorisation: child 12 years of age or over

75. New section 8A is inserted after section 8 of the 2006 Act by section 12(4) of the Bill. This, again, makes similar provision to adults in relation to authorisation by a child 12 years of age or over. Section 8A enables an authorisation made by the child under 8(1) of the 2006 Act to be withdrawn in writing. If there is in force an authorisation under section 8(1) for removal and use of a part of the child’s body for a purpose referred to in section 3(1), and the child subsequently makes a declaration under new section 8B(1) (opt-out declaration, see paragraph 77) with regard to removal and use of the part for that purpose, then the authorisation is treated as withdrawn so far as it relates to removal and use of the part for that purpose. It otherwise remains in force.

76. Where there is an authorisation in force by virtue of section 8(1) and information is provided by a person to a health worker that would convince a reasonable person that the child’s most recent view was that the child was unwilling for the part to be removed and used for that purpose, or that if the child was capable of making a decision the child would be unwilling in the circumstances for the part to be removed and used for that purpose, then the authorisation is treated as being withdrawn so far as it relates to removal and use of the part for that purpose. It otherwise remains in force (so far as other body parts are concerned for example) and is treated as being in force at the relevant time for the purpose of section 8D(1)(a)(i) (authorisation by person with parental rights and responsibilities) so would not permit an authorisation by a person with PRRs, and section 16I(2)(a)(ii) (duty to inquire). It would also remain in force for the purposes of authorising pre-death procedures in terms of section 16F(1)(e) (see Chapter 5 – paragraphs 101 to 115).

Section 13 – Opt-out declaration: child 12 years of age or over

Section 8B – opt-out declaration: child 12 years of age or over

77. Section 13 of the Bill inserts sections 8B and 8C after section 8A of the 2006 Act (inserted by section 12 of the Bill). Subsection (1) of inserted section 8B provides that a child aged 12 years or over may make a declaration that the child does not authorise removal and use of part of the child’s body for one or more of the purposes in section 3(1) of the 2006 Act (“opt-out declaration”). This, again, is similar to the provisions for adults. Subsection (2) provides that if such a declaration is in force, the part must not be removed and used for that purpose. New section 8B(3) makes provision about how and to whom and opt-out declaration may be made. If it is for transplantation, it must be in writing or given to the register organisation orally or in writing. Subsection (3)(b) provides that if it is for another of the purposes listed in section 3(1) it must be in writing.

78. Similar to an authorisation under section 8 (see paragraph 74), subsection (4) makes it clear that a declaration made by a child under new section 8B(1) is to be treated as an opt-out declaration made by an adult under section 6B(1) once the child becomes an adult.

---

18 See paragraph 43 for an example of circumstances.
Section 8C - Withdrawal of opt-out declaration: child 12 years of age or over

79. New section 8C provides that a declaration made by virtue of section 8B(1) (opt-out declaration) may be withdrawn by the child in writing. Subsections (2) and (3) provide that if there is in force a declaration by virtue of section 8B(1) with regards to removal and use of a part of the child’s body for a purpose referred to in section 3(1), and the child subsequently makes an authorisation by virtue of 8(1) for removal and use of the part for that purpose then the declaration is treated as withdrawn so far as it relates to removal and use of the part for that purpose and otherwise remains in force.

80. Subsections (4) and (5) provide that where there is an opt-out declaration in force and information is provided by a person to a health worker that would convince a reasonable person that the child’s most recent view was that the child was willing for the part to be removed and used for that purpose, or that if the child was capable of making a decision the child would be willing in the circumstances of death for the part to be removed and used for that purpose, then the declaration is treated as being withdrawn so far as it relates to removal and use of the part for that purpose. It otherwise remains in force and is treated as being in force at the relevant time for the purpose of section 8D(1)(a)(ii) and (iii) (authorisation by person with PRRs) so would preclude authorisation for the matters still covered by the declaration and section 16L(2)(a)(ii) (duty to inquire – whether there is an opt-out declaration in force).

81. Subsections (6) and (7) provide that, to the extent that the child’s declaration is treated as withdrawn by virtue of subsection (5) then the child is treated as having authorised removal and use of the part for that purpose and that authorisation is treated as being in force at the relevant time for the purpose of section 8D(1)(a)(i) (authorisation by person with PRRs) so would also preclude authorisation in these circumstances, and section 16L(2)(a)(i) (duty to inquire). The authorisation would also be in force for the purposes of authorising pre-death procedures in terms of section 16F(1)(e) (see Chapter 5 – paragraphs 101 to 115).

Section 14 – Authorisation by person with parental rights and responsibilities: child 12 years of age or over

82. Section 14 of the Bill adds new section 8D to the 2006 Act. It provides that a person who, at the relevant time, has PRRs in relation to a child may authorise the removal and use of a part of the child’s body after the child’s death for one or more of the purposes referred to in section 3(1) of the 2006 Act (e.g. transplantation, research etc.). This is subject to certain conditions set out in new section 8D(1)(a) to (c). It replaces the current provisions in the 2006 Act which enable a person with PRRs to authorise removal and use of body parts for a child 12 years of age or over (section 9 of the 2006 Act is therefore repealed by section 14(3)). Amended section 8 and new sections 8A to 8C operate to enable a decision to be in place by a child aged 12 or over, and new section 8D will only apply if there is no authorisation or declaration in place by virtue of section 8(1) or 8B.

83. New section 8D(1)(a) of the 2006 Act provides that authorisation by a person with PRRs can be given where there is in force at the relevant time no authorisation by virtue of section 8(1) of the 2006 Act by the child of removal and use of any part for the purpose in question. There also has to be no declaration by virtue of section 8B(1) (“opt-out declaration”) by the child as respects removal and use of the part for the purpose in question or if transplantation is not the
purpose in question, no declaration by the child by virtue of section 8B(1) of the 2006 Act by the child as respects removal and use of the part for transplantation.

84. New section 8D(1)(b) and (c) also provide that for a person with PRRs to give authorisation the person must have no actual knowledge that the child’s most recent view was that the child was unwilling for the part to be removed and used for the purpose in question, or if the child were capable of making a decision about removal and use of the part, the child would be unwilling in the circumstances of death for the part to be removed and used for the purpose in question. The person with PRRs, having had regard to any evidence referred to in section 16I(4)(a) and (b) (evidence from a person who has indicated to a health worker that the person wishes to provide evidence about the child’s most recent views on willingness or willingness in the particular circumstances) must also be satisfied that the child was not unwilling for the part to be removed and used for the purpose in question and if the child were capable of making a decision the child would not be unwilling in the circumstances for the part to be removed and used for the purpose in question.

85. Subsection (2) of new section 8D of the 2006 Act provides that, for the purposes of subsection (1)(b) and (c), the mere fact that there is in force no authorisation by virtue of section 8(1) by the child of removal and use of any part of the child’s body for the purpose in question is not to be regarded as unwillingness by the child. Subsection (3) makes provision about how an authorisation may be given and to whom. Subsection (4) provides that an authorisation given by a person with PRRs under new section 8D(1) may be withdrawn in writing by the person who gave the authorisation. However, to the extent that an authorisation by virtue of subsection (1) is for the purposes of transplantation, it may not be withdrawn (subsection (6)).

Section 15 – Authorisation by person with parental rights and responsibilities: child under 12 years of age

86. Section 10 of the 2006 Act (as amended by section 4 of the Bill) enables a person with PRRs at the relevant time in relation to a child (who is under 12 years of age also at the relevant time) to authorise removal and use of a part of the child’s body for one or more purposes in section 3(1) of the 2006 Act (e.g. transplantation, research etc.). Section 15 of the Bill amends section 10 of the 2006 Act to provide that the child’s view, where known, should be taken into account where authorisation is given for a child aged under 12 years of age. This aligns, and makes similar provisions on authorisation for children under 12 years of age with those 12 years of age or over, and adults. An authorisation may be withdrawn, apart from for the purposes of transplantation (section 10(3) of the 2006 Act prevents this). Section 15(4) of the Bill also repeals section 10(2) of the 2006 Act which is superseded by new provisions in amended section 10 of the 2006 Act about how and to whom authorisations and withdrawal of authorisations may be given and withdrawn.

---

19 See paragraph 32 for an example of circumstances.
20 Section 10 is amended by section 4(5) of the Bill to include reference to “relevant time”; defined in new section 16K(2) inserted by section 26(2) of the Bill.
**Section 16 – Authorisation by other persons: children**

87. Section 16 of the Bill inserts new section 10A into the 2006 Act. This enables people other than those with PRRs to authorise removal and use of a child’s body parts after death where there is no one with PRRs due to death or where the person with PRRs is incapacitated. For example, if parents and child are all critically ill and expected to die as a result of a car accident. New section 10A(4) lists the people who would be able to authorise in these circumstances, including a person who has been recently involved in the upbringing of the child, a sibling or a grandparent. In common with the nearest relative hierarchy provided in the 2006 Act\(^\text{21}\), the people who may authorise in these circumstances rank in the order listed in subsection (4) (subsection (9)).

88. Similar conditions apply to authorisation by a person under new section 10A to those which apply where a person with PRRs is authorising both for a child aged under 12 years and 12 years or over. This includes only enabling authorisation where there is no authorisation or opt-out declaration in force (child 12 years of age or over); and ensuring that the child’s view should be taken into account before authorisation is given (section 10A(1)(c) and (d) and (3)).

89. Subsection (11) provides that for the purposes of authorisation a person’s relationship with the child is to be left out of account if the person is under 16 years of age, the person does not wish or is unable to make a decision about authorisation or it is not reasonably practicable to communicate with the person in the time available.

**Section 17 – Functions of local authority with parental rights and responsibilities**

90. Section 17 (2) amends the 2006 Act to repeal the words “but who is not a local authority” in section 10(1) of the 2006 Act. This, along with the repeal of section 9\(^\text{22}\), removes the restriction on local authorities being able to authorise donation and means a local authority\(^\text{23}\) which holds PRRs in relation to a child is able to authorise the removal and use of a part of that child’s body after death.

91. New section 10B is added by subsection (3) and places duties on a local authority to consult in relation to decisions about authorisation. Where there is in place an authorisation or an opt-out declaration by a child and a local authority is consulted about the child’s most recent views, the local authority must (so far as reasonably practicable) seek the views of the child’s parents and other people who were involved in the child’s life.

92. Duties are also placed on a local authority with PRRs who may be authorising donation, (so far as reasonably practicable) to find out the views of the child, the child’s parents and other people who were involved in the child’s life, and have regard to these when deciding whether to authorise (new section 10B(4) and (5)).

---

\(^{21}\) Section 50(1) and (4) of the 2006 Act.

\(^{22}\) Section 9 is repealed by section 14(3) of the Bill.

\(^{23}\) Section 60 of the 2006 Act defines local authority as a council constituted under section 2 of the Local Government etc. (Scotland) Act 1994.
Chapter 4 – Authorisations: general

93. Chapter 4 includes some general provision about decisions about authorisation for donation.

Section 18 – Power to make provision about decisions

94. Section 18 of the Bill amends the 2006 Act by inserting section 10C after section 10B (inserted by section 17 of the Bill). New section 10C(1) enables the Scottish Ministers to make provision in regulations about the manner in which decisions are given and to whom. The decisions to which the regulations may relate are authorisations for the removal and use of body parts for purposes set out in section 3(1) of the 2006 Act, declarations that authorisation is not given (opt-out declarations) and authorisation for pre-death procedures relating to transplantation (see Chapter 5).

95. The Bill makes changes to the provisions in the 2006 Act which currently set out how decisions about authorisation may be given, and to whom. For example, that express authorisations for transplantation may be in writing or given to the register organisation orally or in writing, or if the express authorisation is for another purpose it must be in writing (section 5(2) which inserts new section 6(1A) into the 2006 Act). This is not only to make clear how and to whom decisions about authorisation may be given, but also to reflect current practice and processes which are available to give effect to decisions including how the ODR operates. This has changed since the 2006 Act came into force, including the addition of the option to “opt-out”. Regulations under section 10C(1) will enable the requirements about the way in which decisions may be made to keep pace with developments in practice and processes.

96. Section 10C(2) provides that regulations under subsection (1) may modify this Act. Section 18(3) of the Bill provides that regulations made under 10C(1) are subject to affirmative procedure.

Section 19 – Withdrawal by person who is blind or unable to write

97. The 2006 Act includes provisions in relation to withdrawal of authorisations by people who are blind or unable to write. Section 19 of the Bill adds provision to the 2006 Act in relation to how a person who is blind or unable to write may withdraw an authorisation or an opt-out declaration made by virtue of the new provisions included in this Bill. In light of these changes section 19(3) and (4) of the Bill repeals the current provisions in sections 6 and 8 of the 2006 Act which provide for withdrawal of authorisation by people who are blind or unable to write.

Section 20 – Removal and use of part of body for quality assurance purposes

98. Section 20 of the Bill adds the words “or quality assurance” after the word “audit” in section 3(1)(d) of the 2006 Act. This makes it clear that the purposes for which a person may authorise removal and use of body parts includes quality assurance.
Section 21 – Removal of part of body of deceased person: further requirements

99. Section 21 of the Bill amends section 11 of the 2006 Act to reflect the changes made in relation to authorisation of removal and use of part of a body of deceased person by the Bill. Subsection (4) is amended to continue to ensure that the person who proposes to carry out the removal of the body part is satisfied that the removal is appropriately authorised. Amendments are also made to continue to make it clear that, where the person who proposes to remove the body part in terms of section 11 is not a registered medical practitioner, a registered medical practitioner must also be satisfied that the removal is authorised.

100. New subsections (4A) to (4C) are added and set out what is sufficient for the person who proposes to carry out the removal of the body parts (and, where that person is not a registered medical practitioner, the registered medical practitioner) to be satisfied that the correct authorisation for the purposes of removal is in place. New subsection (4B) sets out that the person, must be satisfied that there is an appropriate record of various matters relevant to the authorisation, and new subsection (4C) provides that the person, must also be satisfied that they have no reason to believe that appropriate and correct authorisations are not in place to allow them to proceed with removal of the part of the body in question.

Chapter 5 - Pre-death procedures relating to transplantation

101. Chapter 5 sets out a system of authorisation for “pre-death procedures”, which are medical procedures carried out primarily for the purpose of increasing the likelihood of successful transplantation of a part of a person’s body after death.

Section 22 – Pre-death procedures relating to transplantation

102. Section 22 of the Bill adds sections 16A to 16G to the 2006 Act.

Section 16A – Meaning of “pre-death procedure, “Type A procedure” and “Type B procedure”

103. New section 16A defines “pre-death procedure” as a medical procedure which is (a) carried out on a person for the purpose of increasing the likelihood of successful transplantation of a part of the person’s body after the person’s death, and (b) which is not for the primary purpose of safeguarding or promoting the physical or mental health of the person. This makes it clear that it is not a procedure which would be carried out as part of the usual care of a person, and which may incidentally benefit transplantation. Further provision is made in subsection (2) to categorise pre-death procedures as “Type A” and “Type B” procedures, which are procedures or types of procedure specified in regulations made by the Scottish Ministers.

Section 16B – Type A procedures

104. New section 16B enables the Scottish Ministers to specify “Type A procedures” in regulations subject to affirmative procedure, and only after consultation. Subsection (2) restricts Type A procedures to those in respect of which the Scottish Ministers consider it

24 Laid in draft and approved by a resolution of the Scottish Parliament before regulations are made; section 29 of ILRA.
appropriate that the carrying out of the procedure or the category of procedure should be in accordance with new section 16E (which includes that the procedure is authorised if there is an authorisation in place for removal and use of body parts for transplantation (express or deemed)).

**Section 16C – Type B procedures**

105. Section 16C enables the Scottish Ministers to specify a pre-death procedure or category of procedure (other than one specified as a Type A procedure) as a “Type B procedure” in regulations, after consultation and subject to affirmative procedure. Subsection (2) provides that regulations made under subsection (1) may also make provision about (i) the circumstances in which Type B procedures may be carried out, (ii) the way in which the carrying out of Type B procedures may be authorised, (iii) the process for authorisation of Type B procedures, and (iv) the carrying out of Type B procedures. Different provision may be made for different procedures or categories of procedure. Subsection (3) provides that regulations under subsection (1) may specify a pre-death procedure (or category of procedure) as a Type B procedure only if the Scottish Ministers consider that it is appropriate that the procedure or, as the case may be, the category of procedure should be subject to provision mentioned in subsection (2)(a)(i) to (iv).

106. A distinction is made between Type A and Type B procedures in the respective enabling powers. The Bill sets out the minimum standards as to authorisation and pre-conditions, and procedures will be prescribed as Type A only where Ministers are of the view that the requirements set out in the Bill are sufficient in light of the nature of the procedure in question i.e. minimally invasive or routine, and which people may be expected to readily understand (on the basis of public information campaigns) to be undertaken in order for donation and transplantation to be successful. Where Ministers are not of this view in relation to a particular procedure but are content that the procedure may be carried out subject to appropriate safeguards, including requirements as to authorisation, the procedure may be prescribed as a Type B procedure.

**New section 16D – Restrictions on carrying out of pre-death procedures**

107. Section 16D restricts the carrying out of pre-death procedures to those which are Type A or Type B procedures and, therefore, subject to the provisions of Part 5.

**New section 16E – Carrying out of Type A and Type B procedures**

108. Subsection (1) sets out the circumstances in which Type A or Type B procedures may be carried out. This includes that the health worker who is to carry out the procedure has no actual knowledge that the person was unwilling for the procedure to be carried out, has had regard to the person’s past wishes and feelings so far as reasonably ascertainable (having had regard, in particular, to any evidence about the adult or child’s most recent views on the carrying out of a pre-death procedure), and is satisfied that if the person were capable of making a decision about authorisation of the procedure, the person would not be unwilling for the procedure to be carried out. Authorisation for removal and use of a part of a body for transplantation also has to be in place.

109. In the case of a Type A procedure, the carrying out of the procedure is authorised under new section 16F, which includes that it can be expressly authorised, authorised by a nearest relative (for an adult) or person with PRRs (for a child) or it is authorised where there is in place
an express authorisation for donation by an adult or authorisation by a child aged 12 or over. It
may also be authorised in the case of an adult where authorisation for transplantation is deemed
(under new section 6D). For a Type B procedure, the carrying out of the procedure may be
authorised only in accordance with any provision made under section 16C(1) in relation to that
procedure (or category of procedure).

110. Subsection (2) sets out requirements which have to be met before a pre-death procedure
may be carried out (Type A or Type B). These are met if— (a) in the view of the health worker
primarily responsible for the person’s medical treatment, the person is likely to die imminently
(including as a result of the withdrawal of life-sustaining treatment), (b) where the person is
receiving life-sustaining treatment, the decision to withdraw that treatment has been taken by
that health worker, and (c) the carrying out of the procedure is necessary to ascertain the
suitability of the organ/tissue for transplantation or to increase the likelihood of successful
transplantation. It is also a requirement that the carrying out of the procedure is not likely to
cause more than minimal discomfort to the person, and the carrying out of the procedure is not
likely to harm the person.

Section 16F – Authorisation of Type A procedures

111. Section 16F sets out how Type A procedures are authorised for the purposes of section
16E (authorisation being a pre-condition of the procedure being able to be carried out). The
procedure may be expressly authorised by the person, authorised by a nearest relative (adult) or
person with PRRs (child). It is also authorised where there is in place an express authorisation
for donation by an adult or an authorisation by a child aged 12 or over, or in the case of an adult
where authorisation for transplantation is deemed (under section 6D).

112. The nearest relative of an adult may not authorise the carrying out of a Type A procedure
if the relative has actual knowledge that the adult was unwilling for the pre-death procedure to be
 carried out or unless the relative has had regard to the person’s past wishes (so far as reasonably
ascertainable having regard to any evidence provided by a person under section 16H(6)(c)) and
is satisfied that if the adult had been capable of making a decision about the authorisation of the
procedure, the person would not be unwilling for it to be carried out.

113. Section 16F(3) provides that for the purpose of subsection (1)(c) (authorisation for a
child) a person may not give authorisation (a) if the person has actual knowledge that the child
 was unwilling for the procedure to be carried out or (b) unless the person has had regard to the
child’s past wishes and feelings (so far as reasonably ascertainable having regard to any evidence
provided by another person under section 16I(4)(c)) and is satisfied that if the child were capable
of making a decision about authorisation the child would not have been unwilling for the
procedure to be carried out.

114. Where a person has expressly authorised the carrying out of one or more Type A
procedures under subsection (1)(a), subsection (1)(f) does not authorise the carrying out of a
Type A procedure not mentioned in that authorisation. An authorisation under subsection (1)(a),
(b) or (c) must be in writing or given orally to a health worker.
New section 16G – Authorisation of Type A procedures: transitory provision

115. Until the provisions introducing deemed authorisation (new section 6D inserted by section 7 of the Bill) come into force, new section 16G provides that authorisation for pre-death procedures may be given expressly by an adult or given by a child aged 12 or over, or may be given by a nearest relative who is entitled to authorise the removal and use of a part of the adult’s body for transplantation or, in the case of a child, a person who would be entitled to authorise the removal and use of a part of the child’s body for transplantation. This is subject to the requirements in section 16F(2) and (3), though the duty to have regard to evidence obtained by a health worker in sections 16H and 16I about the person’s views on pre-death procedures does not apply as these will apply in the context of introduction of “deemed authorisation”, so will be commenced along with those provisions.

Chapter 6 – Duty to inquire

116. Chapter 6 includes provisions for duties on certain health workers to inquire into the views of the potential donor in relation to the removal and use of parts of the person’s body for the purposes set out in section 3(1) of the 2006 Act (e.g. transplantation, research) and in relation to the carrying out of pre-death procedures.

Section 23 – Duty to inquire

117. Section 23 of the Bill adds new sections 16H to 16J to the 2006 Act.

Section 16H – Duty to inquire: adult

118. New section 16H(1) requires that a health worker (defined in new section 16K, added by section 26 of the Bill) must carry out the inquiries specified in section 16H(2) before the earlier of the carrying out of a pre-death procedure in relation to an adult or the removal of part of the body of a deceased adult for any of the purposes referred to in section 3(1).

119. The health worker must take reasonable steps to inquire as to whether there is in force an express authorisation or an opt-out declaration by the adult. Where neither is in force at the relevant time (relevant time is defined in new section 16K(2)), subsection (2)(b) requires the health worker to inquire into whether the adult is a non-resident adult or an adult who is incapable of understanding the nature and consequences of deemed authorisation. In making inquiries as to whether an adult is incapable (in these circumstances), the health worker must consult (so far as reasonably practicable) any person who has indicated that they wish to provide evidence in relation to the adult’s capacity (subsection (4)). Where it is established that an adult is incapable of understanding the nature and consequences of deemed authorisation an inquiry must also be made into the adult’s past wishes and feelings so far as reasonably ascertainable (paragraph (c) of subsection (2)).

120. In any case the health worker must inquire, so far as reasonably practicable, into the adult’s most recent views in relation to carrying out pre-death procedures and the removal and use of part of an adult’s body for a purpose in section 3(1) (subsection (2)(d)). These inquiries are to be made with the nearest relative of the adult. In addition, subsection (5)(b) and (c) also requires that inquiries are to include consulting any person who has indicated to a health worker that they wish to provide evidence mentioned in subsection (6) (the adult’s views on willingness
or unwillingness for removal and use of a part of their body for the purposes in section 3(1),
including in the particular circumstances and on the carrying out of pre-death procedures).
Inquiries must also be made with such other person as the health worker considers appropriate,
which could include another person who the health worker is aware of and may have information
about the adult’s view, but this is only if it is reasonably practicable to do so.

Section 16I – Duty to inquire: child

121. New section 16I (Duty to inquire: child) is added after new section 16H and makes
provision about inquiries which must be made in relation to a child. These are similar to the
requirements which apply in relation to an adult and include that a health worker must carry out
the inquiries before the earlier of the carrying out of a pre-death procedure in relation to a child
or the removal of part of the body of a deceased child for any of the purposes referred to in
section 3(1).

122. Subsection (2)(a) provides that the health worker must take reasonable steps to inquire as
to whether there is in force an authorisation by virtue of section 8(1) or a declaration by virtue of
section 8B(1) by the child. Subsection (2)(b) provides that the health worker must inquire into
the child’s most recent views in relation to the carrying out of pre-death procedures in relation to
the child and the removal and use of a part of the child’s body for a purpose referred to in section
3(1) by consulting, so far as is reasonably practicable, the persons referred to in subsection (3).

123. Subsection (3) provides that the persons referred to in subsection (2)(b) are a person who,
at the relevant time, has PRRs in relation to the child; if a person is entitled to authorise removal
and use of a part of the child’s body by virtue of section 10A, that person (person authorised
where people with PRRs have died or are incapable of authorising); any person who has
indicated to a health worker a wish to provide evidence mentioned in subsection (4) and such
other persons as the health worker considers appropriate.

124. Subsection (4) provides that the evidence referred to in subsection (3)(c) is evidence that
the child’s most recent view was that the child was willing, or as the case may be, unwilling for a
part of the child’s body to be removed and used for a purpose referred to in section 3(1); that if
the child were capable of making a decision about removal and use of a part, the child would be
willing, or as the case may be, unwilling in the circumstances for part of the child’s body to be
removed and used for a purpose referred to in section 3(1); about the child’s views in relation to
the carrying out of a pre-death procedure in relation to the child.

Section 16J – Persons authorised to inquire under sections 16H and 16I

125. New section 16J (Persons authorised to inquire under sections 16H and 16I) provides for
the authorisation of persons other than registered medical practitioners or registered nurses (see
the definition in section 16K of “health worker”) to carry out inquiries under sections 16H and
16I. Section 16J(2) provides that persons may be authorised for this purpose by (a) a registered
medical practitioner, (b) a registered nurse, (c) a Health Board, or (d) a Special Health Board.
This will enable other suitably trained and qualified people who are not registered medical
practitioners or registered nurses to also be able to carry out inquiries.
PART 4 – GENERAL AND FINAL PROVISIONS

Section 24 – Meaning of “the 2006 Act”

126. Section 24 provides that in this Bill “the 2006 Act” means the Human Tissue (Scotland) Act 2006.

Section 25 – Ancillary provision

127. Section 25 enables the Scottish Ministers by regulations to make any incidental, supplementary, consequential, transitional, transitory or saving provision they consider appropriate for the purposes of, in connection with or for giving full effect to this Act or any provision made under it. Subsection (2) provides that regulations under this section may modify any enactment (including this Act) or make different provision for different purposes. Subsection (3) provides that regulations under subsection (1) which contain provision adding to, replacing or omitting any part of the text of an Act are subject to the affirmative procedure. Subsection (4) provides that otherwise, regulations under subsection (1) are subject to the negative procedure.

Section 26 – Interpretation

128. Section 26(2) of the Bill adds an interpretation section to the 2006 Act (new section 16K) which will apply to sections 1 to 16K of the 2006 Act. This includes a definition of “health worker” which means: a registered medical practitioner\(^{25}\), a registered nurse\(^{26}\), or, in sections 16H and 16I, a person (or category of person) authorised to exercise functions under section 16J (to carry out inquiries).

129. A definition of “relevant time” is also provided (subsection (2)). This applies to sections 1 to 16K, and section 50 (nearest relative). In relation to a living person it is when, in the view of the health worker who is primarily responsible for the medical treatment of the person (i.e. any procedure or treatment designed to safeguard or promote the person’s physical or mental health, see the definition in section 16K(1)), the person is likely die imminently (including as a result of withdrawal of life-sustaining treatment), the decision has been taken to withdraw that treatment (if the person is receiving treatment) by that health worker and, if the person is 12 years of age or over, the health worker is of the opinion that the person is incapable by reason of ill health of making a decision about the removal and use of a part of the person’s body for a purpose referred to in section 3(1).

130. If the person is deceased, relevant time means immediately before the person’s death.


131. Subsection (3) repeals sections 6(6), 8(7) and 15(2) of the 2006 Act which provide definitions of “writing” now updated in new section 16K(1). Subsection (4) repeals the definitions of “Health Board” and “Special Health Board” in section 13(5) as the definitions are now included in section 16K(1).

Section 27 – Minor and consequential modifications

132. Section 27 makes a number of minor and consequential amendments to the Anatomy Act 1984, the Adults with Incapacity (Scotland) Act 2000 and the 2006 Act.

Section 28 – Commencement

133. Subsection (1) of section 28 provides that this section and sections 2, 4, 22, 24, 25 and 29 come into force on the day after Royal Assent. Subsection (2) provides that the rest of this Act comes into force on such day as the Scottish Ministers may by regulations appoint. Subsection (3) provides that regulations under this section may appoint different days for different purposes. Subsection (4) provides that regulations under this section may include transitional, transitory or saving provision and may make different provision for different purposes.

Section 29 – Short title

134. Section 29 provides that the short title of this Act is the Human Tissue (Authorisation) (Scotland) Act 2019.
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