



The Scottish Parliament  
Pàrlamaid na h-Alba

## Conveners Group

George Adam MSP  
Minister for Parliamentary Business  
Scottish Government

Liam McArthur MSP  
Chair of Conveners Group  
The Scottish Parliament

***By email only***

[ConvenersGroup@Parliament.Scot](mailto:ConvenersGroup@Parliament.Scot)

27 April 2022

Dear Minister,

I am writing on behalf of the Conveners Group with regard to an ongoing issue in relation to the timescale for lodging Legislative Consent Memoranda (LCMs).

You will be aware that, where a UK Government Bill containing a relevant provision is introduced or amendments to a Bill containing relevant provision are tabled, the Scottish Government should normally lodge an LCM no later than two weeks after introduction of the Bill or the amendments containing relevant provision are tabled.

Since the beginning of the session, there have been many examples where this timescale for lodging LCMs has not been adhered to, often leaving committees with very little time to carry out scrutiny of LCMs.

This issue was first raised with the Conveners Group on 23 February in relation to LCMs referred to the Economy and Fair Work Committee. However, it was clear from discussion at that meeting that this is an issue which has been affecting a number of committees. The Group agreed to investigate this further.

Analysis carried out by parliamentary officials has shown that, at the point at which the Conveners Group considered this issue, of the LCMs and supplementary LCMs lodged this session over half had not been lodged within the timescales set out in the rules.

I am sure you will agree that this is a significant proportion of LCMs where there has been a delay in lodging. This delay is having a detrimental impact on the ability of committees to carry out their scrutiny role.

We recognise that there is sometimes need for discussions and negotiations between the Scottish and UK Governments to take place. However, it is also important for committees to have adequate time to consider LCMs referred to them.

There is nothing to prevent an LCM being lodged while these discussions are ongoing. If following these discussions the Scottish Government wishes to update the Parliament on the position it has taken on an LCM, it can do this by lodging a supplementary LCM.

Taking this approach would allow committees to begin their scrutiny of LCMs at the earliest possible stage and also enable later developments to be considered.

You will be aware that I made this suggestion to the First Minister when she met with the Conveners Group earlier this year. She undertook to raise this with you.

This is clearly a matter of concern. I would welcome your response to this proposed approach.

On a related issue, you may also wish to note that the Conveners Group agreed to invite the Standards, Procedure and Public Appointments Committee to consider situations where the Scottish Government is not recommending consent to an LCM.

As you will know, where a Bill makes relevant provision the Scottish Government must lodge an LCM which committees will scrutinise. However, Standing Orders only provide for a motion to be lodged where that motion seeks the Parliament's consent. There have been a number of these cases in Session 6 so far and in these circumstances the Scottish Government has lodged a standard motion to allow for a debate and vote on the issue. However, such motions and debates sit outwith the legislative consent process set out in Chapter 9B.

I have written to the SPPA Committee inviting it to consider this issue.

Yours sincerely

**Liam McArthur MSP**

**Chair, Conveners Group**