Our family’s solvent cases concerning Administrative Division and Sale of a jointly owned farm, business, and our homes, shows beyond doubt that the Scottish Legal Sector Industry is allowing it’s chosen members to use the Law as a private tool for lucrative Cartel activity, involving discrimination, bias, conflicts of interest, and highly damaging acts above and beyond statute and common law, based upon claims they have acquired extended legal rights by judicial Legal Precedent, with the judiciary allowed to make un-scrutinised, binding, new case law to override and defeat Parliamentary Statute law and Consumer common law protections. The public’s Legal Playing field can be converted by unfit legal precedent, with corresponding procedures, into a private ‘Bonded Legal Construct’ to process fraudulent preference, private cartel acts, including acquisition of other peoples possessions, services and commodities by legalised deceptions, extortions and defamation, to form a Closed Shop with no reasonable consumer Routes to Remedy or Alternative Dispute Resolution. At the end of an 18 year totally unfit process, I have been asked on 20th April 2018, by three Judges to return to my MSPs to ask for Parliamentary action to change the Procedural Law “Root and Branch”. Additionally, the Judiciary themselves declare they are “Drowning” in procedures. More than 400 peer reviewed scientific work and experiments prove that chronic adversarial Competition is damaging in all instances, wrongly kicking in the Sympathetic Nervous Systems, designed only for acute fight or flight. The engendered chemical Bio-Markers lead to life threatening diseases, causing Physical injury and death and violence.

The Regulators and other guiding minds running Scotland’s different Legal sector Executive Bodies have Rules, practices and procedures which form an overarching cartel, creating a Ring Fence of protection for private profits for individual legal sector cartels; the Bodies have Rules, practices and procedures creating a second Ring Fence to block victims out from access to justice and the Rule of Law, enabling foreseeable chronic loss and damage to their victims. The Ring fences creates a three tier legal system, the two extra tiers integral to the success of these favoured parties ability to permanently acquire other legal Entities properly vested possessions. This concealed private competition, with business practices Leaching the processing of Parliamentary law, also includes such conduct and sham service by obtaining other peoples possessions or opportunities by false representations, false pretences, extortion, intimidation and undue threats, withholding of information and services, changing the remits and agreed goal posts after the victims have performed to their detriment, bid rigging, converting and sharing out possessions in their care by false claims, defamation, altering windows of correct considerations, preventing ‘procedures’ from ever considering correct matters, keeping victims in court, speeding up or delaying process so evidence is hidden, knowingly misleading the court and tribunals, irrespective that a judge or tribunal member may be a knowing part of the cartel so as to act in concert with the professionals, avoiding engaging with real law, real facts and real evidence, due process and natural justice, by using unfit Sound Bites to justify unlawful conduct, changing the normal meaning of words, running out of procedures, leaving no reasonable route to remedy, ensuring the wrongly obtained possessions remain in the wrong hands, the judges claiming their hands are ‘bound’ by legal precedent and the finality of law, when there never was any law exercised in the first instance. All this is called ‘Grinding Down’. Errant professionals who have no reasonable defences or reasonable merit, are supported by powerful global insurance companies, negotiated on their behalf by the Law Society acting in dual capacity, acting in the best interests of it’s members, but failing to act impartially as the only procurers of Consumer legal assistance. The insurance supplies teams of colleagues to defend cases of no merit, the practices employed against consumers inevitably winning in court. The law agents and Experts have immunity to knowingly, materially lie to consumers, the court and tribunal to distort the outcomes of the administration of justice, to improve on their and their clients positions, when, on open market and by EU standards of law, they have no such links, title or interest to such Positions. Judges rule on
their own earlier errors in law, supported by the Judiciary and clause 8 of the Judicial Complaints Procedure, excluding even corrupt decisions from examination. The net result is an avenue to permanently move and extend binding case law in any direction desired by the guiding minds through creation of totally un-scrutinised legal precedent, disposing of real Rights, legalising ultra vires acts. Victims of white collar acts with cases of strong merit enter into such an unbalanced arena they are classified by the LS as having cases “with no reasonable prospect of success”, blocked from the equitable benefit of the law, losing their possessions; in losing their cases, in fruitlessly attempting remedy, additional costs are added for seeking remedy for being ‘defrauded’. The open market calls this deceit. The victims may be bankrupted by costs, made homeless. The Law Society and Faculty of Advocates direct response to these ultra vires acts by their members is to instruct their members not to act for people with cases “which have no reasonable prospect of success”. Both these Bodies, and The Scottish Legal Commission whom these Bodies advise due to the SLCC having no knowledge of law and the Judiciary all consider these acts lawful. They have made them lawful.

The effect of this bias runs a Three Tier Discriminatory legal system through the entire Scottish Executive Legal Industry, no persons having anyone to report white collar error to, also lacking remit to do so. The Legal Industry facilitates all other Industries. As these top professional companies and the Scottish Executive Legal Bodies have self-granted themselves extended legal powers, therefore claims of Breach of Trust and Breach of Duty will automatically fail. The Regulatory over-arching cartel is made up of: The Legal Drafters and Parliamentary advisors. The Law Society, The Faculty of Advocates, the Judiciary, the Scottish Court Services, the Accountant of Court, the Auditor of Court, the Scottish Legal Complaints Commission, the Scottish Public Ethics Bodies, the Lord Advocate and Solicitor General, Advocate General. Each Body claims there is “nothing they can do”, all have remits, practices, procedures and Rules which provide for them to be “Bound” by unfit Judicial Legal Precedent; the Judiciary in turn claim “Bound” to follow the demands of Court appointed Agents, their advisors, top Experts, stating judges have no powers to look behind the Experts to verify their (false) facts. Successive Lord Presidents have claimed to have no powers to regulate errant officers of court. This allows errant top professionals and favoured parties, devoid of lawful rights and defences to use unfit regulatory and court procedures as a tool to extend the law in their favour simply from being court Agents allowed to bring errors of facts, law and procedures, to knowingly create new, binding case law. In so doing the professionals legalise the permanent changes of law, the keeping of proceeds, possessions, opportunities belonging to innocent victims or the State. The independent SLCC micro-manage complaints to comply with the Legal Sector Cartels. The diverse Bodies rules enable unfair procedures to exhaust victims physically, emotionally, and financially with real threats of resultant costs from bringing valid complaints to regulators or to the court; the victims are overwhelmed with unnecessary work, causing Epigenetic changes due to living in chronic Competition mode, the body’s normal functions now chronically tuned to the Sympathetic Nervous System / Endocrine Systems, physically causing damage by the emergence of life threatening chemical Bio-markers intended only for acute flight or flight, but kept chronic through unfair competition and unfit procedures. Legal precedent now provides that errant professional “inevitably” benefit from unlawful acts, unjustly causing loss to victims who fight for their rights. The Police and Law enforcement agencies such as the National Crime Agency, Action Fraud, The Scottish Human Rights Commission and others have no means to enforce the law. Besides which, the police themselves have experienced their own problems of corrupt practice.

1. **Not One Public Authority Body has Anti-corruption Risk Assessment, Training and Compliance in Place.**
2. **Not One Body has Public Authority Risk Compliance, Training for Human Rights Compatibility.**
3. **The Law Society’s Access to Justice sifts all information to the Justice Ministers, concerning Concerted Legal practice, claiming ‘Separation of Powers’ preventing Ministers from**
receiving required information. Access to Justice said their remits precludes them reporting on known avenues to White Collar Fraud and Money Laundering. No Body has remits to Report Fraud.

4. The Government inquiry into the SLCC’s failures has failed to engage with consumers over real concerns. My then MSP asked me to go to the Chair person, ask the Inquiry’s Chair to discuss the above matters directly with the government. The entourage said the Chair did not wish to speak to me. We have not had any assistance to discuss real issues of extreme serious public concern with the Inquiry. The Government’s SLCC Inquiry appears another sham face saving process of no value.

The Law is turned on it’s head as a private tool for unjust profit; Unfit Case Law is replacing Parliament.

I speak from direct experience of 18 years battling for justice. Our family suffered huge undue material losses, trauma and unlawful eviction from our home and farm as a direct result of the above described actions and omissions. On what possible grounds could the top Scottish Professionals take our possessions by deceit, including property in a different jurisdiction simply as a ‘private business opportunity’? The Law intended to facilitate a harmonious, healthy society is enforcing flawed oppressive unsustainable, ideology, causing ill health, crime and destruction throughout the board. Where unfair practices flourish there is bound to be violence. A society is only as healthy as is its legal and political system. Additionally, we suffered ongoing disappearance of material documents from both the court and from our domiciles, for which we have replacement copies, suffered repeated hacking and cyber attacks. The Exhausting, unfit procedures create a three-tier legal system,
1) to discriminate to protect white collar cartel conversion of possessions by legalised deceit
2) procedures creating a protected ring fence to keep litigants with Rights and causes of merit out from access to Just, impartial, independent and equitable hearings in court or with tribunals such as the SLCC. Procedures block consumers from access to the Supreme court and the two higher European courts.
3) The third legal Tier is The Parliamentary intended process of law.

SOUND BITE LAW:
The Courts, and the SLCC as public Authorities, declare the ‘law’ through omissions, black-holes, loopholes and unfit ‘Sound Bites’ such as: claiming “Success” for cartels who knowingly, materially mislead consumers, the court and regulators to distort the administration of Justice by lying to improve on rights to which they have no link; the court claims to be legally bound by legal precedent to unquestionably rubber stamp Experts or Court Appointed Agents false claims, calling such claims “Successful”. Regulators and judiciary refuse to look at issues, saying: “Not now” or “Not the Correct Body”, “Premature”, “out of Time”, “Memories fade”, “that has been dealt with”, when the issues have been blocked from all examination. The SLCC used a firm of whom we had complained of to the SLCC, to bring false facts to the court, additionally claims that the ultra vires acts, namely to convert over a million pounds, was a “One Off”, So the SLCC claimed ‘there is no public interest’ in disciplining the solicitors or firms, which, with their fraudulently favoured parties took my family’s vested possessions by deceit and obtained sanction from the court to permanently keep the illegal transfers of our patrimony to themselves by reason of the solicitors and colluding parties making False Reports, False Representations and Defamation to the court .The SLCC ignored that their own solicitor making knowingly false reports to the Appeal court was part of the complained of Cartel. The judiciary required that party litigants can only win concerning Experts and professionals making of false facts if litigants can prove Mens rea, (guilty mind), that the Experts or Agents wrongly tainted their facts or claims, when judicial legal precedent has legalised Experts and Agents tainting their facts and evidence to the court, having granted them legal rights to do so, so this is an impossible task. We were accused of making false allegations as a result of Agents defences to ultra vires acts being called “Standard Practice” to court appointed
agents, their advising solicitors and connected parties. If you ask where the money has gone, or when the process will address irregularities, the answer is: “Thats for later”. ‘Later’ never comes. The last sound bites are judicial claims of “Finality of Law” to rubber stamp all events converting possession by unfair and deceitful means, where no Consumer Protection Statute or common law was ever deployed from first to last; from the point of view of the open market, the legal construct is a conflict of interest sham. Something serious is constraining the entire Scottish Legal System. I am not ‘judging’ the Legal Sector’, I do not know what could be ‘forcing’ them to so act, but as earlier stated, three Judges have returned me to the MSP’s to ask the Parliament for “Root and Branch” change to Procedural Law, the entire Tree is rotten. I have no confidence in the Government SLCC inquiry; the staff member who spoke to me paid lip service vis my information. I have come to believe staff in the Scottish Executive Bodies, innocently or otherwise, are undermining the Parliaments, the Law run as a private Corporation.

Not a single Executive Body has at any time complied or engaged with the Human Rights Act 1998, The Charter of Fundamental Rights and Freedoms, The Competition Act 1998, the Anti-Corruption Acts,(1916), The Bribery Act 2010, all Consumer Protection Acts, sections of The Enterprise Act, The Scotland Act 1998, EU and UN relevant Treaties, Common law, relevant fair judicial case law. We lost all hearings on the grounds that the self created regulators and court procedures’ Rules dispose of mens rae and wrong doing by self granting rights to apply different Standards of law, extending Rights to Experts, Court appointed Agents, Officers of the court, their favoured parties and other top professionals to act above and beyond the law, this binding on courts, tribunals and Regulators to apply the separate standards so as to convert properly vested consumer possessions for their “private business opportunity”. Being dragged into the court by and for cartel abuse of process is not open to personal consumer choice.

Brief History:
Family members jointly owned a solvent, pro- inndiviso large hill farm at the mouth of West Loch Tarbert, Argyll. Some members Petitioned and Summoned the court of Session in Edinburgh to engaged court appointed agent on behalf of the administrative court, an accountant, to wind up the farm business, and for a court appointed estate agent, with legal advisors, to put the property on the international open market for a judicial sale at market value for the equitable interest of the owners. I had an interest as I was a spouse, was asked to have a power of attorney and asked to invest a great deal of time and money into the property. We were allowed to bid for and buy the outgoing owner’s share in the property in informed fair dealings. But, the professionals defences, acting as the Court appointed Agents and with others, was that the Law Society Rules allowed them to exercise concealed conflicts of interest, (until 2012, Lord Hodge, I believe). The agents and cartel members concealed they would use the Agents public position and public powers to materially mislead us as potential purchasers of the shares we did not own, so as to induce all our family’s possessions into a sham dictated boiler room contract, to be converted to themselves and favoured parties, this allowed as the Scottish domestic interpretation of the law. These acts were later claimed as a Standard “business Opportunities” allowed to Court appointed Agents by binding legal precedent from previous judicial cases, enabling court agents and favoured parties to obtain undue benefit, gains and advantages by material acts of concealed Conflicts of interest, material omissions, false representations, false pretences, extortion, withholding of services, withholding of our assets and legal rights, defamation, bid rigging, and all of these acts were claimed by the Legal Sector to be legalised by judicial legal precedent. The Court Agents induce us to sign their dictated sham boiler room ‘contract’, non-compliant with their remit, falsely telling us their unfit terms were temporary, necessary court procedures to which there was no alternative, which would be “honourably” negotiated at a later date; The Agents refused to provide us with required information, refusing negotiations, refusing all property title in exchange for their demands of an advance cash deposit of £370,000, and our signed agreement to hand over £3.7 million by a specific date. Our Lead venture purchase Team Lawyer, another party’s lawyer, pressured us into signing the sham dictated contract through false representations. He later
emerged as a part of the concealed cartel. In addition, failing we sign, we were threatened with eviction at a below market value sale to developers, the Developers were concealed connected parties to the Court appointed agents. After we had signed in trust, the agents openly colluded with the other owners, solicitors, and with the connected party Developer to act as a cartel to self frustrate their sham document by informing our third, cash paying, nominee to hand over his, (or his backers), £1.6 million cash, informing him that he and his backers would not obtain property title or negotiations over the live, un-clarified issues of the Council’s outlined 25 building plots. The Nominee and Backers withdrew. The cartel claimed public powers to falsely blame us for their own failures, made false claims of loss, falsely claimed ‘our’ share of the property, my cash deposit £370,000, (paid for by the sale of my London house), as theirs, and £900 per day late penalty fee until resale, with all the fees and commissions were to be paid by us. These sums were earmarked to the cartel, some of whom were to acquire the property with our converted assets handed to them in a second contract. As from 2005, the Cartel materially lied to the court, shifted the Goal Posts, ignored the laws, interlocutors, defamed us, obtained the court’s sanction for a second bid, riged the bid, closed to the open market, oppressing our bids by withholding our assets, these earmarked for the Agents favoured parties and for themselves with £400,000 of fees and commissions, already partially removed from my deposit without our or court knowledge. The cartel made false representations to the court, defamed us, requiring the court to bankrupt us. The court refused to bankrupt us, this, a first that Court Agents did not have all their demands met by a judge. But the court rubber stamped the under value sale and gifts of my money to the cartel - developers, registration prior to payment, We left our home and farm on pain of eviction. The Cartel purchasers refused to properly pay for the property, so were in breach of contract. The agents tried to bully us into accepting three false Completion Statement. The Court agents kept us in court from 2004 until 2012, the Agents materially mislead the court, refused to comply with interlocutors or return to the court, as asked to do so by different judges as from 2008 / 2011. Matters never completed, the court never examined deceit, bias, and the material misrepresentations. I was informed that if we returned to the court the agents would order the court to nominate us as vexatious litigants. In 2011, due to the matters of complaint pending in court, we were Premature to complain to the Scottish Legal Complaints Commission according to their own rules, so sent away from both the Judicial Office and the SLCC. In 2011 we sent the cartel pre-action protocol. The court agents researched matters “in Scotland” and replied that all their “actions were conducted by order of the court”. We could not return to court. Between 2013-2014 the SLCC sent us back to the Judicial Offices, who sent us back to the SLCC on three occasions. In 2014 The SLCC agreed to accept our complaint provided it included all the detailed law attached to each event. The Law Society and Faculty of Advocates again blocked my access to legal assistance. In 2015 the SLCC dismissed the complaint on the grounds that all the ten or more complained of persons were all court appointed agents. This was false. When I asked the SLCC politely what evidence supported that they were all court appointed agents, the SLCC threatened to “invoke an Unacceptable Persons Order” upon me. The Parliament sent us back to the SLCC in 2015 /16. The SLCC incrementally changed all their demands, wanting documents with or without law, a separate document for each person complained of, but only to be delivered on dates set by the SLCC; the individual complaints had to remove all reference to other solicitors, in other words, the cartel activity, which I refused to do. In July 2016 after several thousand pages, the SLCC dismissed our complaints on the false grounds that we should have complained in 2011, so Time Barred. But I had informed the SLCC Matters were pending, live in court in 2011, the SLCC holding this proof in the solicitors files, so we were not Time Barred. The SLCC used a firm of solicitors of whom we had complained of to the SLCC to defend the SLCC in my appeal to their Dismissal. This firm admitted in court in Dec 2016 that our complaints had merit, were not frivolous or vexatious. But they intimidated me just prior the hearing, lied to the court that we were Time Barred for not complaining in 2011, refused to engage with the real facts and law. During the hearing I realised I could not win by accusing the SLCC of tainting their facts to the court as they had legal precedent and immunity to do so, this was the same judge sitting on his own earlier errors who had agreed that Experts and court appointed agents had extended rights to override
the laws, the court unable to “get behind them to verify the Experts Facts”. This view is currently upheld by the Lord President in another case. In 2005 this judge and the cartel had accused me of making false allegations when I complained about the cartels’ provable ‘illegalities’, but different legal standard applied to court agents andfavoured parties, overriding the law. On 2nd December 2016, I was not given a right of reply. The same judge sat on all the hearings. He had said he would sort matters out. The micro-managed procedures ensured he could not. We had been denied all legal assistance; we had not slept for near a week due to cyber attacks and hackings, hampering our getting our completed files to the court. After losing the hearing due the SLCC’s material omissions and lies and pre-court intimidation, I politely sent the SLCC proof of the evidence, already in their files, to which I had referred in court, asking them to re-open their files to re-examine the complaints to enable our rights to Alternative Dispute Resolution, as we were not Time Barred and solicitors had again newly misled the court for undue gains, benefits and advantages; The complained of court agents solicitor, and the SLCC solicitors knowingly, or recklessly wrongly informed the SLCC Tribunal that I was Time Barred by not complaining in 2011, besides, the SLCC and solicitors held the proof we were not Time Barred. The SLCC responded by invoking an “unacceptable Persons Order” upon me, writing they “had won”, I “had lost”, that I had “to pay their costs”, closing down all communication, refusing to reply to my complaints about the SLCC unlawful handling of our complaint. The Legal Sector calls this: “Success”. The SLCC’s files were taxed by the Sheriff Auditor. He offered to tax the SLCC’s fees at zero, and to examine the earlier errors, but the Judge who had sat on his own ‘errors’, refused this. The Auditor wrote to the court that the Authorities left no alternative but to tax the fees as demanded by the SLCC and the judge. He stated he had no whistle-blowing organisation or other Body to report non compatible / compliant issues to. Consumers and Party Litigants have to follow the Law and procedural Rules, but favoured professionals are exempt, they act as the ‘Guiding Mind’. The Parliament is ignored. I made several motions to the court. On 20th April 2018, I acted with three hats, 1) for my family, 2) consumers 3) for myself. Three judges turned down my requests for routes to Remedy, informing me their hands were bound by the ‘Finality of Law’, but required me to go to my MSPs and the Parliament, for the Parliament to make Root and Branch changes to Procedural law. There cannot be any “Finality of Law” where no Law has ever been exercised in a single hearing in 18 years. Even procedures were ignored at will. Currently Professional firms and clients are callously making unjust profit from having ‘legalised’ illegal, unjust and cruel acts. In my absence I have been served documents by bailiffs on behalf of the SLCC which I cannot pay, I live on an old age pension and presents from friends and family. I have to live in locked accommodation unable to go out as certain documents, incriminating Evidence of the 18 years of legal abuse are stripped from my files on a regular basis. I have copies in other locations. Access to Justice, and the Government’s Human Rights Department has refused to inform the Government of white collar abuse of legal sector routes to distort the administration of justice. Not a single Scottish Executive Body or branch of the Legal system has a remit which allows them to inform the Government of white collar abuse of the legal system for unjust enrichment. This is directly due to the Scottish interpretation of “Separation of Powers”. Parliament is by passed by creating legal precedent by lying to the courts. Consumer Protection, Human Rights, Anti-corruption law, Competition law etc is set aside. I am in the process of trying to follow the Judicial instruction, for until there is full Parliamentary reform no one is safe in the Scottish Legal System. None of this could have happened under an Inquisitorial System. As with everything else concerned with the exposing errors within the legal system this is proving very hard. The system creates chronic stress due to seriously ultra-vires breaches of Competition, Consumer protection laws, Human Rights law; this is proven to cause physical injury. Scotland will remain in breach of UN and EU obligations until unfair dealings are rectified.