

**Supplementary written submission from Hugh Grierson, Pow of Inchaffray
Commissioner, on behalf of the promoters, 25 September 2018**

The Pow Commissioners would like to take this opportunity of responding to Mr McGregor and Mr and Mrs Watkins as follows:-

Mr MacGregor

It is noted that Mr MacGregor is no longer disputing the figures on Documents 15A and 15B in relation to Plot 130 (on which his house is built) and wishes to raise inaccuracies he believes that he has identified at entries for Plots 121 and 131. Although the Promoter accepts Mr MacGregor's arithmetic, they do not accept that this matter is relevant as what Documents 15A and 15B and indeed the Book of Reference and Estimate of Increase in Value indicate is that land has been improved in value and is thus "benefited" by the works. Plot 130 has been increased in values and is thus benefited land. The cause of the arithmetic errors is not known, but could be attributed to the calculations being completed manually in long hand in 1846 and without the benefit of electronic calculators.

The Promoter stands by its evidence as presented to Committee on 12 September that Mr MacGregor's house is built on benefited land and should be subject to an annual assessment as a residential use under the Bill.

In regard to the map dated 26th May 1783 the Promoter would remind the Committee that the Pow dates back to around 1314 and was also subject to a 1696 Act. The relevant point as confirmed at the Committee meeting by Mr MacGregor is that both burns drain into the Pow.

Mr and Mrs Watkins

Mr and Mrs Watkins met with Jonny Willett at the Savills offices on Monday 17th September to discuss the benefited area at Inchaffray Abbey which was raised in the parliamentary committee meeting on 12th September. However, the disputed statement relates to the invitation extended to Mr and Mrs Watkins at the public meeting in Gask village hall on 10th April. This offer was never accepted.