SCOTTISH NATIONALITY LAW

INTRODUCTION

Two points are made in this submission,

(1) Scotland had its own nationality law at the Act of Union, and

(2) elements of this nationality law have remained in effect,

which bear on the current devolution process.

NATIONALITY LAW IN 1707

Under common and statutory law, Scottish subjects were either ‘natural born’

(a) by birth in Scotland

(b) by birth in Nova Scotia

(c) by birth abroad, the father being born in Scotland

(d) by marriage

or were deemed ‘natural born’

(e) under the Franco-Scottish alliance

(f) under the Bank of Scotland charter

(g) by Act of Naturalisation

THE ACT OF UNION

According to Manningham-Buller (perhaps following Plowden):

- article IV of the Act of Union assumes Scottish subjects are to be subjects of the United Kingdom;

- ‘the Act of Union must not be treated as repealing all the statutory provisions in England and Scotland providing for either English or Scottish nationality’;

- unless pre-Union English (and Scottish) nationality law applied after the Union, ‘so as to govern British nationality, there was no British nationality at all in existence when the Act of Union took effect’;

- pre-Union nationality law was ‘regarded as still in force after the Union’;

- those who became English (or Scottish) before or after the Union, ‘automatically became citizens of the United Kingdom by virtue of the Act of Union’;
- subsequent common or case law did not necessarily cease Scottish subject status.

It may be added that article XVIII implies preservation of public and private rights.

NATIONALITY LAW FROM 1707

Several rights under the Nova Scotia charter were preserved, regardless of constitutional change and desuetude, into the 19th century; by analogy, it is possible birth in Nova Scotia could still confer Scottish subject status until 1867 or later.

According to Dr Talbott’s research, France appears to have recognised Scottish nationality until at least 1907, and in a limited sense still does.

The Bank of Scotland charter was confirmed by Parliament on several occasions in the 18th century, and in 1802. The last clause was held to make certain investors Scottish, and hence British, subjects up to 1820.

Parliament, on occasion, distinguished between Scottish and other British subjects.

Two Acts of Naturalisation were passed on 25 March 1707 for the benefit of named foreign Protestants. At least one Act is of unlimited extent, making Jean Henri Huguetan (1667-1749), ‘and the children of his body, and all persons lineally descending from him, born or hereafter to be born’ Scottish subjects. The Act, unlike the similar Sophia Naturalisation Act 1705 (repealed 1948, and the basis of the Hanover nationality case), may still be valid.

There appears to be no legislation ceasing or limiting the status of Scottish subject.

SCOTTISH SUBJECT STATUS 1854-1964

The most important recent legal recognition of Scottish nationality is the Registration of Births, Deaths and Marriages Act (Scotland) 1854, and subsequently amended, possibly up to 1964, which may be taken to replace or modify the common law.

Section X implies that Scottish subject status was acquired by birth in Scotland.

It also provided for the registration with the Scottish authorities of ‘foreign births’, where a parent was a Scottish subject, through the British consular network. Registration presumably conferred Scottish subject status.

The status of a child of a Scottish subject, born outside Scotland but elsewhere in the United Kingdom and colonies, dependencies, or dominions (at least before the Statute of Westminster or local citizenship legislation came into effect), is not clear.

Some such births were registered in the Foreign Births Register; but none of the territories were ‘foreign’; and, therefore, some British and Commonwealth citizens, born outside Scotland but of Scottish descent, may be Scottish subjects ‘by birth’.

The reference in the Act to Scottish subject status was apparently accepted, without comment, within the United Kingdom and what is now the Commonwealth.
CONCLUSIONS

Elements of Scottish nationality law have existed after 1707, and may still do so.

Some Commonwealth citizens, not otherwise entitled under the British Nationality Acts of 1948 and 1981, may, on the basis of Scottish descent, and the inter-action between these Acts and the Act of Union, the Statute of Westminster, and the Immigration Act 1971, have a claim to some form of British citizenship, with or without the right of abode. Some foreign nationals may also have a claim to British citizenship.

It is not clear whether the Scottish government, or the government of the United Kingdom, or both, now have authority to define Scottish subject status.

There may be comparable issues with regard to other 'reserved matters'.
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