1. With regard to your current consideration of the proposed bill I would like to bring to your attention an area which the bill does not appear to properly reflect. This relates specifically to when the “hedges” as defined in the Bill where in existence prior to any use of adjacent parties land. An example would be where hedges or trees border a house and adjacent open land. Should the land be rezoned for development commercial or residential then the new owners according to the current wording of the Bill have the right to ask for an order to be raised to remove the “hedges” even though they may have existed for many years prior to the new development and whose existence would have been known before any purchases were made. I believe the Bill should be amended to reflect the case where the Hedges predate the building of a parties residential or commercial property where it was obvious the Hedges existed.