Consultation
Did you take part in any consultation exercise preceding the Bill and, if so, did you comment on the financial assumptions made?
1. No

If applicable, do you believe your comments on the financial assumptions have been accurately reflected in the FM?
2. N/a

Did you have sufficient time to contribute to the consultation exercise?
3. N/a

Costs
If the Bill has any financial implications for your organisation, do you believe that they have been accurately reflected in the FM? If not, please provide details.
4. N/a

Do you consider that the estimated costs and savings set out in the FM are reasonable and accurate?
5. As far as we are aware, yes.

If applicable, are you content that your organisation can meet any financial costs that it might incur as a result of the Bill? If not, how do you think these costs should be met?
6. N/a

Does the FM accurately reflect the margins of uncertainty associated with the Bill’s estimated costs and with the timescales over which they would be expected to arise?
7. N/a

Wider Issues
Do you believe that the FM reasonably captures any costs associated with the Bill? If not, which other costs might be incurred and by whom?
8. See below

Do you believe that there may be future costs associated with the Bill, for example through subordinate legislation? If so, is it possible to quantify these costs?
9. There is no anticipated financial or detrimental impact upon the police service as a result of this proposal, in fact quite the contrary.

In effect, some serious offenders will be kept incarcerated for longer, in keeping with their original sentence, and this can only be welcomed by the police service as there will be (albeit limited) reduced opportunity for reoffending. Equally, it is not anticipated that there will be associated savings for the police as the numbers involved are expected to be relatively minimal and there is more than enough 'opportunity cost' enquiries / work / investigations that will be conducted should there be any more available time.