Consultation
Did you take part in the consultation exercise which preceded the Bill and, if so, did you comment on the financial assumptions made?
1. Yes. We responded together with Stirling Council.

Do you believe your comments on the financial assumptions have been accurately reflected in the FM?
2. Yes.

Did you have sufficient time to contribute to the consultation exercise?
3. Yes.

Costs
If the Bill has any financial implications for your organisation, do you believe that they have been accurately reflected in the FM? If not, please provide details.
4. We use Independent Mobility Assessors (IMA) to carry out our reviews at £70 per review. At present we have 10 -12 reviews per year.

Do you consider that the estimated costs and savings set out in the FM are reasonable and accurate?
5. See above

If relevant, are you content that your organisation can meet the financial costs associated with the Bill which it will incur? If not, how do you think these costs should be met?
6. At present we can cover the costs, however we predict that the number of applicants seeking reviews will increase as people become more adept at filling in the application forms.

Does the FM accurately reflect the margins of uncertainty associated with the estimates and the time-scales over which such costs would be expected to arise?
7. Yes, at present.

Wider Issues
Do you believe that the FM reasonably captures costs associated with the Bill? If not, which other costs might be incurred and by whom?
8. Yes. Whilst our charge of £20 per application does not cover our cost, we can accommodate the overall cost of the process at present.
Do you believe that there may be future costs associated with the Bill, for example through subordinate legislation? If so, is it possible to quantify these costs?

9. As people become aware through websites and other social / health-care professionals how to fill out the application forms to increase their chances, we are likely to receive more problematic applications hence more need to go to review.