4. How would you characterise your views on the Bill in general?

Extremely absurd.

The Bill is making a forlorn attempt to change culture and society. Homosexual practice is abnormal and contrary to natural biological function. Same-sex marriage is based on the false and deeply flawed dictum of Kinsey that 'all desires are in-born, natural, morally equivalent and worthy of acceptance and support!'

Unlike any other human activity, sexual conduct is exempt from moral responsibility! Sexual morality is destroyed by the legal insertion of 'sexual orientation' which is taken to mean whatever the courts accept it to mean in every day use!

Genetic determinism is the mistaken belief that all human relationships can be boiled down to DNA so that people are merely 'robots' that are controlled by invisible signals from their DNA sequences! (Science and Nature)

Homosexual object choice is not predetermined by chromosomal tagging or brain functioning. The human male and female do not inherit an organised neurohormonal machinery of courtship and mating; nor do animals.

5. Same sex couples do not qualify for marriage because they are not equal to heterosexual couples. Marriage predates law, nation and church validated by prolonged history. The social experiment of same sex marriage is totally irresponsible. The fundamental human rights of children to Mum and Dad and the integrity of the nuclear family must not be compromised.

Teenagers will not readily forgive amoral authorities for domestic devastation as epitomised by the feral city riots.

6. The convictions of belief celebrants and religious celebrants must be honoured provided they teach that homoerotic behaviour is clearly prohibited in the Christian Bible

7. Marriage celebrants must only be authorised to solemnise opposite sex marriage.

8. Civil partnerships should never have been promulgated and do not qualify for marriage.

9. Civil marriage ceremonies are for heterosexual couples only

10. The sanctity of marriage must be recognised to exclude civil partnerships.

11. A full Gender Recognition Certificate is irrelevant to the permanency of marriage, the lifelong commitment between one man and one woman.
12. Churches and celebrants who refuse to 'marry' same-sex couples must be protected from litigation.

Human rights law has failed to protect faith-based adoption agencies.

The 'Human Rights Act' 1998 confirms the absolute right to have a child educated according to the wishes of the parent. Teachers must be free to teach the facts, namely:

There is no 'gay' gene (Science et al) and therefore homosexual practice is a chosen lifestyle of sexual abnormality, contrary to original design.

There is no biological substrate (Endocrinology) for sexual orientation, a legal euphemism for sexual addiction.

Like all other addictions - alcohol, nicotine, drug abuse, paedophilia - it is an established pattern of habit-tolerance-dependancy-addiction.

Homosexuals may love one another but their domestic arrangements make no difference to the human future.

The law allows doctors and pharmacists a 'conscience clause' with regard to abortions.

Similarly teachers and registrars must be allowed to work to high ethical standards and not be compelled to work against their consciences.

Just as the law protects atheist teachers from being compelled to teach religious education, so Christian teachers must be allowed to refrain from teaching same-sex marriage.

A skewed interpretation of the Equality Act must not jeopardise charitable status of religious groups.

There must be protections in equality law to ensure that Christian groups that oppose same-sex marriage are not treated less favourably by public authorities. Legislative change in the same-sex bill must be secured to allow people who hold traditional views about marriage to adopt or foster.

13. Freedom of speech is not addressed in the Government's Bill. Insidious encroaching totalitarianism is being experienced by police chaplins and housing managers. The democratic society in this country that owes so much to our Christian heritage must surely respect the historic and long-standing definition of marriage.

Amendments need to make clear that merely discussing or criticising same-sex marriage is not a form of discrimination for the purpose of equality law or a breach of public order legislation.

Christian ministers must continue to be free to quote the Bible and preach the Gospel. e.g. (1Corinthians 6:9-10) 'Neither the sexually immoral nor idolaters nor
adulterers nor male prostitutes nor homosexual offenders ..will inherit the kingdom of God.' But there is joyful access for the repentant sinner.

14. Wider issues must include the lessons of history 'They who ignore history live to repeat its mistakes'.

The Health Protection Agency reported an increasing number of people were diagnosed with HIV last year. In particular the number of gay and bisexual men being diagnosed with HIV reached an "all-time high" in 2011.

The legal endorsement of notoriously promiscuous homosexual practice will provoke widespread sexually-transmitted infections. Sub-Sahara Africa where same-sex marriage has been legalised struggles with 53 million orphans from an epidemic of HIV. Aggressive predatory lust for homosexuality or 'sodomy' led to the destruction of Sodom and Gomorrah and the Roman Empire.

Legislators must be reminded of the highest legal authority:

( Matthew 16:13) 'Jesus said On this rock of confession I will build my church and the gates of hell will not overcome it."

'The farther backward you can look, the further forward you are likely to see.'
(Sir Winston Churchill)
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