Dear Sirs,

Response to Equal Opportunities Committee’s Call for Evidence on the “Marriage and Civil Partnership (Scotland) Bill”

In response to the public consultation I note my views as follows;

1) The above bill has been introduced without endorsement by the electorate. Therefore it can only reflect the opinions of a small minority. For practical reasons, many decisions are taken by the Government in that way, with the tacit approval of the electorate. However in such a crucial instance as this the electorate are clearly seen to have been disenfranchised.

2) No contract in law could have an enduring meaning if the Government of the day had the right to chop and change the definition of the English vocabulary at will.

3) Any re-definition of words by Government is breaching the human right of any two people to make a lawful contract between each other. The marriage contract is a case in point.

Gregory C Mitchell
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