How would you characterise your views on the Bill in general?

How would you characterise your views on the introduction of same sex marriage, so that same sex couples can marry each other?

In support

It is for no other person to decide who can and can not love one another enough to spend their lives together, or, realistically, however much time they would like, to spend time together. The case goes for heterosexual couples and homosexual couples alik

How would you characterise your views on putting belief celebrants on the same footing as religious celebrants?

In support

Religion should not be imposed upon those who do not wish to receive it. In this day and age, in the western world where we call ourselves civilised and educated, we should treat each other and our opinions with respect without a government jerking around

How would you characterise your views on the arrangements for authorising celebrants to solemnise opposite sex and same sex marriage (including the opt-in procedures)?

How would you characterise your views on civil partnerships changing to marriages?

In support

Fully in support. This isn't and shouldn't be an era run by religion and its limitations.

How would you characterise your views on allowing civil marriage ceremonies to take place anywhere, other than religious premises, agreed between the couple and registrar?

In support

Heterosexual couples can get married anywhere. Whats the difference?

How would you characterise your views on allowing the religious and belief registration of civil partnerships?

In support
How would you characterise your views on allowing transgender persons to stay married when obtaining a full Gender Recognition Certificate, which provides legal recognition in the acquired gender?

In support

The government can not categorise a relationship based on sex if it is to open the way to same sex marriage. This age old system must be done away with to pave the way for a more open and accepting society.

Would you like to comment on the wider issue of protections for those in society who may have concerns about same sex marriage?

I don't think those not in favour of same sex marriage are the ones that need protecting. They need to accept that their limitations on what love is and who can love are narrow minded and belittling, causing social rifts. Times have changed and for the better if propositions such as same sex marriage are carried out.

Would you like to comment on the wider issue of freedom of speech?

Would you like to comment on any other wider issues in relation to the Bill that are not mentioned above?

Are you responding as...

a private individual
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