How would you characterise your views on the Bill in general?

In support

I can think of no reason why recognition of a couple's commitment to each other should viewed differently based on the gender of the couple in question.

How would you characterise your views on the introduction of same sex marriage, so that same sex couples can marry each other?

In support

Having legal and societal recognition should be the choice of the couple. If they wish to make that commitment to each other then that should be their right.

How would you characterise your views on putting belief celebrants on the same footing as religious celebrants?

In support

How would you characterise your views on the arrangements for authorising celebrants to solemnise opposite sex and same sex marriage (including the opt-in procedures)?

In support

How would you characterise your views on civil partnerships changing to marriages?

In support

How would you characterise your views on allowing civil marriage ceremonies to take place anywhere, other than religious premises, agreed between the couple and registrar?

In support

How would you characterise your views on allowing the religious and belief registration of civil partnerships?

In support

How would you characterise your views on allowing transgender persons to stay married when obtaining a full Gender Recognition Certificate, which provides legal recognition in the acquired gender?

In support
So long as both partners in the relationship are in support I see no legal or moral reason why this shouldn't be allowed.

Would you like to comment on the wider issue of protections for those in society who may have concerns about same sex marriage?

Would you like to comment on the wider issue of freedom of speech?

Would you like to comment on any other wider issues in relation to the Bill that are not mentioned above?

Are you responding as...

a private individual
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