Draft Legislative Consent Motion

1. The draft motion which will be lodged by the Deputy First Minister and Cabinet Secretary for Finance, Constitution and Economy is:

“That the Parliament agrees that the relevant provisions of the UK Enterprise Bill, introduced in the House of Lords on 16 September 2015, relating to measures on the creation of a Small Business Commissioner and capping public sector exit payments, so far as these matters fall within the legislative competence of the Scottish Parliament or alter the executive competence of Scottish Ministers, should be considered by the UK Parliament.”

Background

2. The Enterprise Bill (“the Bill”) deals with a range of UK Government commitments which are intended to support the growth of enterprise. UK Government states that the Bill will cement the UK’s position as the best place in Europe to start and grow a business by removing unnecessary impediments to business. The Bill can be found at: http://services.parliament.uk/bills/2015-16/enterprise.html

3. The Scottish Government is already creating a supportive business environment in Scotland and is fully committed to helping small business by removing unnecessary burdens. We have already progressed a range of successful initiatives to change the stock, flow and culture of regulation in Scotland and deliver better regulation for all. In recognising that Scotland’s businesses are the primary drivers of sustainable economic growth, we welcome policies which we believe will complement our continuing programme to improve the performance of our businesses and make Scotland a more open and competitive place for companies to do business.

Content of the UK Enterprise Bill

4. The Bill contains measures to:

- establish a Small Business Commissioner to empower small businesses to resolve disputes with larger businesses and avoid future issues;
- expand the deregulation target to include regulators;
- require regulators to report on the effect the Regulators’ Code and/or the Growth Duty have had on the way they exercise their functions;
- extend the Primary Authority scheme to make it easier for businesses to join it and benefit from advice on regulatory compliance, and to simplify the way businesses deal with local authorities;
- require insurers to pay insurance claims within a reasonable time;
- simplify and update the Industrial Development Act 1982; and
- cap public sector exit payments at £95k.

5. The Bill also contains measures to protect the term apprenticeship in law and to give the Department for Business, Innovation and Skills powers to set targets for apprentice numbers in the public sector. There is also a measure to reform the business rates.
appeals system. All of these measures are for England only and will not be extended to Scotland.

**Amendment – Green Investment Bank**

6. An amendment was introduced to the Bill on 14 October 2015 to repeal the Green Investment Bank (GIB) provisions of the Enterprise and Regulatory Reform Act 2013 in support of privatisation. In correspondence, UK Government made clear its position that any repeal of the GIB provisions would not require a LCM in the Scottish Parliament.

7. The Deputy First Minister has sought further assurances that the GIB ‘green’ purpose and Edinburgh headquarters and jobs would be protected as part of GIB’s privatisation. There has since been further dialogue with UK Government, culminating in a letter from the Secretary of State on 3 November 2015 confirming that UK Government is committed to the retention of the ‘Green’ purpose of the GIB. The Scottish Government has also received a letter from the Chair of the Green Investment Bank, Lord Smith of Kelvin, dated 12 November 2015 which sets out the continuing commitment of the GIB’s Board to retaining its headquarters (with 50 associated jobs) in Edinburgh following privatisation. For information, a copy of these letters can be found at Annex A of this Memorandum.

**Amendments – Lords Grand Committee**

8. Further amendments to the Bill were tabled in advance of Report Stage on 25 November. The majority of these amendments are technical and non-contentious, with the exception of the amendment in relation to UK Government Investments (UKGI).

9. The Chancellor announced in May 2015 the intention to create UKGI to bring together, in a single government company, the two bodies that currently manage most of the taxpayer stakes in businesses – i.e. the Shareholder Executive and UK Financial Investments Ltd. The intention is to insert a clause into the Bill to ensure that UK Government is able to commit resources to support the activity of UKGI in a manner consistent with Managing Public Money and, in particular, the Public Accounts Committee Concordat of 1932. Given that this is solely a reserved matter, we are content that this amendment does not trigger the Sewel Convention.

**Provisions which relate to Scotland**

10. As introduced, the Bill contains two provisions which are within the legislative competence of the Parliament or alter the executive competence of Scottish Ministers. The provisions relate to:

- Part 1 (Clauses 1 – 13) – Small Business Commissioner
- Clause 34 (originally Clause 26) – Public Sector Exit Payments

11. Further detail on the effect of these provisions and the reasons for seeking the legislative consent of the Scottish Parliament are set out below.

**Reasons for seeking a legislative consent motion**

**Clauses 1 - 13 – Small Business Commissioner**

12. The provisions contained in clauses 1 – 13 of the Bill will create a Small Business Commissioner via a statutory appointment. This provision falls within devolved
competence as it affects business support policy and the consent of the Scottish Parliament will be required to extend the measure to Scotland.

**Clause 34 – Public Sector Exit Payments**

13. The provisions contained in clause 34 (originally 26) of the Bill will provide regulation making powers for Scottish Ministers in respect of the cap on public sector exit payments. These powers would allow Scottish Ministers to make regulations allowing them to specify the Scottish public bodies which will be within the scope of the cap; the types of payments made in relation to leaving employment which would count towards the total amount subject to the cap; the waiver and exemption process; records maintenance and annual publication of exit payments; and the level of the cap itself. This provision would therefore affect the executive competence of Scottish Ministers and will require the legislative consent of the Parliament.

**Consultation**

14. There has been no formal consultation on the Bill by the Scottish Government. The UK Government ran a short consultation on the proposed measures for the introduction of the Small Business Commissioner and have advised that around 800 responses to the consultation were received, primarily from business representative organisations, trade organisations and professional bodies.

15. Officials have sought views on whether public bodies supported the capping of public sector exit payments approach in principle; whether they saw any practical difficulties or problems in the implementation of the proposal; and what further detail they would wish to see. Most respondents were in favour of Scottish Ministers taking relevant powers however many respondents commented that the UK Government’s proposals were overly prescriptive and that there are other routes for setting voluntary early severance / retirement (VES/VER) payments at an appropriate level. Local government has indicated that they would wish to have continuing discretion over their own arrangements. Trade unions have been more forceful in asking the Scottish Government to reject the UK Government’s proposals.

**Financial implications**

16. The UK Government will seek to establish the Small Business Commissioner and discussion around sharing of operational costs may follow. The proposals include provision of general advice and information with plans for a digital offer and in the longer term it is envisaged that this will enable businesses to more effectively negotiate appropriate contractual terms for themselves, without the need for future intervention. Early indications from the UK Government’s consultation suggest that around 500 initial contacts could be made to the Commissioner and that this contact would be focused on the information and signposting function. The extension of the limited consumer protection afforded to small businesses will complement the existing dispute resolution mechanisms. There is potential for an initial increase in demand for the Mediation Network, however, as expertise improves with small businesses better able to negotiate contracts more effectively this demand is anticipated to gradually decrease.

17. Capping public sector exit payments could reduce the cost of voluntary early severance / retirement (VES/VER) schemes. Equally, however, it could reduce the impact of such schemes as fewer numbers apply. For example, if such a cap were in place for the most recent SG VES/VER run in January 2015, the cases which would have been caught by the cap had a combined cost of £1.205m. Around £255k would
have been saved from the cost of the scheme if the same numbers had applied and taken packages (i.e. with a cap at £95k, these cases would have cost £950k). However, if those who received packages of over £95k had chosen not to apply (because they knew their package would be limited to £95k), the on-going cost to the organisation would have been, in the first year alone, £911k (using average staff costs for 2014-15) and increasing each year reflecting pay awards and increases in employer costs.

Conclusion

18. It is the view of the Scottish Government that it is in the best interests of the Scottish people and good governance that the relevant provisions outlined above and which fall within the legislative competence of the Scottish Parliament or alter the executive functions of Scottish Ministers should be considered by the UK Parliament.

SCOTTISH GOVERNMENT

December 2015
Dear John,

FIRST SESSION ENTERPRISE BILL: UK GREEN INVESTMENT BANK

Thank you for your response of 7 October to my letters of 7 and 21 August in regards to the Enterprise Bill. I am writing to advise you of a further measure to be included in the Enterprise Bill by way of a Government amendment at the Bill’s Lord Committee stage. I am sorry not to have been able to include this measure at the time of introducing the Bill. At that time, it remained unclear whether or not this move would be necessary as we awaited firm technical advice on the matter.

The aim of the measure is to repeal the provisions in the Enterprise & Regulatory Reform Act (ERRA) 2013 relating to the UK Green Investment Bank (GIB). It is now clear that repealing this legislation is necessary to achieving our objective of enabling GIB to be re-classified as a private sector enterprise rather than a public sector body once we move GIB into majority private ownership. Unless we repeal the legislation, there is a real risk that GIB would remain classified to the public sector even if the Government no longer held any shares in the company. We do not consider this measure requires a Legislative Consent Motion.

Enabling GIB to be re-classified to the private sector is essential to a successful privatisation of GIB as this is what will allow the privatised company the freedom to borrow and raise capital without this impacting on public finances. This outcome is key to enabling the company to grow its business and build on its important work investing in the UK’s green economy.
In view of this, I believe amending ERRA 2013 as proposed is an appropriate measure that is necessary to achieve our aim of securing GIB’s future as an independent company. I am copying this letter to the Secretary of State for Scotland.

SAJID JAVID
Secretary of State for Business, Innovation and Skills
Deputy First Minister
and Cabinet Secretary for Finance, Constitution and Economy
John Swinney MSP
T: 0300 244 4000
E: dfm(ci)gov.scot

Rt Hon Sajid Javid MP
Secretary of State for Business, Innovation & Skills
1 Victoria Street
LONDON
SW1H OET

23 October 2015

Dear Sajid

FIRST SESSION ENTERPRISE BILL: UK GREEN INVESTMENT BANK

Thank you for your letter of 14 October, advising of the proposed Government amendment that would repeal the Green Investment Bank (GIB) provisions of the Enterprise & Regulatory Reform Act 2013, that you propose to table during the Enterprise Bill's Lords Committee stage.

Your letter states that you do not consider that the proposed amendment would require a Legislative Consent Motion (LCM) in the Scottish Parliament. I do not agree with your view. Your argument is founded on the link between this issue and the reservation on the economy. That surely is a macroeconomic reservation. The amendment makes provision specifically for a devolved purpose i.e. the green purposes of the Green Investment Bank relating to energy efficiency and climate change as set out in section 1 of the 2013 Act. That is why a LCM was required in respect of Part 1 of that Act. Those provisions were operating in a devolved area-the environment-and, in the Scottish Government's view, the amendment falls into the same category.

The Scottish Government has previously sought reassurance that in relation to the privatisation of the GIB, the UK Government will remain committed to maintaining a significant public stake, and to ensure that it retains its original purpose as a green bank. We have also sought reassurance that the Bank's headquarters and jobs will be retained in Scotland. Your written statement to the UK parliament on 15 October aimed to offer a level of reassurance in that the UK Government wants and expects a privately owned GIB to continue this clear focus on green sectors - mobilising more private capital and further accelerating the transition to a green economy. I remain concerned that the UK Government will not have the ability to honour this if the future public sector stake in the GIB is diminished and with it control over the direction taken by the Bank. I have further concerns on the level of reassurance you have given on its Headquarters and jobs remaining in Scotland.
On the basis of the information and reassurances presented to us at this stage, we are not able to support your proposed actions and would advise that our previously expressed ‘in principle’ agreement to legislative consent on the Bill, prior to this issue emerging; is rescinded.

Given that you propose to lay the amendment in the Lords Committee stage which commences on 26 October, I therefore request an urgent discussion between our officials to establish a clear position.

JOHN SWINNEY
Dear John,

UK GREEN INVESTMENT BANK

Thank you for your letter of 23 October about our proposal to repeal the legislation relating to the UK Green Investment Bank (GIB), which set out your concerns about what this will mean for GIB’s focus on green investment.

As I sought to make clear in my written statements on this matter, it is my clear intention that following a sale, GIB should continue to focus on green sectors - mobilising more private capital and further accelerating the transition to a green economy.

Green investment is what GIB does and is where its value lies. It is clear from preliminary feedback that potential investors are interested in GIB precisely because of its unique green specialism. They will be buying into the company’s existing forward business plan and pipeline of green projects which demonstrates exactly how GIB expects to generate returns for investors. And investors will be seeking to acquire the reputational benefits that arise from owning a company with GIB’s clearly stated green principles and highly transparent and robust green reporting practices. We have every reason to believe potential investors will have sound commercial reasons to maintain GIB’s green focus and values.

In addition, as a key part of any sale discussions, potential investors will be asked to confirm their commitment to these values and to set out how they propose to protect them. We envisage this would involve new shareholders agreeing to:
- retain the Green Objectives in GIB’s Articles of Association;
- ensure GIB continues to invest in a way that achieves a positive green impact; and
- maintain GIB’s existing standards for reporting on its green investment performance as well as continue providing for independent assurance of this.

We fully expect this to be effective in securing the outcome we want - that new shareholders effectively commit to maintaining GIB’s green values.
It is important to understand this approach represents the most we can do to secure this. It is simply not open to us to impose more binding conditions that require future owners of GIB to act in a particular way. This would have exactly the same effect as the legislation: the Government would continue to exercise control over the company even after a sale and the company could not be re-classified to the private sector.

Conversations with potential buyers have tended to be on the basis of Government retaining a stake in the GIB. But it must be understood that retaining a minority stake would not afford the Government any special rights to exercise control over the company. The Government could have only the same rights as any other minority shareholder in the company - anything else would amount to continued state control and prevent re-classification. We have pressed the Office for National Statistics on whether there is scope to retain additional rights over particular corporate policy decisions but their advice on this has been very clear.

I appreciate your concern that the company’s HQ should remain in Edinburgh. I know GIB’s Chairman, Lord Smith and CEO, Shaun Kingsbury are both committed to retaining the Edinburgh HQ and have publicly stated that commitment. I see no reason to believe new owners would seek to change this clear position. But it is important to recognise there is only so much Government can do on this matter. Government is not in a position to impose a binding requirement on future owners of the company that its HQ can never change while also providing that GIB can be reclassified to the public sector.

I have asked the company to further follow up with you on these points to set out why they believe the introduction of private capital is needed and why they are confident this will not result in a move away from their role as a specialist investor in green infrastructure or from their Edinburgh location.

I hope this helps reassure you that our plans for moving GIB into private ownership will not change the way GIB currently operates. Both the company and the Government believe this is the best way to ensure GIB carries on doing what it does best – attracting much needed private capital into green sectors. And it will enable the company to invest in a much wider range of green projects.

Repealing the legislation on GIB is a vital step if we are to be able to achieve our ambitions for GIB. In view of this, I hope you can agree to our making progress on this through the Enterprise Bill.

Sajid Javid
Secretary of State for Business, Innovation and Skills
I wanted to write to you following an exchange of letters between yourself and the Business Secretary, Sajid Javid, on the subject of the UK Green Investment Bank (GIB).

I would like to address two key points. First, my view on attracting new investors to GIB and, second, my view on the possible effect of this on the Edinburgh headquarters of the bank.

As you know from our previous discussions I am supportive of the Government's intention to sell a majority of their stake in GIB. We have ambitious plans to grow our business but that growth needs to be funded. While the UK Government has been a good shareholder it will not be in a position to provide the capital to match our ambitions. We also have some early indications that private investors are interested in investing in the business - to buy a share in the existing portfolio of almost 60 projects and finance our forward business plan.

The Board supports the Government's intention to sell down their shareholding purely on the basis that it will protect GIB's future and finance its growth. This is, in our view, the best way to make sure that GIB continues to deliver the green infrastructure investment that we have so successfully done over our first three years.

Turning now to our Edinburgh headquarters. Well before the bank was launched, Edinburgh was selected as the location for its headquarters. It won that right through a highly competitive process, beating over twenty cities from the across the UK. The decision was taken because of the strategic business benefits offered by Edinburgh as a business location in general, and more specifically for a business focused on green infrastructure investment.
These benefits have been very apparent to us. We have access to a tailor-made labour market offering the skills we needed to build a business. We have access to the full range of professional services companies we use on a day-to-day basis, whether that is, for example, legal, audit or technical advice. We are part of a well-established industry cluster which gives us all the benefits that come from working within a strong and supportive community. We are surrounded by a renewable energy market place with world-leading potential. We also have a very positive relationship with the Scottish Government on all levels. Edinburgh offers all of this on highly-competitive financial terms.

We have nearly fifty staff now based in the capital. We have recruited them from the city's financial services and professional services companies. We have attracted staff from the rest of the UK and internationally because they wanted to live and work in Edinburgh. We also have a number of staff members who have chosen to return to Scotland to work having spent part of their careers abroad. In each case they value the balance of career development and lifestyle that Edinburgh offers.

Our business could not run without our Edinburgh headquarters. We are a business registered in Scotland and it is where our Board meets. It is home to all our key functions: finance, risk, legal, corporate affairs and part of our investment banking team.

It is for all these reasons that I am very confident that Edinburgh will remain the headquarters of a growing Green Investment Bank. I am also confident that if we are successful in raising private capital and growing our business we will see continuing employment growth in Edinburgh.

Lastly, and returning to our job of investing in green infrastructure, I am particularly pleased to see some new Scottish investments on the horizon, especially as it seems that we are finally making progress on energy efficiency in the NHS. You will also be pleased to know that our partnership to finance Glasgow’s ambitious plans to make the switch to low-energy streetlighting is being replicated elsewhere across the UK. Our strong partnership with Strathclyde Pension Fund goes from strength to strength. The Fund has now decided to invest in our offshore wind fund, now the UK’s largest renewable energy fund, seeing their public sector pensioners investing in UK offshore wind projects.

I hope this addresses your understandable concerns, but if there is anything else I can do to deal with any other questions please do not hesitate to ask. I know you will continue to work closely with the UK Business Secretary on this issue and I am sure he will keep you updated on key developments. I would also like to add my thanks to you, personally, and your officials in various parts of the Scottish Government. You have been incredibly supportive of GIB and have played no small part in our success so far. I look forward to our relationship continuing in the same way for many years to come.

Lord Smith of Kelvin KT
Chairman
John Swinney MSP
Deputy First Minister and Cabinet Secretary for Finance,
Constitution and Economy
St. Andrew's House
Regent Road
Edinburgh, EH1 3DG

Dear John,

FIRST SESSION ENTERPRISE BILL: UK GREEN INVESTMENT BANK

Further to my letter of 3 November about UK Green Investment Bank plc (GIB), I am writing to let you know that the UK Government has now tabled a revised amendment to the Enterprise Bill on GIB. A copy of our revised amendment is attached.

Having listened carefully to what stakeholders had to say about our original proposed amendment, we have sought to make changes that address a number of the concerns raised while still ensuring the legislation in the Enterprise Act 2013 that represents state control over GIB and could prevent the company from being re-classified to the private sector once sold is repealed.

Our revised amendment provides for the following:

• That the repeal can only come into effect once the Government has reported to Parliament on its plans to sell a stake in GIB;
• Requiring the Government to report to Parliament after a sale has taken place, and in the future if the Government retains a stake which it subsequently sells down;
• Requiring the Government to send copies of those reports to the Devolved Administrations;
• Retaining a dedicated power for the Government to provide funding to GIB all the while it holds any shares in the company (the current legislation provides scope for the Government to fund GIB only where the Crown holds more than half of GIB’s issued share capital); and
• Retaining the requirement for the Secretary of State to lay a copy of GIB’s annual report and accounts before Parliament all the while the Government holds shares in the company.

We hope this revised approach will help to demonstrate our commitment to transparency about this matter.

Building on my previous written statements, my Department has also published a policy document setting out the background to GIB, the case for moving the company into private ownership now it has become established and the case for repealing the GIB legislation as

I hope that publishing this more detailed statement can help address a number of the questions that have been raised over recent weeks both in Parliament and elsewhere and provide reassurance about the merits of our proposals.

I know that since our recent discussion on this issue, you have spoken with Lord Smith of Kelvin and that he has also now written following up your conversation. I hope this has been helpful in addressing the particular concerns you have identified and that this, together with the Government’s revised proposals on the GIB legislation and our more detailed policy paper setting out the rationale, will enable you to agree to our making progress through the Enterprise Bill.

I will be writing to you separately about other aspects of the Enterprise Bill.

I am copying this letter to Fergus Ewing MSP, the Secretary of State for Scotland and Lord Smith of Kelvin.

S.2A

SAJID JAVID
Secretary of State for Business, Innovation and Skills
Thank you for your letter of 3 November relating to the legislative amendment, now tabled, for the Green Investment Bank(GIB) and for your subsequent letter which I received on 23 November about the detail of that amendment. I note that you have also written separately updating me on the progress of the Enterprise Bill and other Government amendments on which I shall respond separately.

Whilst I had noted from your parliamentary statement the intention of the UK Government to retain the Green purpose of the GIB following privatisation, Scottish Ministers sought further assurances on how this would be achieved. Your letter has confirmed that retaining the GIB’s green focus and values are a key part of all sales discussions with potential investors. I appreciate the level of detail set out within your letter on the ways in which you are embedding this as a core element of the sale, and I note that this is reflected in the policy statement ‘Future of the Green Investment Bank PLC’ published by your Department on 18 November.

Scottish Ministers additionally sought specific reassurances that the Edinburgh based headquarters and related jobs were being protected as far as possible. I subsequently received correspondence from Lord Smith of Kelvin on the 12 November. In his correspondence Lord Smith offered the requested reassurances that the Board remains both committed to the Green Purpose of the bank and to its remaining located in Edinburgh following privatisation. He also set out the real strategic benefits of the Edinburgh headquarters to the operation of the bank such as the access to a skilled workforce, industry clusters and access to a full range of professional services. I note that you will be repealing the legislation on the GIB to allow you to progress with the privatisation of the bank through the Enterprise Bill.

Given the reassurances you and Lord Smith of Kelvin have provided, I am content to re-instate my agreement in principle to seeking Scottish Parliamentary approval to the provisions of the Enterprise Bill that will extend to Scotland, which I set out in my letter to you.
of 7 October. Those provisions relate to the extension of the Small Business Commissioner in Scotland and to the cap on public sector exit payments. You will recall that my letter set out the detail of our position on exit payments and I look forward to receiving your response on the points I made.

I am copying this letter to the Secretary of State for Scotland.

JOHN SWINNEY